

OIL INDIA LIMITED
(A Government of India Enterprise)
P.O. Duliajan, Pin – 786602
Dist-Dibrugarh, Assam
E-mail ID: contracts@oilindia.in
Website: www.oil-india.com

**CORRIGENDUM NO. 2 dated 29.09.2026 to GeM Tender No. GEM/2026/B/7933979 for
“Hiring of Well Design and Engineering service for a period of 04 (four) years.”**

1.0 This Corrigendum is issued to notify about the following:

- i. OIL’s Final Responses to the Pre-Bid queries; enclosed as **Annexure-I**.
- ii. Amendments in the Scope of Work (SOW), Special Conditions of Contract (SCC) and Schedule of Rates (SOR); enclosed as **Annexure-II**.
- iii. Bid Evaluation Criteria Revised (Amended BEC).
- iv. Additional and/or Modified Proformas:
Proforma-Q, Proforma-R, Proforma-N (Revised), Proforma-Y: Technical Evaluation Sheet for BEC (Revised), Annexure-KP (Revised), Proforma-Identified Key Personnel (Revised), Proforma-SW PART B.
- v. Revised Bid Closing Date & Time: Extended up to **14.10.2026** [1400 Hrs (IST)].
- vi. Revised Bid Opening Date & Time: Extended up to **15.10.2026** [1400 Hrs (IST)].

2.0 All other terms and conditions of the Tender/Bid Document including earlier amendments (if any) shall remain unchanged.

ANNEXURE-I**REPLIES TO PRE-BID QUERIES****GeM Bid No. GEM/2026/B/7933979 — Hiring of Well Design and Engineering Services (Pan-India, Onshore and Offshore) for 04 (Four) Years**

OIL's replies to the queries received from prospective bidders are set out below. "Amendment Sl. No." refers to the Amendment Document (Annexure-II) issued with this corrigendum; "BEC amendment" refers to the amendment to the Bid Evaluation Criteria (BEC revised) issued with this corrigendum.

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
1	SOW	1.2	15 Well Designs + Additional 15 Wells	Kindly clarify whether OIL intends to issue all 15 wells uniformly over the 4-year contract period, or whether multiple wells may be released simultaneously in batches. Please indicate the expected maximum batch size.	Clarified. The wells will not necessarily be released uniformly over the Contract period; they may be released individually or in batches. A maximum of three (03) wells shall be included in a single batch under one Well Design Notice (WDN), with a minimum gap of sixty (60) days between the issue of two consecutive batch WDNs. The 60-day gap shall not apply where a WDN covers fewer than three (03) wells. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clause 15.4).
2	SOW	3.4	Data Gap Closure	Kindly confirm whether OIL shall provide all available geological, geophysical, drilling, reservoir, petrophysical, production, testing and offset well data in digital format at the commencement of each Well Design Notice (WDN).	Clarified. OIL shall hand over, as part of the Data Pack for each WDN, all such data as is available with OIL, in digital form wherever it exists in digital form. Data not available with OIL shall be recorded in the Data Gap Register (SOW Clauses 3.4 and 14.2), together with its effect on the design and the assumption adopted in its absence, and shall be dealt with at Gate 1. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 2 (SOW Clause 4.1). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clause 15.2).
3	SOW Phase 2	7.0	Long Lead Equipment Specification	Kindly clarify whether the Service Provider is required only to identify long lead items or also assist OIL in preparation of technical specifications and bid evaluation during procurement.	The Service Provider shall identify the long-lead items and prepare their technical specifications and indicative lead times, together with 03 (three) nos. of probable vendors along with BQs, as a design deliverable. Participation in OIL's procurement

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					process or in the technical evaluation of bids is not required. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 2 (SOW Clause 4.1, Gate 4).
4	SOW Phase 3	8.1	Design Support During Execution	Kindly clarify the expected duration of execution support for a well after drilling commencement and whether support is limited to office-hour consultation or expected on a 24x7 basis.	Execution support shall be rendered from spud to rig release of the well concerned, during the Service Provider's normal working hours. A nominated senior engineer / consultant of the Core Team shall be contactable at all times for queries affecting an ongoing operation or a well control situation and, in an emergency, shall respond at the earliest and in any case within 02 (two) hours. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 4 (SOW Clause 8.1).
5	SOW Phase 3	8.1	Execution Phase Support	Please confirm whether execution support is included within the lump-sum per well price and no separate charges are payable irrespective of well duration.	Confirmed. Execution support is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate for the well, and no separate charges are payable irrespective of the duration of drilling and completion operations. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 4 (SOW Clause 8.1).
6	SOW Phase 3	10.0	Well Integrity Design	Kindly clarify whether OIL has any internal standards, barrier philosophy documents or well integrity manuals that must be adopted alongside the listed international standards.	Clarified. OIL's applicable internal standards, well integrity / barrier philosophy documents and design specifications, where they exist for the area or well concerned, shall be furnished as part of the Data Pack for the WDN. The design shall comply with them in addition to the requirements of SOW Clauses 10.0 and 12.0 and the standards listed at Annexure A of the SOW.
7	SOW	13.1	Software Requirements	Tender requires multiple software packages across disciplines. Kindly confirm whether equivalent software outputs are acceptable if generated from alternative industry-recognized licensed software.	Confirmed. Outputs from equivalent industry-recognized, licensed software are acceptable, subject to OIL's acceptance in terms of SOW Clause 13.1 (as amended). No deliverable shall rely on a bespoke, undocumented or

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					unlicensed in-house tool which OIL cannot audit. Evaluation under Quality Criteria item 2 of BEC Clause 8.0 remains unchanged. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 6 (SOW Clause 13.1).
8	SOW	13.1	Software Licenses	Kindly clarify whether all software licenses are required to be owned by the bidder at bid submission stage or may be arranged prior to execution of specific WDNs.	The software requirement has been divided into two categories. Licences for Category A software (Table 13.1-A: trajectory and anti-collision, casing / liner / tubing stress, torque-drag-hydraulics, cement placement) shall be held as on the original bid closing date, with documentary evidence furnished with the technical bid. Licences for Category B software (Table 13.1-B) may be arranged after award, before commencement of design of the well concerned, against the declaration and undertaking in PROFORMA-SW Part B; evidence of the Category B licence shall be furnished within 15 (fifteen) days of issue of the WDN for the well concerned. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 6 (SOW Clause 13.1).
9	SOW	15.3	Overall Timeline	The timeline from WDN to Final Well Design is stated as 120 days including OIL review. Kindly clarify whether delays arising from extended OIL review cycles beyond stipulated review periods will result in automatic schedule extension.	Clarified. The timelines of the Service Provider are exclusive of the time taken by OIL for review, comments / recommendations and approval, and exclusive of the time taken to close data gaps identified by OIL; each Service Provider period runs from the relevant OIL approval or receipt of OIL's recommendations. Accordingly, a longer OIL review period does not reduce the time available to the Service Provider and does not attract Liquidated Damages. The earlier overall period of 120 days has been replaced by phase-wise timelines, and indicative (non-binding) OIL review periods have been stated. Refer

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clauses 15.2, 15.3 and 15.5).
10	SOR	4.1	Lump Sum Per Well	Kindly clarify the categorization methodology for highly complex wells such as HPHT, ERD, MPD, Multilateral and Offshore wells since the SOR appears to have a common rate for all well types.	The single lump-sum unit rate is retained. For pricing information only, an indicative distribution of the 15 wells has been provided: Onshore Conventional – 03, Onshore Complex – 07, Offshore Conventional – 02, Offshore Complex – 03, with a definition of each category. The distribution is tentative and no price adjustment shall arise from the actual mix of wells released. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 1 (SOW Clause 1.4). The phase-wise timelines have also been revised; refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clause 15.0).
11	SOW	1.4 and 16.0	Specialized Disciplines	For HPHT, MPD, Deepwater or Hydraulic Fracturing projects requiring specialist expertise beyond the core team, kindly confirm whether additional specialists may be engaged with OIL approval under Clause 16.12 without affecting bid compliance.	Confirmed. Specialists may be deployed as part of the Extended Delivery Team, at the Service Provider's cost, subject to OIL's prior written approval of each person on submission of the CV and a minimum of 10 (ten) years' relevant experience. The indicative specialist domains are listed in the amended clause. Such deployment does not affect bid compliance, and approved engagement of a specialist third party under SOW Clause 16.12 is not treated as subcontracting. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 9 (SOW Clause 16.11). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 11 (SCC — Subcontracting).
12	SOW	3.5	Monthly Well Register	Kindly confirm whether submission of the monthly Well Register is required even during periods when no active WDN exists.	Not required. The monthly Well Register is required only for a month in which at least one WDN is active.
13	General	---	Batch Well Designs	In case multiple WDNs are issued concurrently, kindly clarify the minimum lead time OIL will provide for mobilization of additional design resources and review	No additional lead time shall be allowed. The timelines run from the date of issue of the WDN in terms of SOW Clause 15.0. The Core Team is

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
				cycles.	required to be deployed throughout the Contract (SOW Clause 16.1). A batch shall not exceed 03 wells, with a minimum gap of 60 days between two consecutive batch WDNs; the 60-day gap does not apply where a WDN covers fewer than 03 wells. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clauses 15.2 and 15.4).
14	BEC	2.1 (II) (a)	Qualification on Parent Company Experience	Kindly confirm that the bid may be submitted by an Indian entity on the strength of the technical experience of the parent company holding more than fifty percent of the paid-up equity share capital of the bidder, and that the parent company's global well design, engineering and completion experience will be accepted in full satisfaction of BEC Clause 2.1 (i) and 2.1 (ii), subject to submission of the prescribed agreement, Parent Guarantee, auditor certificate and undertakings.	Confirmed, subject to full compliance with BEC Clause 2.1 (II)(a) and the Notes thereto, including the agreement, Parent / Subsidiary Guarantee, undertaking for additional Performance Security, certificate of relationship and equity holding, and the declaration regarding identified Key Personnel, and provided that the bidder itself satisfies BEC Clause 1.0 (incorporation in India and local content). BEC Clause 2.1 (II)(a) is not amended.
15	BEC; Profile of Consultants and Checklist for Bid Quality Evaluation	BEC Clause 8.0; Profile of Consultants Clause 2.0; Checklist for Bid Quality Evaluation	Quality Criteria – Credit for Supporting Company Experience	Quality Criteria items 1 and 1.1, as set out in the Bid Evaluation Criteria and repeated in the separately issued Profile of Consultants and Checklist for Bid Quality Evaluation, award marks for the experience of "the bidder". Where the bid is submitted under the parent company experience route permitted by BEC Clause 2.1 (II)(a), kindly confirm that the experience of the supporting company will be credited in full for quality evaluation purposes, including both the number of years of well design and engineering consultancy experience and the record of completed well designs at the stated true horizontal drift thresholds. Confirmation on this point is fundamental, as the route expressly permitted under Clause 2.1 (II)(a) would otherwise be unusable in practice.	Confirmed. Where a bid is submitted under BEC Clause 2.1 (II)(a) or 2.1 (II)(b) on the strength of the technical experience of a supporting company, the experience of that supporting company shall be considered for evaluation under Quality Criteria Sl. No. 1 and Sl. No. 1.1, subject to submission of documentary evidence in terms of BEC Clause 2.1 and the Notes thereto. In such case, the key personnel offered for evaluation under Quality Criteria Sl. No. 3 shall be the identified key personnel of the supporting company. Refer the BEC amendment published with this corrigendum.
16	BEC	1.0	Local Content – Basis of	Kindly clarify the basis on which local	Clarified. Regarding calculation of local

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			Computation	content is to be computed for a services contract of this nature. Specifically, confirm that value attributable to the Indian entity's personnel, its Indian office and infrastructure, Indian statutory and establishment costs, Indian software licences and Indian taxes will be counted toward local content, and confirm the specific formula or cost build-up OIL expects the statutory auditor to certify.	content and submission of documents during bidding & execution of contracts, provision of Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order, 2017 of Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT), Govt. of India as revised vide Order No. P-45021/2/2017-PP (BE- II) dated 16th September 2020 (and as amended time to time) with modifications as notified vide MoPNG Order No. FP20013/2/2017-FP-PNG-Part (4) (E-41432) dated 26th April 2022 (including subsequent amendments thereof, if any) shall be applicable, which is already stipulated under 2nd para of clause no. 1.0 of the BEC.
17	BEC	1.0 (b) and (c)	Local Content – Stage at Which Certification is Required	GeM GTC Clause 3 (A)(xix) provides that the service provider shall give self-certification of local content at the time of bidding, and that certification by a cost or chartered accountant in practice is required at the time of execution of the contract for contracts above ten crore rupees. Kindly confirm that self-certification in the prescribed proforma is sufficient at the bid stage, that the accountant's certificate is required only at contract execution, and that for a recently incorporated Indian entity that certificate may be issued on the basis of a projected contract cost build-up rather than on historical audited figures.	Clarified. As already stipulated under clause nos. 1.0 (a), 1.0 (b) and 1.0 (c) of the BEC, all certifications are to be submitted during the bidding stage.
18	BEC and ANNEXURE-KP Declaration Format	BEC clause 2.1 (II) (a), Note (e)	Key Personnel from the Supporting Company	The prescribed ANNEXURE-KP declaration format expressly contemplates that the identified Key Personnel will be engaged or deployed from the supporting company for the entire duration of the contract. Kindly confirm that these personnel may accordingly remain employees of the supporting company and may perform the	Confirmed. BEC Clause 2.1 (II) (c) (e) requires that the identified Key Personnel be from the supporting company for the entire duration of the contract; it does not require their transfer to the payroll of, or secondment to, the bidding entity. The services may be executed at the Service

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
				design work from the supporting company's design office, and that there is no requirement for them to be transferred onto the payroll of, or seconded to, the Indian bidding entity.	Provider group's own design office or technical centre in terms of SOW Clause 2.1 and the SCC entry "Place of Work". The bidding entity remains solely responsible to OIL for the whole of the services, and all costs of such personnel are to the Service Provider's account (SOW Clause 16.10).
19	BEC	2.1 (ii) and Note (I) (b)	Counting of Jobs / Projects / Wells	Clause 2.1 (ii) requires a minimum of eight jobs, projects or wells in well design and engineering completed globally in the last seven years. Kindly confirm whether each well designed under a single consultancy contract counts as a separate job, project or well for this purpose, or whether one contract is counted as one job irrespective of the number of wells designed under it.	Clarified. Eight (08) completed well designs, whether under a single contract or under multiple contracts, satisfy BEC Clause 2.1 (ii). Each well for which the well design has been completed, evidenced by documents satisfying Note (I)(b), shall be counted as one job. Work executed for the bidder's or supporting company's own organisation, parent, subsidiary, sister subsidiary / co-subsidiary or joint venture, and work executed through sub-contracting, shall not be counted.
20	BEC	2.1, Note (I) (a) and (b)	Client Confidentiality and Evidence of Experience	Well design and engineering consultancy contracts are ordinarily subject to client confidentiality obligations which prevent release of the contract document. Kindly confirm that OIL will accept redacted contract documents, with client identity, commercial terms and well data redacted, together with a client-issued completion certificate, Service Entry Sheet or Certificate of Payment; and that where a client declines to issue any certificate, a CEO certification under Note (I)(a) supported by information in the public domain will be accepted for the corresponding project.	Clarified. Redaction of client identity, commercial terms and well data is acceptable, provided the particulars required by Note (I)(b)(B)(i) to (iii) of BEC Clause 2.1 remain legible on the face of the documents and the documents are verified and certified by an empanelled TPIA under BEC Clause 10.2. The CEO certification route at Note (I)(a) is available only in support of BEC Clause 2.1 (i) and does not substitute for the completion evidence required by Note (I)(b) against BEC Clause 2.1 (ii). An LOI / LOA or Work Order alone is not acceptable (Note (I)(d)).
21	BEC	10.0	Third-Party Verification of Overseas Documents	Where the experience and quality criteria documents are held by the foreign supporting company at its premises outside India, kindly confirm that verification and	Clarified. Bidders are required to have their requisite documents verified and certified by any one of the Independent Third-Party Inspection Agencies

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
				certification by an empanelled Independent Third-Party Inspection Agency may be carried out at those overseas premises, or remotely against the originals by secure electronic means, and that the resulting Inspection Certificate will be accepted. Kindly also confirm that the seven-day post bid-opening undertaking route at Clause 10.3 is available in full in respect of such documents.	empaneled by OIL as listed under clause no. 10.1 of the BEC. Further, any aspect regarding Certification of Documents by Independent Third-Party Inspection Agencies (TPIA) shall be guided by the clause(s) as stipulated under clause no. 10.0 of the BEC.
22	Bid document	Introduction paras (ii) and (xix)	Extension of Bid Closing Date	The last date for receipt of queries is 27.08.2026, the pre-bid conference is scheduled for 01.09.2026 and the bid closing date is 10.09.2026. Compliance requires, among other things, third-party verification involving a physical inspector visit, statutory auditor certificates dated within thirty days of bid closing, notarised documentation, and preparation of the technical presentation and key personnel curricula vitae. In view of the responses to the pre-bid queries becoming available only after 01.09.2026, bidders are respectfully requested to extend the bid closing date by a minimum of three to four weeks, so as to permit meaningful and fully compliant participation.	The bid closing date has been extended. The revised bid closing / opening date shall be as notified on the GeM portal.
23	BEC and Checklist for Bid Quality Evaluation	BEC clause 8.0, and Quality Criteria item 1.1 under Checklist for Bid Quality Evaluation	Definition of True Horizontal Drift	Kindly define "true horizontal drift" for the purposes of the quality criteria. Specifically, confirm whether this refers to the horizontal displacement or departure measured from the surface location to the deepest target, and confirm whether each of the five wells must individually meet or exceed the stated threshold, or whether the threshold applies to the aggregate or average of the five wells.	Clarified. "True horizontal drift" means the horizontal displacement from the surface location to the target depth of the well. Each of the five (05) wells shall individually meet the applicable threshold; the threshold does not apply to the aggregate or average of the wells.
24	BEC; Profile of Consultants and SOW	BEC Clause 8.0 item 3; Profile of Consultants clauses 1.2	Mapping of Key Personnel Roles to Quality Marks	The Profile of Consultants document requires four Core Team roles at Clause 1.2, namely a Drilling Consultant, a Senior Drilling Consultant / Senior Design Consultant, a Senior Directional Drilling Consultant and a	Confirmed: (i) only three expert roles are scored under Quality Criteria item 3, and the sub-paragraph lettering (a), (b), (c) shall be read as (a), (b), (c); (ii) "Project Manager / Lead Manager"

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
		and 2.0; SOW Clause 16.3		Senior Completion Consultant, together with a Project Coordinator cum Team Leader designated from amongst them. The quality criteria at item 3, however, allocate marks only to a Project Manager / Lead Manager, a Directional Drilling Consultant and a Completion Expert, and the sub-paragraph lettering runs (a), (b), (e). Kindly confirm (a) the mapping between the roles named in the quality criteria and the roles required at Clause 1.2; (b) that the Project Manager / Lead Manager to be scored is the Project Coordinator cum Team Leader designated under Clause 1.2; (c) that no marks attach to the Senior Drilling Consultant / Senior Design Consultant, notwithstanding the demanding minimum of twenty-five years and ten well designs applicable to that role; and (d) that the lettering (a), (b), (e) is a typographical error and that only three scored expert roles are intended.	means the Project Coordinator cum Team Leader designated under BEC Clause 7.2 and SOW Clause 16.2; (iii) "Directional Drilling Consultant" and "Completion Expert" mean the Senior Directional Drilling Consultant at BEC Clause 7.2 (c) and the Senior Completion Consultant at BEC Clause 7.2 (d) respectively; and (iv) no marks are allocated to the Senior Drilling Consultant / Senior Design Consultant at BEC Clause 7.2 (b), which remains a qualifying requirement.
25	Profile of Consultants and SOW	Profile of Consultants clauses 1.1 and 1.2 (a); SOW Clause 16.1 and 16.3 (a)	Minimum Experience of the Drilling Consultant	Clause 1.1 requires each Expert or Consultant to hold a minimum of twenty years of total work experience "or as defined below", while Clause 1.2 (a) requires the Drilling Consultant to hold a minimum of fifteen years. Kindly confirm that the words "or as defined below" operate so that the role-specific minima at Clause 1.2 (a) to (d) govern, and that a Drilling Consultant with fifteen years of experience and five well designs, including at least one onshore and one offshore, is compliant.	Confirmed. Where a role-specific minimum is prescribed at BEC Clause 7.2 (a) to (d), that minimum governs for that role. A Drilling Consultant with a minimum of 15 years' experience and 05 (five) well designs / projects, of which at least one is onshore and one offshore, is compliant with BEC Clause 7.2 (a).
26	ITB and BEC	ITB Clause 31.1; BEC Clause 8.0 Note (vii); BEC Clause 10.2 (c)	Cross-Reference Corrections	Kindly confirm the following apparent cross-referencing errors so that the bid may be compiled correctly: (a) the Integrity Pact is referred to as Proforma-XIII at ITB Clause 31.1 and as Proforma-IX at Clause 5.14 — please confirm which proforma governs; and (b) the references to "Clause 7.0" in respect of key personnel at BEC Clause 8.0 Note (vii)	(a) The Integrity Pact shall be as per Proforma-XIII of the tender. The reference to Proforma-IX at BEC Clause 5.14 is corrected in the revised/amended BEC. (b) The references to "Clause 7.0" at BEC Clause 8.0 Note (vii) and BEC

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
				and BEC Clause 10.2 (c) appear to be intended as references to Clause 16.0 of the Scope of Work — please confirm.	Clause 10.2 (c) are to BEC Clause 7.0 (Core Team Experience), which is the correct reference.
27	SOW	2.1, 13.0 and 19.0	Location of the Design Office	Clause 2.1 requires the services to be executed at the Service Provider's own design office or technical centre, and Clause 19.0 expressly contemplates that facility being located outside India. Kindly confirm that the design work may be executed at the Service Provider group's technical centre outside India, that this is fully compliant with the Scope of Work, and that it does not prejudice compliance with the local content requirement at BEC Clause 1.0.	Confirmed. The design work may be executed at the Service Provider's own design office or technical centre, whether in India or outside India. Local content under BEC Clause 1.0 is a separate and independent obligation of not less than 20%; the location of the design office does not qualify or dilute that obligation, and failure to maintain local content during execution attracts the consequences stated at BEC Clause 1.0.
28	SOW	13.0	Transfer of Subsurface Data Outside India	The design scope requires access to seismic, geological, well, petrophysical and production data. Kindly confirm that OIL will obtain and provide all approvals required from the Directorate General of Hydrocarbons and any other authority for transfer of such data outside India, and indicate the expected timeline for those approvals. Where any category of data cannot be transferred outside India, kindly clarify the arrangement OIL envisages, for example secure remote access to an OIL-hosted environment, and confirm that any delay in obtaining such approvals will not count against the Service Provider's timelines under Clause 15.0.	Clarified. OIL shall be responsible for obtaining the statutory approvals, if any, required for transfer of OIL's data outside India. Where any category of data cannot be transferred outside India, OIL shall make it available through such alternative arrangement as OIL may decide. The Service Provider's timeline for the Offset Well Review Report, Subsurface Uncertainty Statement and Basis of Design runs from receipt of the available / acceptable Data Pack, and delay attributable to OIL shall not count against the Service Provider's timelines. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clauses 15.2, 15.3 and 15.5).
29	SOR	6.2	Payment Timeline – Three-Way Conflict	Three different payment periods are stated across the bid documents. GeM GTC Clause 12 (A)(ii) provides for payment within ten days of issue of the Service Delivery Acceptance Certificate. The GeM bid document states forty-five days from issue of the SDAC, in supersession of that clause. SOR Clause 6.2 states thirty days from receipt of an undisputed invoice. The	Clarified. Payment shall be made within 30 (thirty) days from the date of receipt of the undisputed invoice, in terms of SOR Clause 6.2, which shall prevail.

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
				STC/SLA at Clause 6.1 states no period at all. Kindly confirm which provision prevails and the payment period that will apply to this contract.	
30	SOR and SOW	SOR Clause 3.0 and Clause 4.1; SOW Clause 1.4, 7A.0 and 9.0	Single Blended Rate for Dissimilar Well Types	The Schedule of Rates provides one lump-sum unit rate for fifteen wells, while the Scope of Work spans conventional onshore wells through to HPHT, MPD, ERD, multilateral and hydraulically fractured wells and offshore wells. Offshore wells attract the whole of the additional scope at Clause 9.0, including shallow hazard and site survey interpretation, geotechnical and spudcan assessment to ISO 19905-1, metocean assessment, marine riser analysis to API RP 16Q and BOP philosophy to API STD 53, and fractured wells attract the whole of Clause 7A.0. The engineering effort therefore differs by a material multiple between well classes. Bidders respectfully request that the Schedule of Rates be amended to provide separate line items, at minimum for (i) onshore conventional wells, (ii) onshore complex wells, being HPHT, MPD, ERD, multilateral and hydraulically fractured wells, and (iii) offshore wells. In the alternative, kindly provide the indicative split of the fifteen wells between onshore and offshore and between the well types listed at Clause 1.4, so that a single blended rate can be responsibly priced.	The request for separate line items is not accepted; the single lump-sum unit rate and the price bid format are retained. In the alternative requested, an indicative distribution of the 15 wells has been provided for pricing information only: Onshore Conventional – 03, Onshore Complex – 07, Offshore Conventional – 02, Offshore Complex – 03, with a definition of each category. The distribution is tentative and no price adjustment shall arise from the actual mix of wells released. The offshore scope at SOW Clause 9.0 has also been revised. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 1 (SOW Clause 1.4). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 5 (SOW Clause 9.0).
31	SOW	17.0	Option for Additional Fifteen Wells	Kindly confirm that the option for a further fifteen wells is exercisable at OIL's sole discretion within the firm contract period only, and that the additional wells are expected to follow broadly the same distribution of well types and onshore or offshore classification as the first fifteen. Kindly also confirm whether the Performance Security is to be increased on exercise of the option, and if so on what basis.	Clarified. The option for a further 15 wells is exercisable at OIL's sole discretion within the firm contract period only, at rates not exceeding those originally quoted (SOW Clause 17.0). No assurance is given as to the distribution of well types or onshore / offshore classification of the option wells. On exercise of the option, the Performance Security shall be

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					enhanced to 3% of the enhanced contract value.
32	ITB	ITB clause 27.0	Basis of the Performance Security	Kindly confirm that the three percent Performance Security is computed on the notional contract value derived from the quoted unit rate applied to the fifteen wells shown in the Schedule of Rates, and not on the value of wells actually released. Kindly also confirm the treatment where OIL releases fewer wells than the estimated quantity, given that Clause 3.3 of the Scope of Work provides no guarantee as to the number of wells to be released.	Clarified. The Performance Security shall be 3% of the total awarded contract value, and not on the value of wells actually released. No reduction of the Performance Security shall be made on account of the number of wells actually released.
33	ITB	ITB clause 12.0	Mode and Timing of Submission of Bid Security	Kindly confirm that the Bid Security of INR 59,75,000.00 may be furnished as an electronic Bank Guarantee through the GeM portal via the advisory bank, and that where an electronic Bank Guarantee is furnished the physical original need not additionally be lodged at Duliajan. Where a physical instrument is required, kindly confirm whether the period for lodgement is five working days from bid opening as provided in GeM GTC Clause 4 (xiii)(m), or such other period as stated in the tender. Kindly also confirm the acceptability of an insurance surety bond, as indicated in the GeM bid document and in GeM GTC Clause 2 (l), and the prescribed format for the same.	Clarified. Bid Security may be furnished in the form of electronic Bank Guarantee (e-BG) as per provisions mentioned under clause no. 12.12 of the Instruction to Bidders (ITB) of the tender. In cases, where an electronic Bank Guarantee is furnished, the physical original may not additionally be lodged at Duliajan. However, in all other cases, the original hard copy of Bid Security should reach the office of CGM-CONTRACTS on or before 14.15 (IST) on the bid closing/ opening date otherwise bid will be rejected, as stipulated under clause no. 12.2 (c) of the ITB. In all cases, a scanned copy of Bid Security document should be uploaded along with the Unpriced Techno-Commercial Bid documents, as stipulated under clause no. 12.2 (d) of the ITB.
34	GCC	16.0 (b)	Limitation of Liability	GeM GTC Clause 18 provides that neither party shall be liable for special, incidental, punitive, exemplary or consequential damages, and caps aggregate liability at the total contract value, subject only to carve-outs for third party intellectual property	Clarified. Limitation of Liability shall be governed by Clause 16.0 of OIL's GCC, the SCC entry for "Limitation of Liability (%)" being "As per GCC".

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
				claims and for gross negligence or wilful misconduct. Kindly confirm that this limitation applies to the present contract and is not displaced by GCC Clause 16.0 (b), and clarify whether the cap of one hundred percent of the Contract Price is to be read as the total contract price or as the price of the well to which the claim relates. Kindly also confirm that the exclusion of consequential and indirect loss extends to loss of production, loss or deferment of reserves, and well, reservoir or formation damage.	
35	SOW	18.0	Professional Indemnity Insurance	Kindly clarify whether professional indemnity insurance is required in respect of this consultancy scope, and if so state the minimum limit of indemnity required. Kindly also confirm whether a professional indemnity policy held by the supporting company and extending to the services performed under this Contract is acceptable.	Yes. Professional Indemnity / Errors and Omissions insurance is required, and the SCC entry "Insurance" has been replaced. In summary: (i) the Professional Indemnity limit of indemnity shall be not less than the Contract Price; (ii) the cover shall be maintained throughout the Contract Period, with a retroactive date not later than the commencement date of the Contract, and shall cover claims arising from services performed during the Contract Period for a minimum of 03 (three) years after expiry / termination of the Contract; (iii) a policy maintained by the bidder itself is the normal requirement; a policy maintained by the parent / supporting company is acceptable only where the bidder is expressly covered as an insured under it and the policy covers the services under this tender with the required limits and territorial / jurisdictional scope; and (iv) policies issued by overseas insurers are acceptable, provided the insurer is duly authorised / licensed in the relevant jurisdiction and the policy is valid and enforceable for the services

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					under this tender, including for claims brought in India. The amended entry also requires Cyber Liability / Data Breach insurance with a limit not less than the Contract Price (together with execution of a Non-Disclosure Agreement with OIL), Employees' Compensation / Employer's Liability insurance (extended to personal accident, medical and evacuation cover for personnel attending an offshore installation or operational site in India), and PMSBY / PMJJBY cover for eligible Indian personnel. The insurances under GCC Clauses 14.1 (a), (b) and (c) and 14.12 (ii) to (v) are not required under this Contract. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 12 (SCC — Insurance).
36	SOW and GCC	SOW Clause 18.0; GCC Clause 16.0	Correction and Re-issue Obligation	Clause 18.0 requires the Service Provider to correct and re-issue at its own cost any discrepancy, error or omission in the deliverables. Kindly confirm that this obligation is limited to re-performance of the affected engineering work and is subject to the limitation of liability at GCC Clause 16.0, and does not extend to drilling, rig or operational costs or to any consequential loss arising in the field.	Confirmed. The obligation under SOW Clause 18.0 to correct and re-issue at the Service Provider's own cost is a remedy of re-performance of the affected engineering work and does not of itself extend to drilling, rig or operational costs. The Service Provider's liability otherwise remains as provided in the Contract, subject to GCC Clause 16.0.
37	SOW and SOR	SOW Clause 8.1; SOR Clause 4.0	Duration and Pricing of Execution Support	Further to queries 4 and 5 above, and noting that Clause 8.1 provides for design support during execution at no additional cost for the duration of drilling and completion, bidders request that the support period be defined as running from spud to rig release for the well concerned. Kindly also confirm that where the design requires review and re-issue because actual subsurface conditions materially depart from the prognosis, and that departure does not arise from an error or omission of the Service Provider, such re-	Execution support shall run from spud to rig release of the well concerned and is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate. Where actual conditions materially depart from the prognosis and the departure is not attributable to the Service Provider, revision and re-issue of the affected design shall form part of the well design service for that well; it shall not constitute a separate well or a separate payable item. However, where the revision amounts

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
				issue will be treated as additional work; and bidders request that a rate for such additional engineering be provided for in the Schedule of Rates.	to a fundamental redesign of the well, OIL may, at its sole discretion and upon a written request from the Service Provider with justification submitted within seven (07) days of receipt of the updated information and before commencing the revision, treat the revised design as a fresh well design, counted against the contract quantity and payable at the quoted lump-sum unit rate; milestone payments for the original design shall in that case cease at the stage achieved and certified. The request for a separate rate in the SOR is not accepted. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 4 (SOW Clause 8.1).
38	SOW	22.0	Intellectual Property	Kindly confirm that OIL's perpetual, irrevocable and royalty-free right to use, copy, modify and re-issue the deliverables, including for wells not covered by this Contract, attaches to the deliverables themselves and does not extend to the Service Provider's pre-existing templates, calculation methodologies, spreadsheets, workflows or proprietary tools, consistent with the final paragraph of Clause 22.0.	Confirmed. OIL's perpetual, irrevocable and royalty-free right attaches to the deliverables produced under this Contract, including the input files and native-format models required under SOW Clauses 13.0 and 14.8. The Service Provider's pre-existing intellectual property, templates, methodologies and proprietary tools are not transferred. However, no proprietary element may be incorporated in a deliverable in a manner that prevents OIL from using, auditing or re-running that deliverable independently after expiry of the Contract (SOW Clause 22.0 and the SCC entry "Intellectual Property Ownership").
39	SOW	19.0	Frequency of the Training Programme	Kindly confirm that the four working day hands-on design training and demonstration programme for six nominated OIL engineers is required once over the contract period, and not once per well, per batch of wells or per year.	Confirmed. The training and demonstration programme is required once during the Contract period.

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
40	SOW and SCC	SOW Clause 15.6 & SCC (Liquidated Damages)	Liquidated Damages – Three Conflicting Regimes	Three different and irreconcilable liquidated damages regimes appear on the face of the bid documents. GeM GTC Clause 15 (iii) provides 0.5 percent of the contract value of the delayed quantity per week, capped at 5 percent of the total contract value and 10 percent in cases of inordinate delay. The STC/SLA at Clause 7.2 provides 0.1 percent of total billing value for delay in commencement and 0.1 percent of the milestone value for delay in deliverables, with deductions capped at 10 percent of the bill for the period and overall at 10 percent of the Contract value. Clause 15.6 of the Scope of Work provides 0.5 percent per week capped at 7.5 percent of the Contract Value applicable to the respective well. Kindly confirm which regime governs, and confirm that the expression "Contract Value applicable to the respective well" means the quoted lump-sum unit rate for that well, so that the cap operates per well and not on the total contract value.	Clarified. Liquidated Damages shall be as per SOW Clauses 15.6 and 15.7 (as amended), with which the SCC entry "Liquidated Damages" and SOR Clause 5.2 (c) (as amended) are to be read, and which shall prevail, i.e.: 0.5% of the Contract Value applicable to the respective well per week or part thereof of delay, subject to a maximum of 7.5% of the Contract Value applicable to that well. "Contract Value applicable to the respective well" means the quoted lump-sum unit rate for that well, and the cap operates per well. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clauses 15.6 and 15.7). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 13 (SOR Clause 5.2 (c)).
41	SOW	SOW Clause 13.1 and Clause 16.12	Specialist Software and Specialist Third Parties	Further to queries 7 and 8 above, and noting that STC/SLA Clause 5.2 (IV) prohibits the Service Provider from transferring, assigning, pledging or sub-contracting its rights and liabilities without the prior written consent of the Buyer, kindly confirm that where a discipline-specific capability is required for a particular well class, for example hydraulic fracture simulation under Clause 7A.0 or marine riser, mooring and site-specific jack-up assessment under Clause 9.0, the Service Provider may engage a specialist third party holding the relevant licence and competence with OIL's prior written consent, and that such engagement will not be treated as prohibited sub-contracting under BEC Clause 2.1 Note (I)(h) or as an assignment under STC/SLA Clause 5.2 (IV).	Confirmed, subject to OIL's prior written approval in terms of SOW Clauses 16.11 and 16.12. Engagement of a specialist third party with OIL's prior written approval shall not constitute subcontracting for the purposes of the SCC, nor an assignment or transfer of the Service Provider's rights or liabilities. The Service Provider shall remain solely responsible to OIL for the whole of the services (SOW Clause 16.13), and all costs of such engagement are included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate. Work executed through a specialist third party shall not be counted as the bidder's experience under BEC Clause 2.1. Note that the site-specific jack-up

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					assessment and detailed marine riser analysis are no longer within the Service Provider's scope (refer Amendment Sl. No. 5). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 11 (SCC — Subcontracting). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 9 (SOW Clause 16.11).
42	Price Bid Format: Proforma-B	---	Price Bid Mechanics and Tax-Inclusive Pricing	STC/SLA Clause 9 states that the Service Formula is Total Price (inclusive of Taxes) = A, being the lump sum project cost, and GeM GTC Clause 8 (i) requires offer prices to be on an all-inclusive basis including all taxes. Kindly confirm (a) that the lump-sum rate to be quoted in the Schedule of Rates is to be inclusive of GST; (b) the structure of the Excel price bid format, in particular the number of line items to be quoted and the fields in which the GST-exclusive base price and the applicable GST rate are to be entered; and (c) whether a separate price break-up in Proforma-B is required before award in addition to the Excel upload.	Clarified. May refer to clause no. 5.2 of the BEC.
43	SCC, ITB, GCC, SOW and SOR	SCC (Performance Security); ITB Clause 27.0; GCC Clause 10.1 and 1.2.9; SOW Clause 3.3; SOR Clause 3.0	Performance Security to be Linked to Wells Actually Released	The Performance Security is stated as three percent of Contract Value and is due within thirty days of the Letter of Award. Read with the definition of Contract Price / Value at GCC Clause 1.2.9 and the estimated quantity of fifteen wells at SOR Clause 3.0, the security therefore falls due on the full notional value of fifteen wells before any Well Design Notice has been issued. Clause 3.3 of the Scope of Work, however, provides that OIL gives no guarantee, assurance or commitment as to the number of wells that will actually be released, and that payment shall be made only against wells actually released and accepted. There is accordingly no correspondence between the security furnished and the work that may in fact be awarded. Bidders respectfully request that the Performance Security be linked to wells	Clarified. The request to link the Performance Security to wells actually released is not accepted. The Performance Security shall be 3% of the total awarded contract value.

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
				actually called off, by one of the following mechanisms: (a) an initial Performance Security computed on a stated minimum number of wells, stepped up as further wells are released under Well Design Notices; or (b) a Performance Security initially furnished on the full estimated quantity but reduced annually, pro rata, against the wells released to date. In the alternative, kindly confirm the basis on which the Contract Value for this purpose will be computed, and the treatment where the wells released over the contract period are materially fewer than the estimated quantity of fifteen.	
44	GCC, SOW and SCC	GCC Clause 10.7; SOW Clause 15.6 and SCC (Liquidated Damages)	Proportionality of Encashment of Performance Security	Liquidated Damages under Clause 15.6 of the Scope of Work are computed and capped by reference to the Contract Value applicable to the individual well concerned. GCC Clause 10.7, by contrast, permits encashment of the Performance Security in respect of failure to fulfil obligations or non-performance or unsatisfactory performance, without any proportionality to the well concerned and without OIL being required to prove loss or damage. Kindly confirm that where a default relates to an individual well or Well Design Notice, recourse to the Performance Security will be limited to the amount attributable to that well, and that the Performance Security will not be encashed in full on account of a default confined to one well out of the estimated quantity. Kindly also confirm that recourse to the Performance Security in respect of delay is limited to sums remaining due after application of the Liquidated Damages cap of 7.5 percent for the well concerned, so that the same delay does not attract both a capped Liquidated Damages deduction and unlimited recourse to the Performance Security.	Clarified.
45	BEC	2.1	The bidder must have an	OIL may kindly consider revising the	No change. The existing tender

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			experience in consultancy work related to oil and gas business globally in G&G interpretation, prospect evaluation and Petroleum System Modelling and other works similar to those described in the Scope of Work (SoW)/ Terms of Reference (TOR) for a period of atleast 20 (Twenty) years as on the original bid closing date.	eligibility clause to require 15 years of global experience instead of 20 years.	condition prevails.
46	BEC	2.1) j)	If the prospective bidder is executing a contract as per Clause No. 2.1 of BEC which is still running, and quantity of the jobs executed prior to original bid closing date is equal to or more than the minimum prescribed numbers of jobs mentioned in Clause no. 2.1 of BEC, such experience shall qualify for evaluation.	We respectfully submit this request regarding Clause No. 2.1 of the Bid Evaluation Criteria (BEC). As per the current wording, the clause requires bidders to have executed min 08 number of wells or more to be executed under ongoing contract We wish to highlight that our ongoing contract with OIL at Duliajan is for a total of 10 wells. Out of these, we have duly completed and executed 05 wells that were offered to us to add to 04 wells in our OIL's KGB Contract. Total (09 wells) This demonstrates our capability and experience in line with the minimum criteria (08 wells). However, the allocation of additional wells under the contract is beyond our control, and the current wording of the clause may inadvertently disadvantage us despite our proven track record. We therefore sincerely request OIL to kindly consider modifying Clause No. 2.1 of the BEC by removing the wording "equal to or minimum number of wells as prescribed." This will ensure that bidders who have successfully executed the wells offered under their ongoing contracts, and who possess overall experience exceeding the minimum criteria, are not excluded due to factors outside their control	No change. The existing tender condition prevails.

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
47	BEC	8.0	Experience in consultancy related to oil and gas business globally in Well Design Engineering and completions, for a period of 30 (Thirty) years or more as on the original bid closing date. (Marks 20) Experience in consultancy related to oil and gas business globally in Well Design Engineering and completions, for a period of 25 (Twenty-Five) years or more but less than 30(Thirty) years as on the original bid closing date. (Marks 15)	Experience in consultancy related to oil and gas business globally in Well Design Engineering and completions, for a period of 20 (Twenty) years or more as on the original bid closing date. (Marks 20) Experience in consultancy related to oil and gas business globally in Well Design Engineering and completions, for a period of 15 years or more but less than 20 years as on the original bid closing date. (Marks 15) Experience in consultancy related to oil and gas business globally in Well Design Engineering and completions, for a period of 15 years (marks 10)	No change. The existing tender condition prevails.
48	BEC	8.0	Bidder experience: The bidder having experience in Well Design Experience of having successfully completed well design of 05 wells of 3000 + m or more true horizontal drift	As per the Bid Evaluation Criteria (BEC) outlined in the tender, there is no provision requiring qualification on the basis of well design experience or horizontal drift parameters. In view of this, we respectfully submit that such conditions should not be incorporated into the Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS) framework. Including criteria outside the scope of the BEC may inadvertently restrict competition and reduce bidder participation. We therefore request that the QCBS evaluation be aligned strictly with the BEC provisions to ensure fairness, transparency, and wider participation.	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. The qualifying criteria at BEC Clause 2.1 and the Quality Criteria at BEC Clause 8.0 serve different purposes; the Quality Criteria evaluate the bidder's well design record, including true horizontal drift, for the purpose of the minimum qualifying mark.
49	---	---	---	We wish to respectfully submit a clarification regarding the QCBS framework outlined in the current tender. While the QCBS criteria presently do not require offshore experience, we believe that such experience should be duly considered. As the tender scope is PAN India, covering both onshore and offshore well design, it is important that the	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. Offshore experience is required at the qualification stage for each Core Team role under BEC Clause 7.2, each of which must include at least one onshore and one offshore well / project.

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
				evaluation framework reflects the full breadth of expertise required. Offshore well design involves unique technical challenges and operational complexities, and firms with proven offshore experience bring added value and assurance of capability.	
50	SOW	6.2 Note	The Service Provider/consultant shall provide the conceptual well design within 30 days from the date of handover of the available/acceptable Data Pack for the relevant Well Design Notice (WDN).	30 days to be changed to 90 days	The request for 90 days is not accepted. The phase-wise timelines have been restructured: the Offset Well Review Report, Subsurface Uncertainty Statement and Basis of Design within 15 days of receipt of the available / acceptable Data Pack; the initial Conceptual Well Design within 30 days of OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design; time taken by OIL for review excluded. For a well demonstrated to be critical, a written request for additional time may be made within 05 days of submission of the BOD, for OIL's consideration. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 3 (SOW Clause 6.2). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clauses 15.2 and 15.3). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 2 (SOW Clause 4.1).
51	SOW	9.1	Review of geotechnical data and soil boring reports for foundation, conductor and spudcan design	We suggest to remove Spudcan design	Accepted. Spudcan design is not in the Service Provider's scope. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 5 (SOW Clause 9.1).
52	SOW	9.2	For jack-up units: site-specific assessment including leg penetration and spudcan analysis, punch-through and leg-scour risk, leg length and air gap adequacy, preload requirements, and assessment of any adjacent-footprint interaction, consistent	We suggest to remove this clause	Accepted in part. Performance of the jack-up site-specific assessment is not in the Service Provider's scope. The Service Provider shall review the completed and verified site-specific assessment provided by the rig owner / MWS and incorporate its relevant findings into the rig selection, the rig-well compatibility matrix, the well design and the risk register, and shall raise any resulting design constraint

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			with ISO 19905-1		for OIL's decision. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 5 (SOW Clause 9.2).
53	SOW	15.0	PHASE-1B: Conceptual Well Design as per Clause 6.0 Review of Conceptual Well Design by OIL Within 30 days from the date of Handover of the available/acceptable Data Pack for the relevant Well Design Notice (WDN) Within 15 days from submission of Conceptual Well Design	30 days to be changed to 90 days	The request for 90 days is not accepted. The phase-wise timelines have been restructured: the Offset Well Review Report, Subsurface Uncertainty Statement and Basis of Design within 15 days of receipt of the available / acceptable Data Pack; the initial Conceptual Well Design within 30 days of OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design; time taken by OIL for review excluded. For a well demonstrated to be critical, a written request for additional time may be made within 05 days of submission of the BOD, for OIL's consideration. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 3 (SOW Clause 6.2). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clauses 15.2 and 15.3). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 2 (SOW Clause 4.1).
54	SOW	13.1	"1D mechanical earth model, three-dimensional propagation, PPFG and wellbore stability"	Please clarify the scope and intended meaning of "three-dimensional propagation". In particular, please confirm whether this requirement implies that a full 3D geomechanical model is required for each/every well	Clarified. A full 3D geomechanical model is not required for each well, and the expression "three-dimensional propagation" has been deleted. The Service Provider shall review the geomechanical model furnished by OIL (or, where none is furnished, the PPFG model furnished by OIL), assess its adequacy and applicability for the well design, and carry out wellbore stability analysis along the planned trajectory, recorded in a Geomechanical Model Review Note. Development or comprehensive updating of a geomechanical model does not form part of the routine scope. Where OIL's model is assessed as inadequate, the Service Provider shall state the deficiency and its design consequence in writing, and OIL may direct design

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					to a documented conservative envelope approved by OIL. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 3 (SOW Clause 6.2). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 6 (SOW Clause 13.1, Table 13.1-B, Ref. B5). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 7 (SOW Clause 14.3).
55	SOW	16.1-16.3 and 16.11	The tender specifies a minimum four-person Core Team comprising the defined drilling, directional drilling and completion positions. Clause 16.11 states that an Extended Delivery Team may be utilised at the Consultant's cost.	Minimum one (1) Senior Geomechanics Engineer and one (1) Senior Petrophysicist should be included. Where 3D geomechanical modelling is required, an additional Senior Geomechanics/GeoModeller should also be included	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. The composition of the Core Team is a qualification requirement under BEC Clause 7.0. Discipline specialists, including geomechanics and petrophysics, may be deployed through the Extended Delivery Team at the Service Provider's cost. A full 3D geomechanical model, primary seismic interpretation and petrophysical interpretation are not within the Scope of Work. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 9 (SOW Clause 16.11). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 3 (SOW Clause 6.2). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 7 (SOW Clause 14.3).
56	SOW	14.3	Estimate of uncertainty on geophysical picks and on the geological prognosis • Description of key geological risks	Please clarify responsibility Normally these tasks are part of seismic interpretation, not seismic data review.	Clarified. Primary seismic interpretation, estimation of uncertainty on geophysical picks and petrophysical interpretation are not in the Service Provider's scope. The interpreted data (seismic mapping, time-depth model, petrophysical data and rock typing) shall be furnished by OIL. The Service Provider shall review it for fitness for purpose for well design, identify data gaps, assess the effect of the uncertainty stated by OIL on the well design, and carry the key geological risks into the risk register. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 7 (SOW Clause 14.3).
57	SOW	14.3 Table	Petrophysical interpretation and rock	Please clarify the responsibility for petrophysical interpretation/re-	Clarified. Primary seismic interpretation, estimation of

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			typing	interpretation.	uncertainty on geophysical picks and petrophysical interpretation are not in the Service Provider's scope, and the software requirement for petrophysical interpretation and rock typing has been deleted from SOW Clause 13.1. The interpreted data (seismic mapping, time-depth model, petrophysical data and rock typing) shall be furnished by OIL. The Service Provider shall review it for fitness for purpose for well design, identify data gaps, assess the effect of the uncertainty stated by OIL on the well design, and carry the key geological risks into the risk register. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 7 (SOW Clause 14.3). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 6 (SOW Clause 13.1).
58	SOW	14.3 Table	Hydraulic fracture design and simulation	Please clarify the anticipated number of wells, out of the initial 15 wells, for which hydraulic-fracture design and simulation are expected to be performed	Clarified. OIL does not commit to a specific number of such wells. Wells with multistage hydraulic-fracturing completions fall within the Onshore Complex and Offshore Complex categories of the indicative distribution. The software capability required for such wells is limited to fracture geometry screening and sensitivity analysis to the extent required to establish the trajectory, hole geometry, casing and liner scheme, cement design and tubular selection (Table 13.1-B, Ref. B2). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 1 (SOW Clause 1.4). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 6 (SOW Clause 13.1).
59	SOW	14.3 Table	Subsurface visualization and well placement in three dimensions	Please clarify the intended scope and deliverables associated with "well placement in three dimensions". Does it mean only 3D geological visualization of the designed trajectory?	Clarified. The requirement means three-dimensional visualisation of the designed trajectory against the structural surfaces, grids and offset wellbore data furnished by OIL, for horizontal, ERD, multilateral and

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					geosteered wells, where so specified in the WDN. It does not include construction of a geological or reservoir model. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 6 (SOW Clause 13.1, Table 13.1-B, Ref. B6).
60	SOW	---	---	We request Oil India to provide an estimated number of wells for each well type which are anticipated to constitute the 15 well requirement over 4 years. Reason for the question being that the resourcing and software requirements are quite different and if the sequencing of planning requirements can be optimised rather than continuously available over a 4 years period but unused there would be an efficiency savings which could be passed on to Oil India.	Well types cannot be fixed at this stage. An indicative distribution of the 15 wells by category has been provided for pricing information only: Onshore Conventional – 03, Onshore Complex – 07, Offshore Conventional – 02, Offshore Complex – 03. It does not constitute a guarantee, minimum quantity or commitment. SOW Clause 3.3, the single lump-sum unit rate and the price bid format remain unchanged. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 1 (SOW Clause 1.4).
61	SOW	---	---	We request Oil India to confirm if the specialists who are to be provided in addition to the specified core team are to be charged on a call off day-rate basis when required or if the costs are to be included in the total (for context also see previous question with respect to providing an optimal commercial solution to Oil India)	Clarified. The cost of all specialist input required to deliver the scope of work for a released well, including any Extended Delivery Team and any approved specialist third party, is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate. No call-off day rate, man-day rate or reimbursable charge is payable in respect of such personnel. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 9 (SOW Clause 16.11).
62	BEC	---	Eligibility Criteria	Please confirm if there are any restrictions relating to utilising the statistics of a co-subsidiary sister company.	Accepted. The BEC has been amended by insertion of Clause 2.1 (II)(b), permitting offers from bidders who do not themselves meet the experience criteria at BEC Clause 2.1 (i) and 2.1 (ii) on the basis of the experience of their Sister Subsidiary / Co-Subsidiary company within the ultimate parent / holding company, subject to the conditions, documents, agreements (PROFORMA-Q and PROFORMA-N),

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					Performance Security undertaking (PROFORMA-R) and Key Personnel declaration stipulated in the amended clause. Refer the BEC amendment published with this corrigendum. No other BEC clause is amended, save as stated in the BEC amendment.
63	SOW	1.2 and 1.3	Oil India proposes to drill technically complex wells across its acreages and intends to engage a Well Design and Engineering consultant of international repute to carry out complete well design engineering for 15 wells on a Pan-India basis, covering both onshore and offshore acreages, over a Contract period of 04 years, with a provision for an additional 15 wells within the Contract period at rates not exceeding the originally quoted rates. Area of Operations:- OIL's operated and non-operated acreages, blocks and fields across India, both onshore and offshore, including but not limited to the Assam-Arakan Basin, Rajasthan, Krishna-Godavari (KG) Basin onshore and offshore, Mahanadi, Cauvery, Andaman and Kerala-Konkan, together with any further acreage acquired by OIL during the currency of the	Looking into the scope please confirm if Oil India project includes Deepwater wells? In any section of the tender document, there is no specific mention about Deepwater wells to assess Deepwater capability. We caution Oil India against a situation where Onshore or non-deepwater capability is used to design or manage complex deepwater campaigns. If the project also covers Deepwater well then we suggest Oil India to kindly consider adding deepwater specific criteria in the BEC.	Clarified. OIL does not at this stage commit to the release of any well in a particular water depth. Any deepwater well, if released, falls within the Offshore Complex category of the indicative distribution. The BEC is not amended; offshore experience is required of each Core Team role under BEC Clause 7.2, and a Deepwater / Marine Well Engineering Specialist may be deployed under the Extended Delivery Team where required. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 1 (SOW Clause 1.4). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 9 (SOW Clause 16.11).

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			Contract.		
64	SOW	15.4	Where OIL releases wells in a batch under a single Well Design Notice, the above timelines shall apply to the batch as a whole unless otherwise agreed in writing at the time of issue of that Notice.	Company to advise if the WDN includes a batch of wells, will these be drilled into the same reservoir such that the same basis of well design will be applicable to all the well in the batch? If the wells are in different reservoirs, will the time frame of the study be adjusted to allow review of each well design for the respective reservoir to be accessed?	Clarified. The wells in a batch may be of differing well types, categories and profiles and may target different reservoirs, fields, prospects or locations. The timelines apply to the batch as a whole unless otherwise agreed by OIL at the time of issue of the WDN. A batch shall not exceed 03 wells, and for a well demonstrated to be critical the Service Provider may request additional time within 05 days of submission of the BOD. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clauses 15.3 and 15.4).
65	BEC	7.2	Core Team Experience	A lot of emphasis is placed on total years of experience as compared to actual well design experience. Focus should be on Actual well design experience than number of years	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. BEC Clause 7.2 already requires, for each role, a minimum number of well designs / projects in addition to the minimum years of experience.
66	BEC	2.0	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Request to also allow borrowing technical experience from sister subsidiary.	Accepted. The BEC has been amended by insertion of Clause 2.1 (II)(b), permitting offers from bidders who do not themselves meet the experience criteria at BEC Clause 2.1 (i) and 2.1 (ii) on the basis of the experience of their Sister Subsidiary / Co-Subsidiary company within the ultimate parent / holding company, subject to the conditions, documents, agreements (PROFORMA-Q and PROFORMA-N), Performance Security undertaking (PROFORMA-R) and Key Personnel declaration stipulated in the amended clause. Refer the BEC amendment published with this corrigendum. No other BEC clause is amended, save as stated in the BEC amendment.
67	---	---	---	Is subcontracting allowed?	Subcontracting of the services is not allowed. Engagement of a specialist

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					third party with OIL's prior written approval in terms of SOW Clause 16.12 is permitted and is not treated as subcontracting; the Service Provider remains solely responsible to OIL for the whole of the services. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 11 (SCC — Subcontracting).
68	BEC	7.2	Core Team Experience	Do Drilling and Senior Drilling Consultant need to be different?	Yes. The Core Team comprises a minimum of four Experts / Consultants, and the four roles at BEC Clause 7.2 (a) to (d) shall be held by four different individuals, each meeting the minimum experience prescribed for that role. The Project Coordinator cum Team Leader shall be designated from amongst them and shall have a minimum of 25 years' experience in the Drilling domain.
69	BEC	2.1 i.	The bidder must have experience in oil and gas business globally in Well Design, Engineering and completion for a period of not less than 20 (Twenty) years as on the original bid closing date.	Request this be set at 15 years not twenty.	No change. The existing tender condition prevails.
70	BEC	2.1 ii.	Additionally, the bidder must have experience of completing minimum 08 (Eight) nos. of jobs/projects/wells in well design and Engineering globally during the last 07 (Seven) years reckoned from the original bid closing date.	Extend this to 15 year duration. Number of jobs/ projects/ wells is fine. Allow us to utilise all company experience within 15 year window.	No change. The existing tender condition prevails.
71	BEC	4.1	Annual Financial Turnover from Operation of the bidder during any of the preceding 03 (Three)	Please revise this to INR 2 Crore instead of 3.74	No change. The existing tender condition prevails.

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			financial/Accounting years reckoned from the original bid closing date should be minimum INR 3.74 Crore.		
72	BEC	7.2 c)	Senior Directional drilling consultant (Minimum 1 no): The Senior directional drilling consultant must have experience of at least 20 years in Drilling domain out of which at least 12 years in directional drilling globally (out of which at least one project should be of onshore and one offshore) as on original bid closing date.	Reduce 12 years of directional drilling experience to 5 years. Many great directional drillers will move into SNr Drilling Supervisor roles after 5 years. Keeping this at 12 will restrict potential quality talent for the role.	No change. The existing tender condition prevails.
73	SOW	7.0 26.	The Service Provider shall, if required by OIL, be physically available at Duliajan or Kakinada, as applicable, to present and discuss the proposed Conceptual Well Design	Can it be confirmed that this relates to Phase 2 - "Detailed Well Design, Programmes and AFE"? Can it be confirmed that Service Provider may be required to physically attend discussions in Duliajan for both Conceptual AND Detailed Design Phases?	Clarified. The bullet under SOW Clause 7.0 relates to Phase 2, and the words "Conceptual Well Design" in that bullet shall be read as "Detailed Well Design, Programmes and AFE". OIL may require the Service Provider to be physically available at Duliajan or Kakinada, as applicable, for both the Conceptual and the Detailed design phases, subject to OIL's discretion to conduct any such presentation virtually. The cost of such attendance is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate.
74	Introduction	Table point (xv)	Mobilization Time 15 days from the date of Mobilisation notice	15 days time is quite limited in terms of finalising the personnel and importantly getting the CV's approved from OIL. This time be extended to 45 days	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. The curricula vitae of the Core Team are submitted and evaluated at bid stage; personnel of the Extended Delivery Team are approved by OIL before deployment in terms of SOW Clause 16.11 (as amended).
75	BEC	2.1 (i)	The bidder must have	This criteria be relaxed to min 5 years, to	No change. The existing tender

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			experience in oil and gas business globally in Well Design, Engineering and completion for a period of not less than 20(Twenty) years as on the original bid closing date	allow participation from the companies born and brought up in India. In last few years we have noted that multinational companies have registered their office, merely to take the advantages of the provision made by government to encourage Make in India & Atma nirbhar Bharat.	condition prevails.
76	BEC	2.1 (ii)	Additionally, the bidder must have experience of completing minimum 08 (Eight) nos. of jobs/projects/wells in well design and Engineering globally during the last 07 (Seven) years reckoned from the original bid closing date.	---	No change. The existing tender condition prevails.
77	BEC	3.0	The bidders must confirm their compliance in their 'technical' bid to complete the mobilization within 15 (Fifteen) days from the date of issue of Mobilization notice. Offers without confirmation of stipulated mobilization time or with mobilization time more than 15 (Fifteen) days will be summarily rejected. The bidder shall confirm compliance of this in their technical bid.	15 days time is quite limited in terms of finalising the personnel and importantly getting the CV's approved from OIL. This time be extended to 45 days	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. The curricula vitae of the Core Team are submitted and evaluated at bid stage; personnel of the Extended Delivery Team are approved by OIL before deployment in terms of SOW Clause 16.11 (as amended).
78	BEC	7.2 a)	Drilling consultant (Minimum 1 No.): The Senior drilling Consultant should have minimum experience of at least 15 years in oil and gas sector/E&P companies globally & have completed	Proposed Wording: Drilling consultant (Minimum 1 No.): The Senior drilling Consultant should have minimum experience of at least 15 years in oil and gas sector/E&P companies globally/Multi-National Companies (MNC's in India) & have completed minimum 05(Five) well designs/project (out of which at least one	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. Experience gained "globally" includes experience gained in India, including with multinational companies operating in India (refer reply at Sl. No. 110).

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			minimum 05(Five) well designs/project (out of which at least one well/project should be of onshore and one offshore) as on the original bid closing date.	well/project should be of onshore and one offshore) as on the original bid closing date.	
79	BEC	7.2 b)	Senior Drilling consultant /Senior Design Consultants (Minimum 1 No.): The Senior Drilling consultant /Senior Design Consultant of at least 25 years of experience in oil and gas sector/E&P companies globally and have completed minimum 10 well designs/projects (out of which at least one well/project should be of onshore and one offshore studies) as on the original bid closing date.	Senior Drilling consultant /Senior Design Consultants (Minimum 1 No.): The Senior Drilling consultant /Senior Design Consultant of at least 25 years of experience in oil and gas sector/E&P companies globally/Multi-National Companies (MNC's in India) and have completed minimum 10 well designs/projects (out of which at least one well/project should be of onshore and one offshore studies) as on the original bid closing date.	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. Experience gained "globally" includes experience gained in India, including with multinational companies operating in India (refer reply at Sl. No. 110).
80	BEC	7.2 c)	Senior Directional drilling consultant (Minimum 1 no): The Senior directional drilling consultant must have experience of at least 20 years in Drilling domain out of which at least 12 years in directional drilling globally (out of which at least one project should be of onshore and one offshore) as on original bid closing date.	Senior Directional drilling consultant (Minimum 1 no): The Senior directional drilling consultant must have experience of at least 20 years in Drilling domain out of which at least 12 years in directional drilling globally/ Multi-National Companies (MNC's in India) (out of which at least one project should be of onshore and one offshore) as on original bid closing date.	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. Experience gained "globally" includes experience gained in India, including with multinational companies operating in India (refer reply at Sl. No. 110).
81	BEC	7.2 d)	Senior Completion consultant (Minimum 1 No.): The Senior completion consultant of at least 20 years in Oil & Gas sector/ E&P	Senior Completion consultant (Minimum 1 No.): The Senior completion consultant Engineer/Consultant must have experience of at least 20 years in Oil & Gas sector/ E&P	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. Experience gained "globally" includes experience gained in India, including with multinational

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			Engineer/Consultant must have experience of at least 20 years in Oil & Gas sector/ E&P companies globally and have relevant expertise as completion consultant in minimum 12 (Twelve) wells/projects (out of which at least one project should be of onshore and one offshore) as on the original bid closing date.	companies globally / Multi-National Companies (MNC's in India) and have relevant expertise as completion consultant in minimum 12 (Twelve) wells/projects (out of which at least one project should be of onshore and one offshore) as on the original bid closing date.	companies operating in India (refer reply at Sl. No. 110).
82	BEC	8.0 1 (a), (b), (c) table	Bidder's experience: Experience of Undertaking Similar Assignment	The Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS) criteria in the subject tender allocate nearly 20% of the total marks to the bidder's corporate experience alone — ranging from 20 marks for firms in existence for 30 years to 10 marks for firms in existence for 20 years. This appears contrary to the guidance contained in the aforesaid Office Memorandum, which specifically cautions against such an imbalance. It is proposed to the same to be amended for 5 to 15 years instead of 20 to 30 yrs and Marks allocated accordingly. Ref issued Memorandum by Ministry of Finance, DPE, Procurement Policy Division vide F. No. 1/3/2026-PPD Dt. 22.07.2026 titled "Advisory regarding prescription of qualification and evaluation criteria in procurement of consultancy services"	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. Under BEC Clauses 8.0 and 9.0, the Quality marks determine whether a bid attains the minimum qualifying mark of 75; the contract is awarded to the bidder with the lowest cost among the qualified bidders, and the Quality marks do not confer any advantage in price ranking. The criteria have been fixed having regard to the complexity and safety-critical nature of the well design services covered by the tender.
83	BEC	8.0 1.1 table	Bidder experience: The bidder having experience in Well Design	Clause 1.1 of the QCBS criteria assigns 20% weightage only to bidders who have successfully designed horizontal drift wells, whereas the tender document itself envisages the design of multiple well classifications and well types. We enclose, for ease of reference, an illustrative matrix prepared on the basis of the tender requirements, which demonstrates the range of well classification	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. Quality Criteria item 1.1 is not restricted to horizontal wells: every completed well design is measured by its true horizontal drift, i.e. the horizontal displacement from the surface location to the target depth (refer reply at Sl. No. 23), and qualifies for marks in the applicable band. The

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
				and well type combinations likely to arise — none of which, other than horizontal drift wells, appear to be recognized for evaluation purposes. We therefore request you to Ref issued Memorandum by Ministry of Finance, DPE, Procurement Policy Division vide F. No. 1/3/2026-PPD Dt. 22.07.2026 titled "Advisory regarding prescription of qualification and evaluation criteria in procurement of consultancy services"	Quality marks determine only whether a bid attains the minimum qualifying mark of 75 (refer reply at Sl. No. 82).
84	---	---	---	As per above provide the well classification & Well types for 15 firm wells. The quote will be provided accordingly. The prise Performa needs to be amended accordingly	The request to amend the price bid format is not accepted; the single lump-sum unit rate is retained. An indicative distribution of the 15 wells by category has been provided for pricing information only: Onshore Conventional – 03, Onshore Complex – 07, Offshore Conventional – 02, Offshore Complex – 03. It does not constitute a guarantee, minimum quantity or commitment. SOW Clause 3.3, the single lump-sum unit rate and the price bid format remain unchanged. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 1 (SOW Clause 1.4).
85	SCC	Section - II Special Conditions of Contract (SCC): Miscellaneous Provisions: Key personnel	The Service Provider shall deploy an integrated core team of a minimum of 04 (Four) Experts / Consultants across the domains detailed under the Scope of Work, to be engaged throughout the duration of the Contract. Each Expert / Consultant shall have work experience in at least 05 (Five) well designs / projects and shall have a minimum of 20 (Twenty) years' total work	Miscellaneous Provisions: Key personnel: The Service Provider shall deploy an integrated core team of a minimum of 04 (Four) Experts / Consultants across the domains detailed under the Scope of Work, to be engaged throughout the duration of the Contract. Each Expert / Consultant shall have work experience in at least 05 (Five) well designs / projects and shall have a minimum of 20 (Twenty) years' total work experience globally / Multi National Companies (MNC's in India) in his relevant domain of the oil & gas sector / E&P companies as on the original bid closing date, or as otherwise specified against the individual role in the Scope of Work.	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. Experience gained "globally" includes experience gained in India, including with multinational companies operating in India (refer reply at Sl. No. 110).

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			experience globally in his relevant domain of the oil & gas sector / E&P companies as on the original bid closing date, or as otherwise specified against the individual role in the Scope of Work.		
86	SCC	Section - II Special Conditions of Contract (SCC): Place of Work	The services shall be executed at the Service Provider's own design office / technical centre with its own infrastructure, software and workforce, in terms of Clause 2.1 of the Scope of Work. Drilling Services, Duliajan and KG Office, Kakinada shall be the locations for the Kick-off Meeting and data gathering session, and for design reviews and presentations as required under the Scope of Work.	Please specify the number of kick of meeting, data gathering session, design reviews and presentation for each well for cost estimation purpose as Lum sump costs are required.	Clarified. One Kick-off Meeting at Duliajan within 15 days of the Mobilisation Notice. For each well: one Data Gathering Session, one Conceptual Well Design presentation and one final Detailed Well Design presentation, each in physical or virtual mode at OIL's discretion. The cost of such meetings is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clauses 15.1 and 15.2). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 3 (SOW Clause 6.2).
87	SOW	3.3	OIL gives no guarantee, assurance or commitment as to the number of wells that will actually be released within the Contract period. Payment shall be made only against wells actually released and designs actually delivered and accepted, in accordance with the milestone schedule in the SOR.	It appears that OIL is expecting the bidders to take exponential financial risk, without any commitment on firm scope. OIL to provide firm commitment on number on well with each well type, classification & technology application to estimate the bidding cost.	No firm commitment on the number of wells or well types can be given at this stage (SOW Clause 3.3). The tender scope is for an estimated 15 wells during the Contract period. For pricing information only, an indicative distribution of the 15 wells by category has been provided. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 1 (SOW Clause 1.4).
88	SOW	4.0	The Service Provider/consultant shall execute all work within a	Please provide the days each gate is expected to take.	Clarified. The gates, the evidence required for their closure, the applicable timelines and the

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			formal stage-gated well delivery process consistent with the practice of international operators. No phase shall commence until the preceding gate has been formally closed by OIL in writing.		corresponding milestone payments have been aligned in a single table: Gate 1 / M-1 (10%) — Data Assurance and Basis of Design; Gate 2 / M-2 (40%) — Concept Select; Gate 3 / M-3 (40%) — Design Freeze; Gate 4 / M-4 (10%) — Ready to Execute. Time taken by OIL for review is excluded from the Service Provider's timelines. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 2 (SOW Clause 4.1). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 13 (SOR Clause 5.0).
89	SOW	6.1	Basis of design (BOD)	Please confirm the no's of day OIL will take to approve the BOD. Please state if approval task not completed within stated no of days, can the bidder consider it approved by default.	No. The Basis of Design is not deemed approved on lapse of time; OIL's written approval is required. The indicative (non-binding) OIL timeline for approval of the BOD is 07 days, and time taken by OIL is excluded from the Service Provider's timelines. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clause 15.2, Note).
90	SOW	7.0	Detailed Well Design, Programs and AFE	Please confirm the no's of day OIL will take to approve the BOD. Please state if approval task not completed within stated 10 days, can the bidder consider it approved by default.	No. The Detailed Well Design is not deemed approved on lapse of time; OIL's written approval is required. The indicative (non-binding) OIL timeline for review of the DWD is 10 days, and time taken by OIL is excluded from the Service Provider's timelines. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clause 15.2, Note).
91	SOW	8.1	Where a well design under this Contract proceeds to execution, the Service Provider shall provide remote design-support and clarification services for that well for the duration of its drilling and completion, at no additional cost.	This service can be offered at cost. Please provide the no's of days the services are required and accordingly amend made Price bid format (Proforma B) to make the provision.	Not accepted. Execution support is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate and no separate provision shall be made in PROFORMA-B. The support period is from spud to rig release of the well concerned. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 4 (SOW Clause 8.1).
92	SOW	9.1	Site, Seabed and	This is entirely a separate scope and can be	The request for a separate price line in

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			Environmental Assessment.	provided at a additional cost. Kindly amend made Price bid format (Proforma B) to make the provision for each site assessment cost to include the 3 bullet point listed under 9.1. In addition separate time line of 45 days to be provided to complete the scope, from the point of time all the data is handed over to the bidder	PROFORMA-B and a separate 45-day timeline is not accepted. The offshore scope has been revised: spudcan design is deleted, and the jack-up site-specific assessment and detailed marine riser analysis are replaced by review of the assessments / analyses provided by the rig owner / MWS / drilling contractor. The remaining scope is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 5 (SOW Clause 9.1).
93	SOW	9.2	Rig Selection and Rig-Well Interface: - For jack-up units: site-specific assessment including leg penetration and spud can analysis, punch-through and leg-scour risk, leg length and air gap adequacy, preload requirements, and assessment of any adjacent-footprint interaction, consistent with ISO 19905-1 - For floating units: station-keeping and mooring or dynamic positioning requirements, riser disconnect criteria, heave compensation and emergency disconnect sequence considerations	This is entirely a separate scope and can be provided at a additional cost. Kindly amend made Price bid format (Proforma B) to make the provision cost to include the 2 bullet point listed under 9.2 (listed in reference clause) In addition separate time line of 45 days to be provided to complete the scope, from the point of time all the data is handed over to the bidder	The request for a separate price line in PROFORMA-B and a separate 45-day timeline is not accepted. The offshore scope has been revised: spudcan design is deleted, and the jack-up site-specific assessment and detailed marine riser analysis are replaced by review of the assessments / analyses provided by the rig owner / MWS / drilling contractor. The remaining scope is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 5 (SOW Clause 9.2).
94	SOW	9.3	Conductor, Riser, Wellhead and BOP: - Systems Conductor and structural casing design including driveability analysis, hammer selection, refusal criteria	This is entirely a separate scope and can be provided at a additional cost. Kindly amend made Price bid format (Proforma B) to make the provision cost to include the 2 bullet point listed under 9.3 (listed in reference clause).In addition separate time line of 45 days to be provided to complete the scope,	The request for a separate price line in PROFORMA-B and a separate 45-day timeline is not accepted. The offshore scope has been revised: spudcan design is deleted, and the jack-up site-specific assessment and detailed marine riser analysis are replaced by

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			and fatigue assessment, or jetting design for floating operations. - Marine riser analysis where applicable, covering riser tension, wave and current loading, vortex-induced vibration, weak-point analysis and disconnect envelopes, consistent with API RP 16Q	from the point of time all the data is handed over to the bidder	review of the assessments / analyses provided by the rig owner / MWS / drilling contractor. The remaining scope is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 5 (SOW Clause 9.3).
95	SOW	13.0	The Service Provider shall hold, at its own cost, valid licences for all software used in performing the services. Industry-standard well engineering suites shall be used, including Landmark (COMPASS, WELLPLAN, Stress Check, Casing Seat, WELLCAT) and/or ERA, or equivalent software acceptable to OIL.	Please provide the list of software acceptable to OIL	Clarified. The software requirement has been categorised. Category A (Table 13.1-A), for which the licence shall be held as on the original bid closing date: A1 — Landmark COMPASS or equivalent; A2 — Landmark CasingSeat and StressCheck or equivalent; A3 — Landmark WELLPLAN or DrillPlan (with the modules covering each stated capability) or equivalent; and A4 — Landmark WELLPLAN Cementing module or equivalent. Where an equivalent package is offered for any of A1 to A4, the vendor's product literature or technical note demonstrating each stated capability shall be furnished with the technical bid. Category B (Table 13.1-B, which includes WELLCAT at Ref. B9) is an indicative list; any recognised and reputed commercial package may be used, subject to OIL's written acceptance. A request for acceptance of a Category B package not listed, or of an equivalent, shall be submitted within 05 days of issue of the WDN, and OIL shall respond within 05 days of receipt of a complete request. Refer

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					Amendment Sl. No. 6 (SOW Clause 13.1).
96	SOW	13.0	A formal change management procedure shall apply to any change to an approved design or an approved Basis of Design. No change shall be implemented without OIL's written approval.	Clarify by elaborating	Clarified. The Basis of Design shall be approved by OIL in writing before design commences. Any subsequent change to an approved design or approved Basis of Design shall be documented, assessed for its effect on the design, and shall require OIL's written approval before it is implemented (SOW Clauses 8.1 and 13.0).
97	SOW	13.1	A list of 13 software has been provided under this clause. Standard well engineering software like, well trajectory design, Casing design, Torque & Drag, casing wear, buckling, Cement slurry placement	Other than the standard well engineering software referred software rest software should be on only call out basis. Mainly be commiserate with the firm list of well that OIL has to provide. Additionally for software like Pressure Transient analysis, Nodal analysis, well performance , production forecasting. Subsurface visualisation and well placement in three dimensions OIL needs to provide access to own software's. Keeping in note that the	Clarified. Category B software is required only for the well classes that trigger it, and its licence may be arranged, at the Service Provider's cost, before commencement of design of the well concerned. The request that OIL provide access to its own software is not accepted. No extension of time or additional payment shall be allowed on account of software licences, save to the extent that delay in licence arrangement is attributable to OIL. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 6 (SOW Clause 13.1).
98	SOW	13.1	The bidder shall state in its technical bid, against each discipline listed above, the package it proposes to use and the licence it holds. Where a well of a class requiring a specialist capability is released under a Well Design Notice and the Service Provider does not already hold that capability, the Service Provider shall acquire it at its own cost within the	Please allow to procure the licence of the software, that are not presently available with the bidder, on placement of LOA/Mob notice.	Accepted in part. Licences for Category B software may be arranged after award, before commencement of design of the well concerned; evidence of licence shall be furnished within 15 days of issue of the WDN for that well. Category A licences shall be held as on the original bid closing date. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 6 (SOW Clause 13.1).

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			timeline applicable to that well, and no extension of time or additional payment shall be allowed on that account.		
99	SOW	14.2	Subsurface uncertainty statement	Please elaborate the contents of this statement	Clarified. The Subsurface Uncertainty Statement is a formal description of the key uncertainties, based on the available data, in the geological, geophysical, reservoir and pore-pressure / fracture-pressure understanding of the proposed well, and of how these uncertainties may affect the well design, drilling execution and well objectives.
100	SOW	14.3	Phase 1B — Basis of Design and Conceptual Well Design • Review of seismic mapping and of the time–depth model • Estimate of uncertainty on geophysical picks and on the geological prognosis • Description of key geological risks	Please review the requirement as the tender does not state requirement of personnel with Geology & Geophysics background	Clarified. Primary seismic interpretation, estimation of uncertainty on geophysical picks and petrophysical interpretation are not in the Service Provider's scope. The interpreted data (seismic mapping, time-depth model, petrophysical data and rock typing) shall be furnished by OIL. The Service Provider shall review it for fitness for purpose for well design, identify data gaps, assess the effect of the uncertainty stated by OIL on the well design, and carry the key geological risks into the risk register. No G&G personnel are required in the Core Team; a geoscience specialist may be deployed under the Extended Delivery Team where required. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 7 (SOW Clause 14.3). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 9 (SOW Clause 16.11).
101	SOW	14.5	Phase 3 — Execution Support •Design queries, technical notes issued during execution •Management of change	As stated above this service can be offered at cost. Please provide the no's of days the services are required and accordingly amend made Price bid format (Proforma B) to make the provision.	Not accepted. Execution support is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate and no separate provision shall be made in PROFORMA-B. The support period is from spud to rig release of the

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			records for every design change made during execution		well concerned. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 4 (SOW Clause 8.1).
102	SOW	15.2	The time line offered is 120 days, under various phases.	OIL to provide firm commitment on number on wells with each well type, classification & technology application. Accordingly the time provision be provided.	A firm commitment on the number of wells by well type, classification and technology cannot be given (SOW Clause 3.3). An indicative distribution of the 15 wells by category has been provided for pricing information only: Onshore Conventional – 03, Onshore Complex – 07, Offshore Conventional – 02, Offshore Complex – 03. It does not constitute a guarantee, minimum quantity or commitment. SOW Clause 3.3, the single lump-sum unit rate and the price bid format remain unchanged. The timelines have been revised phase-wise, and for a well demonstrated to be critical the Service Provider may request additional time within 05 days of submission of the BOD. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 1 (SOW Clause 1.4). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clauses 15.2 and 15.3).
103	SOW	15.5	Time taken by OIL for review and approval shall not count against the Service Provider's timelines, and no penalty shall attach to delay attributable to OIL.	OIL to adhere to the time provided under 15.2 or allow bidder to consider the design is accepted at default. The nature of the work being lumpsum therefore must be timebound to both parties. This time also affect the cashflow of the bidder.	Not accepted. No design shall be deemed approved without OIL's written approval. Time taken by OIL for review is excluded from the Service Provider's timelines and no Liquidated Damages attach to it. Indicative (non-binding) OIL review timelines have been stated. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clauses 15.2 and 15.5).
104	SOW	15.6	Any delay attributable to the Service Provider in meeting the total contractual timeline for a well, as certified by the OIL Representative, shall attract Liquidated Damages (LD) at the rate	The maximum LD to be capped to 2.5% of the individual well cost.	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. The maximum Liquidated Damages remain 7.5% of the Contract Value applicable to the well.

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			of 0.5% of the Contract Value applicable to the respective well per week or part thereof, subject to a maximum of 7.5% of the Contract Value applicable to that well.		
105	SOW	16.11	In addition to the Core Team, the service provider may have Extended Delivery Team at its own cost for effective execution of the contract. They are not bid qualification criteria, no curriculum vitae is required in respect of them at bid stage, and no quality marks are allocated to them.	Please provide the list of Extended Delivery team including the disciplines desired by OIL.	Clarified. The indicative specialist domains of the Extended Delivery Team are listed in the amended clause and include stimulation and hydraulic fracture design, deepwater / marine well engineering, HPHT / narrow-margin / MPD / UBD, extended reach and multilateral well engineering, cementing and zonal isolation, drilling fluids, and geomechanics / petrophysics / geoscience. Deployment is at the Service Provider's cost and subject to OIL's prior approval. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 9 (SOW Clause 16.11).
106	SOW	18.0	Quality Assurance & Performance Management	Unless OIL has certain preference of quality management system, OIL may consider to include requirement to have the ISO 9001:2015 certification for least 5 years.	No change. The existing tender condition prevails. ISO 9001 certification is not made a requirement; quality assurance shall be as per SOW Clause 18.0.
107	SOW	19.0	The service provider shall conduct a structured hands-on training and demonstration programme of 04(Four) working days at a stretched for 06 (Six) engineers/officers nominated by OIL at its design office / technical centre where the well designs under this contract are actually executed.	Please advice the number of such training program bidder is expected to organise?	Once during the Contract period.
108	SOW	21.0	Where any of the Service	Please provide the option to quote the day	No change. The existing tender

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
			Provider's personnel is required to attend an offshore installation, that person shall hold valid offshore survival and offshore medical fitness certification at the Service Provider's cost, and shall comply with the HSE requirements of the installation operator	rate. This requirement cannot be covered in the lumpsum work.	condition prevails. No day rate shall be provided; the cost of any attendance at an offshore installation, including certification, is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate.
109	SOW	21.0	Where technically appropriate, the design shall consider the future re-purposing potential of the well, including suitability for observation, injection or geothermal or carbon storage use, so that options are not foreclosed by the design.	Please clarify if this is the additional requirement for well design?	Clarified. It is a design consideration, to be applied wherever technically appropriate. It is not a separate study or deliverable.
110	---	---	---	Please clarify that the "global experience" requirement refers to experience gained outside the individual's country of origin, and not merely outside India.	Clarified. The expression "globally" at BEC Clauses 2.1 (i), 2.1 (ii) and 7.2 and at SOW Clauses 16.1 and 16.3 describes the geographic scope within which the experience may have been gained and is inclusive, not restrictive. Experience gained anywhere in the world counts, including experience gained wholly within India.
111	---	---	---	Are the Gate and Phases same. They do not appear in sync. This is further complicated by the milestone payment.	Clarified. The gates, the evidence required for their closure, the applicable timelines and the corresponding milestone payments have been aligned in a single table: Gate 1 / M-1 (10%) — Data Assurance and Basis of Design; Gate 2 / M-2 (40%) — Concept Select; Gate 3 / M-3 (40%) — Design Freeze; Gate 4 / M-4 (10%) — Ready to Execute. Time taken by OIL for review is excluded from the

Sl. No.	Bidding Document	Clause No.	Tender Reference / Clause	Bidder's Query	OIL's Reply
					Service Provider's timelines. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 2 (SOW Clause 4.1). Refer Amendment Sl. No. 13 (SOR Clause 5.0).
112	---	---	---	Lumpsum work must be time bound. - This is not the case based on the our past experience. - Lumpsum work is not unlimited usage of service provider resources. In both the above cases the time & resources are already fixed for competitive pricing. - Any scope change in lumpsum work, after contract award must be compensated. - Days /monthly rates must commence on completing the time allocated for Lumpsum activity.	No change. The Contract is on a per-well lump-sum basis and no day / monthly rate shall be provided. The Service Provider's timelines are stipulated phase-wise at SOW Clause 15.2, OIL's time is excluded from them, and indicative OIL review periods have been stated. The number of subsequent CWD / DWD submissions free of Liquidated Damages is specified, and further submissions are subject to OIL's agreement. Refer Amendment Sl. No. 8 (SOW Clauses 15.2 to 15.7).
113	---	---	---	Oil to provide 45 days for tender submission after issuing the clarification / amendments to this tender	The bid closing date has been extended. The revised bid closing / opening date shall be as notified on the GeM portal.
114	---	---	---	OIL to provide the dedicated team for this tender, to avoid any data and time delays.	A dedicated OIL team exclusively for this tender cannot be committed. OIL's time for review and data provision is excluded from the Service Provider's timelines (SOW Clauses 15.3 and 15.5, as amended).
115	---	---	---	Consider to adopt a monthly-rate cost structure, linked to the requisite quantity of experts, in place of a per-well lump sum. This would also afford OIL the flexibility to scale the number of wells and to provide round-the-clock support where required, while addressing the scope-creep concerns noted above	No change. The per-well lump-sum structure is retained.

Note:

1. These replies and the Amendment Document (Annexure-II) and the revised/amended BEC issued with this corrigendum shall form part of the tender document. Where a reply refers to an amended clause, the text of the amended clause shall govern.
2. Save as expressly amended by the Amendment Document and the revised/amended BEC, all other terms and conditions of the tender document remain unchanged. A reply stating "No change" means that the existing tender condition prevails.

ANNEXURE-II

AMENDMENT DOCUMENT

GeM Bid No. GEM/2026/B/7933979 — Hiring of Well Design and Engineering Services (Pan-India, Onshore and Offshore) for 04 (Four) Years

The following clauses of the tender document stand amended as shown below. The amended clauses supersede the corresponding existing clauses in their entirety. All other terms and conditions of the tender document remain unchanged.

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause																		
1	SOW 1.0 / 1.4	1.4 Well Types: The services shall cover, but shall not be limited to, high-drift deep wells, Horizontal wells, Extended Reach Drilling (ERD) wells, Multilateral wells, High Pressure High Temperature (HPHT) wells, Managed Pressure Drilling (MPD) wells, Stratigraphic wells, Parametric wells, wells incorporating multistage hydro-fracturing completions, Ultradeep wells, and offshore wells drilled from jack-up units, floating units, fixed platforms or employing mudline suspension systems.	1.4 For information and to assist bidders in pricing only, an indicative distribution of the estimated fifteen (15) wells is as follows: <table><tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Category</th><th>Number of Wells</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Onshore Conventional Wells</td><td>03</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Onshore Complex Wells</td><td>07</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Offshore Conventional Wells</td><td>02</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>Offshore Complex Wells</td><td>03</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Total</td><td>15</td></tr></table> The above indicated quantities are purely tentative and shall not constitute any guarantee, assurance, commitment, minimum quantity, or obligation on the part of OIL. Payment shall be at the single quoted lump-sum unit rate irrespective of category, and no price adjustment shall arise from the actual mix of wells released. Well Classification: For the purpose of the indicative distribution above, the wells covered under the Scope of Work shall be broadly classified into the following four categories: 1. Onshore Conventional Wells: Onshore wells of conventional nature, including normal vertical / deviated wells, which do not involve significant special drilling, pressure, trajectory, completion or geological challenges. 2. Onshore Complex Wells: Onshore wells involving significant technical or design complexity, including, but not limited to, high-displacement deep wells, Horizontal wells, Extended Reach Drilling (ERD) wells, Multilateral wells, High Pressure High Temperature (HPHT) wells, Managed Pressure Drilling (MPD) wells, Under Balance Drilling (UBD) wells, Stratigraphic wells, Parametric wells, wells incorporating multistage hydraulic-fracturing completions, and	Sl. No.	Category	Number of Wells	1	Onshore Conventional Wells	03	2	Onshore Complex Wells	07	3	Offshore Conventional Wells	02	4	Offshore Complex Wells	03	Total		15
Sl. No.	Category	Number of Wells																			
1	Onshore Conventional Wells	03																			
2	Onshore Complex Wells	07																			
3	Offshore Conventional Wells	02																			
4	Offshore Complex Wells	03																			
Total		15																			

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause																									
			Ultradeep wells, or other wells requiring specialised drilling, well design or engineering considerations. 3. Offshore Conventional Wells: Conventional offshore wells drilled from jack-up units, floating units, fixed platforms, or employing mudline suspension systems, which do not involve significant special drilling, pressure, trajectory, completion or other technical / design challenges. 4. Offshore Complex Wells: Offshore wells involving significant technical or design complexity, including, but not limited to, Horizontal wells, ERD wells, Multilateral wells, HPHT wells, MPD wells, high-displacement deep wells, Under Balance Drilling (UBD) wells, Stratigraphic wells, Parametric wells, deepwater / Ultradeep wells, wells incorporating multistage hydraulic-fracturing completions, or other wells requiring specialised drilling, well design or engineering considerations, irrespective of the type of offshore drilling unit or installation employed.																									
2	SOW 4.0	4.1 The Service Provider/consultant shall execute all work within a formal stage-gated well delivery process consistent with the practice of international operators. No phase shall commence until the preceding gate has been formally closed by OIL in writing. <table><tr><th>Gate</th><th>Closes on</th><th>Mandatory evidence for gate closure</th></tr><tr><td>Gate 1 — Data Assurance</td><td>Completion of offset review and data gap closure</td><td>Offset Well Review Report Data Gap Register closed Subsurface Uncertainty Statement</td></tr><tr><td>Gate 2 — Basis of Design (BOD)</td><td>OIL approval of the Basis of Design</td><td>Approved BOD</td></tr><tr><td>Gate 3 — Concept Select</td><td>OIL approval of the Conceptual Well Design</td><td>Conceptual design: Concept Options evaluated and ranked HAZID closed out</td></tr><tr><td>Gate 4 — Design Freeze</td><td>OIL approval of the Detailed Well Design</td><td>Detailed design Risk Register AFE</td></tr></table>	Gate	Closes on	Mandatory evidence for gate closure	Gate 1 — Data Assurance	Completion of offset review and data gap closure	Offset Well Review Report Data Gap Register closed Subsurface Uncertainty Statement	Gate 2 — Basis of Design (BOD)	OIL approval of the Basis of Design	Approved BOD	Gate 3 — Concept Select	OIL approval of the Conceptual Well Design	Conceptual design: Concept Options evaluated and ranked HAZID closed out	Gate 4 — Design Freeze	OIL approval of the Detailed Well Design	Detailed design Risk Register AFE	4.1 The Service Provider/consultant shall execute all work within a formal stage-gated well delivery process consistent with the practice of international operators. No phase shall commence until the preceding gate has been formally closed by OIL in writing. Each gate, the evidence required for its closure, the applicable timeline under Clause 15.0 and the corresponding milestone payment under SOR Clause 5.0 shall be as follows: <table><tr><th>Gate</th><th>Closes on</th><th>Mandatory evidence for gate closure</th><th>Applicable timeline (Clause 15.2)</th><th>Milestone payment (SOR 5.1)</th></tr><tr><td>Gate 1 Data Assurance and Basis of Design (BOD)</td><td>OIL's written confirmation of closure of the offset well review and identified data gaps, and OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design.</td><td> • Data Gap / Data Requirement List • Offset Well Review Report • Data Gap Register closed out or dispositioned, with assumptions for open items accepted by OIL in writing • Subsurface Uncertainty Statement • Approved Basis of Design </td><td>Data Gap / Data Requirement List — within 05 (five) days from issue of the Well Design Notice. Offset Well Review Report, Subsurface Uncertainty Statement, Basis of Design — within 15 (fifteen) days from receipt of the available / acceptable Data Pack.</td><td>M-1 10%</td></tr></table>	Gate	Closes on	Mandatory evidence for gate closure	Applicable timeline (Clause 15.2)	Milestone payment (SOR 5.1)	Gate 1 Data Assurance and Basis of Design (BOD)	OIL's written confirmation of closure of the offset well review and identified data gaps, and OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design.	• Data Gap / Data Requirement List • Offset Well Review Report • Data Gap Register closed out or dispositioned, with assumptions for open items accepted by OIL in writing • Subsurface Uncertainty Statement • Approved Basis of Design	Data Gap / Data Requirement List — within 05 (five) days from issue of the Well Design Notice. Offset Well Review Report, Subsurface Uncertainty Statement, Basis of Design — within 15 (fifteen) days from receipt of the available / acceptable Data Pack.	M-1 10%
Gate	Closes on	Mandatory evidence for gate closure																										
Gate 1 — Data Assurance	Completion of offset review and data gap closure	Offset Well Review Report Data Gap Register closed Subsurface Uncertainty Statement																										
Gate 2 — Basis of Design (BOD)	OIL approval of the Basis of Design	Approved BOD																										
Gate 3 — Concept Select	OIL approval of the Conceptual Well Design	Conceptual design: Concept Options evaluated and ranked HAZID closed out																										
Gate 4 — Design Freeze	OIL approval of the Detailed Well Design	Detailed design Risk Register AFE																										
Gate	Closes on	Mandatory evidence for gate closure	Applicable timeline (Clause 15.2)	Milestone payment (SOR 5.1)																								
Gate 1 Data Assurance and Basis of Design (BOD)	OIL's written confirmation of closure of the offset well review and identified data gaps, and OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design.	• Data Gap / Data Requirement List • Offset Well Review Report • Data Gap Register closed out or dispositioned, with assumptions for open items accepted by OIL in writing • Subsurface Uncertainty Statement • Approved Basis of Design	Data Gap / Data Requirement List — within 05 (five) days from issue of the Well Design Notice. Offset Well Review Report, Subsurface Uncertainty Statement, Basis of Design — within 15 (fifteen) days from receipt of the available / acceptable Data Pack.	M-1 10%																								

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause			Amended Clause				
		Gate 5 — Ready to Execute	Issue of the final Approved Programme	All OIL final recommendations/comments closed Long-lead Specifications issued	Gate 2 Concept Select	OIL's written approval of the Conceptual Well Design.	- Conceptual Well Design - Concept options evaluated and ranked, with a documented recommendation - HAZID closed out	Initial Conceptual Well Design — within 30 (thirty) days from OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design. 1st subsequent Conceptual Well Design — within 10 (ten) days , and 2nd subsequent Conceptual Well Design — within 07 (seven) days , from receipt of OIL's review / recommendations, subject to Clause 15.7.	M-2 40%
		4.2 The Service Provider shall not carry any phase forward at risk in anticipation of gate closure without OIL's written agreement and shall bear the cost of any rework arising from doing so.			Gate 3 Design Freeze	OIL's written approval of the Detailed Well Design.	- Detailed Well Design with the drilling and testing programmes and procedures - Risk Register - Design HAZOP closed out - AFE with the probabilistic P10 / P50 / P90 time and cost estimate	Initial Detailed Well Design — within 40 (forty) days from OIL's written approval of the Conceptual Well Design. Subsequent Detailed Well Design — within 06 (six) days from receipt of OIL's review / recommendations, subject to Clause 15.7.	M-3 40%
					Gate 4 Ready to Execute	OIL's written acceptance of the Final Well Design and Well Programme.	- Final Well Design and Well Programme with all OIL final recommendations / comments closed - Long-lead Specifications and indicative lead times issued, along with 03 (three) nos. of probable vendors and BQs	Within 07 (seven) days from receipt of OIL's final review / recommendations.	M-4 10%

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause				
					• Final Report — 03 bound copies and 02 soft copies • Final design files in native, editable software format with the input data files		
3	SOW 6.2	6.2 Conceptual Well Design (Drilling and Testing Programme) The Service Provider/consultant shall carry out the following during this Phase: • Review of offset well data from adjacent blocks and seismic profiles • Geomechanical Model and Wellbore Stability • Pressure and temperature prognosis • Casing design and casing seat selection • Completion / evaluation considerations and hole geometry selection • Tubing design • Wellhead and X-mas tree selection	6.2 Conceptual Well Design (Drilling and Testing Programme) The Service Provider/consultant shall carry out the following during this Phase: • Review of offset well data from adjacent blocks and seismic profiles • Review of the geomechanical model furnished by OIL, assessment of its adequacy and applicability for the well design, and wellbore stability analysis along the planned trajectory. Development or comprehensive updating of a geomechanical model shall not form part of the Service Provider's routine scope of work. • Pressure and temperature prognosis • Casing design and casing seat selection • Completion / evaluation considerations and hole geometry selection • Tubing design (i) Gate closure shall be recorded in writing by the Engineer-in-Charge. Where a Well Design Notice covers more than one well, gate closure shall be recorded well-wise, and OIL may close a gate in respect of one or more wells of the batch independently of the remaining wells of that batch. (ii) The milestone payments stipulated at SOR Clause 5.0 shall become due only upon formal closure, in writing, of the gate corresponding to that milestone. (iii) The timelines stated in the fourth column are those stipulated at Clause 15.0 and are reproduced for reference only. In the event of any inconsistency, Clause 15.0 shall prevail. Time taken by OIL for review and approval shall not count against the Service Provider's timelines in terms of Clause 15.5. 4.2 The Service Provider shall not carry any phase forward at risk in anticipation of gate closure without OIL's written agreement, and shall bear the cost of any rework arising from doing so.				

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause
		- Well / Project schedule - Well / Project cost model and estimate - Alternative Drilling Technique Screening - Evaluation and ranking of at least two technically viable concept options against cost, risk, schedule and reservoir deliverability, with a documented recommendation - For offshore wells: water depth and rig-type screening, surface versus subsea BOP philosophy, and conductor and structural casing concept Upon completion of the Conceptual Well Design, the Service Provider shall submit the design for review and approval by OIL. The draft design shall include a detailed list of all assumptions and data used in determining the conceptual design. NOTE: The Service Provider/consultant shall provide the conceptual well design within 30 days from the date of handover of the available/acceptable Data Pack for the relevant Well Design Notice (WDN). The Service Provider shall, if required by OIL, be physically available at Duliajan or Kakinada, as applicable, to present and discuss the proposed Conceptual Well Design. However, at OIL's sole discretion and depending on the well-specific requirements, such presentation and discussion may be conducted through virtual mode.	- Wellhead and X-mas tree selection - Well / Project schedule - Well / Project cost model and estimate - Alternative Drilling Technique Screening - Evaluation and ranking of at least two technically viable concept options against cost, risk, schedule and reservoir deliverability, with a documented recommendation - For offshore wells: water depth and rig-type screening, surface versus subsea BOP philosophy, and conductor and structural casing concept Upon completion of the Conceptual Well Design, the Service Provider shall submit the design for review and approval by OIL. The draft design shall include a detailed list of all assumptions and data used in determining the conceptual design. NOTE: The Service Provider/consultant shall submit the Conceptual Well Design for the relevant Well Design Notice (WDN) within the timeline stipulated at Clause 15.2. The Service Provider shall, if required by OIL, be physically available at Duliajan or Kakinada, as applicable, to present and discuss the proposed Conceptual Well Design. However, at OIL's sole discretion and depending on the well-specific requirements, such presentation and discussion may be conducted through virtual mode.
4	SOW 8.0 / 8.1	8.0 PHASE 3 — DESIGN SUPPORT DURING EXECUTION 8.1 Where a well design under this Contract proceeds to execution, the Service Provider shall provide remote design-support and clarification services for that well for the duration of its drilling and completion, at no additional cost. This shall comprise: Response to OIL's technical queries on the issued design which affecting an ongoing operation or a well control situation	8.0 PHASE 3 — DESIGN SUPPORT DURING EXECUTION 8.1 Where a well design under this Contract proceeds to execution, the Service Provider shall provide remote design-support and clarification services for that well for the duration of its drilling and completion, at no additional cost. For the purposes of this Clause, the period of execution support in respect of a well shall commence on the spudding of that well and shall end on rig release from that well. Support shall be rendered during the Service Provider's normal working hours, save that the Service Provider shall nominate, before spud, a senior engineer/consultant of the Core Team who shall be contactable at all times

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause
		- Review and re-issue of the affected part of the design where actual subsurface conditions materially depart from the prognosis. Where the departure arises from an error or omission in the Service Provider's work, such re-issue shall be at no cost to OIL - Formal management of change: any deviation from the approved design proposed during execution shall be assessed by the Service Provider for its effect on well integrity, barriers and load cases, and shall be documented before implementation	where the query affects an ongoing operation or a well control situation, and shall notify OIL of that person's name and contact particulars. In the event of an emergency, critical well control situation, or any other situation requiring immediate technical intervention, the nominated senior engineer/consultant shall respond and provide the required technical assistance and guidance at the earliest possible time (at least within 02 hrs) and without undue delay, commensurate with the nature and urgency of the situation. Execution support is included in the quoted lump-sum unit rate for the well and no additional payment shall be due on account of the duration of drilling and completion operations. This shall comprise: - Response to OIL's technical queries on the issued design affecting an ongoing operation or a well control situation - Review and re-issue of the affected part of the design where actual subsurface conditions materially depart from the prognosis. Where the departure arises from an error or omission in the Service Provider's work, such re-issue shall be at no cost to OIL - In the event of a material departure from the prognosis which necessitates re-issue / revision of the well design and is not attributable to any error, omission, negligence, non-compliance or failure on the part of the Service Provider, OIL may require the Service Provider to revise and re-issue the affected well design based on the updated subsurface / drilling information made available by OIL. Such re-issue / revision shall be considered part of the overall well design service for the concerned well and shall not constitute a separate well or a separate payable item. No additional payment shall be admissible for such re-issue / revision, and the applicable lump-sum unit rate per well shall remain unchanged. However, where the revision amounts to a fundamental redesign of the well, OIL may, at its sole discretion, treat the revised design as a fresh well design, upon a written request from the Service Provider submitted with justification within seven (07) days of receipt of the updated information and before commencing the revision. OIL's decision in this regard shall be final and binding. Upon such acceptance, milestone payments for the original design shall cease at the stage achieved and certified up to the date of OIL's written acceptance, and no further milestone shall be payable against the original design. No pro-rata or partial payment shall be admissible for any milestone

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause
			of the original design not achieved and certified as on the date of OIL's written acceptance. The fresh well design shall be treated as a separate well, counted against the contract quantity, and shall be payable at the quoted lump-sum unit rate per well in accordance with the milestones under the Terms of Payment. Formal management of change: any deviation from the approved design proposed during execution shall be assessed by the Service Provider for its effect on well integrity, barriers and load cases, and shall be documented before implementation
5	SOW 9.0 / 9.1 / 9.2 / 9.3	9.1 Site, Seabed and Environmental Assessment - Review and interpretation of shallow hazard and site survey data, including assessment of shallow gas, shallow water flow, seabed obstructions, faults, boulders, buried channels and any archaeological or unexploded-ordnance constraint - Review of geotechnical data and soil boring reports for foundation, conductor and spudcan design - Metocean assessment covering wave, wind, current, tide and, where applicable, seasonal and cyclone operating windows, with the resulting weather-downtime allowance carried into the time estimate 9.2 Rig Selection and Rig-Well Interface - Rig type selection and screening against water depth, environmental criteria, well design and logistics — jack-up, semi-submersible, drillship, platform rig or tender-assisted unit - For jack-up units: site-specific assessment including leg penetration and spudcan analysis, punch-through and leg-scour risk, leg length and air gap adequacy, preload requirements, and assessment of any adjacent-footprint interaction, consistent with ISO 19905-1 - For floating units: station-keeping and mooring or dynamic positioning requirements, riser disconnect criteria, heave compensation and emergency disconnect sequence considerations	9.1 Site, Seabed and Environmental Assessment - Review and interpretation of shallow hazard and site survey data, including assessment of shallow gas, shallow water flow, seabed obstructions, faults, boulders, buried channels and any archaeological or unexploded-ordnance constraint - Review of geotechnical and soil boring data furnished by OIL to the extent necessary for conductor and structural casing design or any other design requirement. Spudcan design is not in the scope of work. - Metocean assessment covering wave, wind, current, tide and, where applicable, seasonal and cyclone operating windows, with the resulting weather-downtime allowance carried into the time estimate 9.2 Rig Selection and Rig-Well Interface - Rig type selection and screening against water depth, environmental criteria, well design and logistics — jack-up, semi-submersible, drillship, platform rig or tender-assisted unit - For jack-up units: The Service Provider shall review the completed and verified site-specific assessment provided by the rig owner/MWS, shall incorporate its relevant findings into the rig selection, the rig-well compatibility matrix, the well design and the risk register, and shall raise any resulting design constraint for OIL's decision. Performance of the site-specific assessment itself is not within the Service Provider's scope. - For floating units: station-keeping and mooring or dynamic positioning requirements, riser disconnect criteria, heave compensation and emergency disconnect sequence considerations

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause
		- Rig-well compatibility matrix covering hook load, BOP handling and stack-up height, mud system capacity, deck load, and cantilever reach or slot access 9.3 Conductor, Riser, Wellhead and BOP Systems - Conductor and structural casing design including driveability analysis, hammer selection, refusal criteria and fatigue assessment, or jetting design for floating operations - Marine riser analysis where applicable, covering riser tension, wave and current loading, vortex-induced vibration, weak-point analysis and disconnect envelopes, consistent with API RP 16Q - Wellhead system selection and specification — surface wellhead, mudline suspension system or subsea wellhead — including wellhead fatigue and wellhead growth or movement analysis over the design life - BOP philosophy and specification, including selection between surface and subsea BOP, verification of shear ram capability against the planned drill string, casing and completion string, control system redundancy and testing regime, consistent with API STD 53 - Riserless top-hole drilling design where applicable, including pump-and-dump fluid design, returns management and seabed discharge compliance	- Rig-well compatibility matrix covering hook load, BOP handling and stack-up height, mud system capacity, deck load, and cantilever reach or slot access 9.3 Conductor, Riser, Wellhead and BOP Systems - Conductor and structural casing design including driveability analysis, hammer selection, refusal criteria and fatigue assessment, or jetting design for floating operations - The Service Provider shall review the available marine riser analysis and associated design information provided by the rig owner/drilling contractor, as applicable, and assess its compatibility with the proposed well design and drilling programme. The Service Provider shall incorporate the relevant findings, limitations and operating constraints arising from such assessment into the well design, rig-well compatibility matrix and risk register, and shall identify and raise any material design constraint or incompatibility to OIL for decision. Detailed marine riser analysis, engineering, certification and verification of the riser system itself is not part of the Service Provider's scope. - Wellhead system selection and specification — surface wellhead, mudline suspension system or subsea wellhead — including wellhead fatigue and wellhead growth or movement analysis over the design life - BOP philosophy and specification, including selection between surface and subsea BOP, verification of shear ram capability against the planned drill string, casing and completion string, control system redundancy and testing regime, consistent with API STD 53 - Riserless top-hole drilling design where applicable, including pump-and-dump fluid design, returns management and seabed discharge compliance
6	SOW 13.1	13.1 Software Capability by Discipline and Well Class The Service Provider/consultant shall hold, at its own cost, and shall demonstrate capability in, software covering each of the disciplines set out below, to the extent applicable to the class of well released under a Well Design Notice. The packages named are indicative only; equivalent software acceptable to OIL may be used. No design deliverable shall rely on a bespoke, undocumented or unlicensed in-house tool the basis of which OIL cannot audit.	13.1 Software Capability by Discipline and Well Class The Service Provider/consultant shall hold, at its own cost, and shall demonstrate capability in, software covering each of the disciplines set out below, to the extent applicable to the class of well released under a Well Design Notice. The packages named are categorised in Table 13.1-A and Table 13.1-B; equivalent software acceptable to OIL may be used. No design deliverable shall rely on a bespoke, undocumented or unlicensed in-house tool the basis of which OIL cannot audit.

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause			Amended Clause																				
		Discipline / capability required	Indicative packages	Applicability	TABLE 13.1-A — CATEGORY A: LICENCE TO BE HELD AS ON THE ORIGINAL BID CLOSING DATE <table><tr><th>Ref.</th><th>Capability required</th><th>Indicative packages</th><th>Applicability</th></tr><tr><td>A1</td><td>Well trajectory design, survey uncertainty and anti-collision analysis</td><td>Landmark COMPASS or equivalent</td><td>All wells</td></tr><tr><td>A2</td><td>Casing setting depth selection: and casing, liner and tubing stress design for burst, collapse, axial and triaxial load cases, including the effect of temperature on the load cases</td><td>Landmark CasingSeat and StressCheck, or equivalent</td><td>All wells</td></tr><tr><td>A3</td><td>Torque, drag, buckling and casing wear; steady-state hydraulics, equivalent circulating density, hole cleaning and surge / swab; and kick tolerance and kill sheet calculation</td><td>Landmark WELLPLAN (with the modules covering each capability stated), or DrillPlan(with the modules covering each capability stated), or equivalent</td><td>All wells</td></tr><tr><td>A4</td><td>Dynamic cement placement and displacement simulation (equivalent circulating density and pressure versus time against the pore and fracture gradient, including free-fall / U-tube effect) for all casing and liner strings, including volumes and top of cement, mud removal, centralisation and circulating temperature (either simulated or imported); and volume calculation for cement plugs</td><td>Landmark WELLPLAN – Cementing module, or equivalent</td><td>All wells</td></tr></table>	Ref.	Capability required	Indicative packages	Applicability	A1	Well trajectory design, survey uncertainty and anti-collision analysis	Landmark COMPASS or equivalent	All wells	A2	Casing setting depth selection: and casing, liner and tubing stress design for burst, collapse, axial and triaxial load cases, including the effect of temperature on the load cases	Landmark CasingSeat and StressCheck, or equivalent	All wells	A3	Torque, drag, buckling and casing wear; steady-state hydraulics, equivalent circulating density, hole cleaning and surge / swab; and kick tolerance and kill sheet calculation	Landmark WELLPLAN (with the modules covering each capability stated), or DrillPlan(with the modules covering each capability stated), or equivalent	All wells	A4	Dynamic cement placement and displacement simulation (equivalent circulating density and pressure versus time against the pore and fracture gradient, including free-fall / U-tube effect) for all casing and liner strings, including volumes and top of cement, mud removal, centralisation and circulating temperature (either simulated or imported); and volume calculation for cement plugs	Landmark WELLPLAN – Cementing module, or equivalent	All wells
		Ref.	Capability required	Indicative packages		Applicability																			
		A1	Well trajectory design, survey uncertainty and anti-collision analysis	Landmark COMPASS or equivalent		All wells																			
		A2	Casing setting depth selection: and casing, liner and tubing stress design for burst, collapse, axial and triaxial load cases, including the effect of temperature on the load cases	Landmark CasingSeat and StressCheck, or equivalent		All wells																			
		A3	Torque, drag, buckling and casing wear; steady-state hydraulics, equivalent circulating density, hole cleaning and surge / swab; and kick tolerance and kill sheet calculation	Landmark WELLPLAN (with the modules covering each capability stated), or DrillPlan(with the modules covering each capability stated), or equivalent		All wells																			
		A4	Dynamic cement placement and displacement simulation (equivalent circulating density and pressure versus time against the pore and fracture gradient, including free-fall / U-tube effect) for all casing and liner strings, including volumes and top of cement, mud removal, centralisation and circulating temperature (either simulated or imported); and volume calculation for cement plugs	Landmark WELLPLAN – Cementing module, or equivalent		All wells																			
		Well trajectory design and anti-collision	COMPASS equivalent or	All wells; validated against the ISCWSA reference datasets																					
		Casing, liner and tubing stress design, including triaxial, thermal and annular pressure build-up analysis	StressCheck, CasingSeat, WELLCAT equivalent or	All wells																					
		Torque, drag, buckling, casing wear, hydraulics and hole cleaning	WellPlan/DrillPlan or equivalent	All wells																					
		Cement slurry design and placement simulation	Recognised cement placement simulator	All wells																					
Geomechanics - 1D mechanical earth model, three-dimensional propagation, PPFPG and wellbore stability	Techlog, JewelSuite, Drillworks, Petrel Geomechanics or equivalent	All wells, in support of Clause 6.3																							
Petrophysical interpretation and rock typing	Interactive Petrophysics (IP), Techlog or equivalent	Where log review or re-interpretation supports the design																							
Transient hydraulics, dynamic well control and MPD simulation	Drillbench, OLGA or equivalent	Mandatory for HPHT, MPD, UBD, ERD, narrow-margin and offshore wells																							
Hydraulic fracture design and simulation	GOHFER, MFrac, FracPro, Kinetix or equivalent	Mandatory for wells falling under Clause 7A.0																							
Pressure transient and rate transient analysis	Saphir / Topaze (Kappa suite) or equivalent	Where well test, PLT or MDT data is reviewed under Clause 14.2																							
Note I (Category A): (a) Documentary evidence of licence or subscription for each of A1 to A4, being a licence certificate, subscription confirmation or software vendor confirmation identifying the licensee / subscriber, the package and the application(s) or module(s) covered, the version (where applicable) and the validity period, shall be furnished with the technical bid. The licence or subscription shall be valid as on the original bid closing date.																									

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause			Amended Clause																												
		<table><tr><td>Nodal analysis, well performance and production forecasting</td><td>PROSPER, WellFlo or equivalent</td><td>Completion and tubing design; post-stimulation production forecast</td></tr><tr><td>Subsurface visualisation and well placement in three dimensions</td><td>Petrel or equivalent</td><td>Horizontal, ERD, multilateral and geosteered wells</td></tr><tr><td>Riser analysis, mooring and station-keeping, and site-specific jack-up assessment</td><td>Recognised offshore engineering packages</td><td>Offshore wells, in support of Clause 9.0</td></tr><tr><td>Probabilistic time and cost estimation</td><td>Recognised Monte Carlo estimating tool</td><td>All wells, in support of the P10 / P50 / P90 estimate at Clause 7.0</td></tr></table>	Nodal analysis, well performance and production forecasting	PROSPER, WellFlo or equivalent	Completion and tubing design; post-stimulation production forecast	Subsurface visualisation and well placement in three dimensions	Petrel or equivalent	Horizontal, ERD, multilateral and geosteered wells	Riser analysis, mooring and station-keeping, and site-specific jack-up assessment	Recognised offshore engineering packages	Offshore wells, in support of Clause 9.0	Probabilistic time and cost estimation	Recognised Monte Carlo estimating tool	All wells, in support of the P10 / P50 / P90 estimate at Clause 7.0	(b) Where a package is a module of a larger software suite (for example, Landmark WELLPLAN), the evidence shall identify each module licensed. Evidence of a suite licence which does not identify the relevant module shall not be accepted. (c) Where a package other than those named is offered for any of A1 to A4, the Bidder shall furnish with the technical bid the vendor's product literature or technical note demonstrating each capability stated against that reference. (d) The Service Provider shall maintain the Category A licences or subscriptions throughout the Contract Period, and shall furnish evidence of renewal on OIL's request. TABLE 13.1-B — CATEGORY B (INDICATIVE LIST ONLY): LICENCE MAY BE ARRANGED BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF THE DESIGN OF THE WELL CONCERNED <table><tr><th>Ref.</th><th>Capability required</th><th>Indicative packages</th><th>Applicability — the well classes that trigger the requirement</th></tr><tr><td>B1</td><td>Transient hydraulics, dynamic well control, kick tolerance and MPD / UBD simulation</td><td>Drillbench, OLGA or equivalent</td><td>HPHT, MPD, UBD, ERD and offshore wells, and any other well identified as narrow margin in the WDN</td></tr><tr><td>B2</td><td>Hydraulic fracture geometry screening and sensitivity analysis, to the extent required to establish the trajectory, hole geometry, casing and liner scheme, cement design and tubular selection</td><td>GOHFER, MFrac, FracPro, Kinetix or equivalent</td><td>Wells classified in the WDN under Clause 7A.0</td></tr><tr><td>B3</td><td>Nodal analysis and well performance / deliverability analysis in support of completion and tubing design, including post-stimulation deliverability for wells classified under Clause 7A.0</td><td>PROSPER, WellFlo or equivalent</td><td>Wells for which the WDN requires completion and tubing design, and wells classified under Clause 7A.0</td></tr></table>			Ref.	Capability required	Indicative packages	Applicability — the well classes that trigger the requirement	B1	Transient hydraulics, dynamic well control, kick tolerance and MPD / UBD simulation	Drillbench, OLGA or equivalent	HPHT, MPD, UBD, ERD and offshore wells, and any other well identified as narrow margin in the WDN	B2	Hydraulic fracture geometry screening and sensitivity analysis, to the extent required to establish the trajectory, hole geometry, casing and liner scheme, cement design and tubular selection	GOHFER, MFrac, FracPro, Kinetix or equivalent	Wells classified in the WDN under Clause 7A.0	B3	Nodal analysis and well performance / deliverability analysis in support of completion and tubing design, including post-stimulation deliverability for wells classified under Clause 7A.0	PROSPER, WellFlo or equivalent	Wells for which the WDN requires completion and tubing design, and wells classified under Clause 7A.0
Nodal analysis, well performance and production forecasting	PROSPER, WellFlo or equivalent	Completion and tubing design; post-stimulation production forecast																															
Subsurface visualisation and well placement in three dimensions	Petrel or equivalent	Horizontal, ERD, multilateral and geosteered wells																															
Riser analysis, mooring and station-keeping, and site-specific jack-up assessment	Recognised offshore engineering packages	Offshore wells, in support of Clause 9.0																															
Probabilistic time and cost estimation	Recognised Monte Carlo estimating tool	All wells, in support of the P10 / P50 / P90 estimate at Clause 7.0																															
Ref.	Capability required	Indicative packages	Applicability — the well classes that trigger the requirement																														
B1	Transient hydraulics, dynamic well control, kick tolerance and MPD / UBD simulation	Drillbench, OLGA or equivalent	HPHT, MPD, UBD, ERD and offshore wells, and any other well identified as narrow margin in the WDN																														
B2	Hydraulic fracture geometry screening and sensitivity analysis, to the extent required to establish the trajectory, hole geometry, casing and liner scheme, cement design and tubular selection	GOHFER, MFrac, FracPro, Kinetix or equivalent	Wells classified in the WDN under Clause 7A.0																														
B3	Nodal analysis and well performance / deliverability analysis in support of completion and tubing design, including post-stimulation deliverability for wells classified under Clause 7A.0	PROSPER, WellFlo or equivalent	Wells for which the WDN requires completion and tubing design, and wells classified under Clause 7A.0																														
		The bidder shall state in its technical bid, against each discipline listed above, the package it proposes to use and the licence it holds. Where a well of a class requiring a specialist capability is released under a Well Design Notice and the Service Provider does not already hold that capability, the Service Provider shall acquire it at its own cost within the timeline applicable to that well, and no extension of time or additional payment shall be allowed on that account.																															

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause			
			B4	Pressure transient and rate transient analysis, and interpretation of injection-based fracture calibration tests	Saphir / Topaze (Kappa suite), IHS Harmony, FAST WellTest or equivalent	(i) Wells classified under Clause 7A.0; or (ii) wells for which well test, PLT or MDT data is furnished by OIL in the Data Pack for review
			B5	Wellbore stability analysis along the planned trajectory, using the geomechanical model furnished by OIL, including assessment of its adequacy and applicability for the well design	Landmark Drillworks (or its latest Landmark equivalent), Techlog, JewelSuite, Petrel Geomechanics or equivalent	All wells, in support of Phase-1B (Conceptual Well Design)
			B6	Three-dimensional visualisation of the designed trajectory against structural surfaces, grids and offset wellbore data furnished by OIL	Petrel or equivalent	Horizontal, ERD, multilateral and geosteered wells, where so specified in the WDN
			B7	Offshore well design analyses, namely subsea wellhead and conductor fatigue, conductor setting depth and driveability analysis, and such other offshore-specific analyses as are specified in the WDN	Recognised and specialised, industry-standard well design / well engineering software	Offshore wells, where so specified in the WDN
			B8	Probabilistic (Monte Carlo) time and cost estimation	A recognised Monte Carlo estimating tool, whether standalone or as an add-in to a spreadsheet application	All wells, in support of the P10 / P50 / P90 estimate required at Clause 7.0
			B9	Thermal, multi-string and annular pressure build-up (APB) analysis over the design life of the well, including tubing movement and wellhead growth	Landmark WELLCAT, or equivalent	HPHT wells; offshore wells with subsea wellhead or mudline suspension system; wells classified under Clause 7A.0; production / injection wells with significant temperature change in service; and any other well so specified in the WDN

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause			
			B10	Cement sheath mechanical integrity analysis under pressure and temperature cycling (stimulation, testing, production, thermal and injection loads), and derivation of the required cement mechanical properties	Recognised cement sheath stress analysis tool (including WELLCAT, where the licensed version includes this function), or equivalent	Wells classified under Clause 7A.0, HPHT wells, and thermal, injection or storage wells so specified in the WDN
			Note II (Category B): (a) The list of software packages specified under Category B is indicative and not exhaustive. Based on the requirements of the well released under the WDN, the Service Provider may use any other recognised and reputed commercial software package(s) warranted by the well design which are not included in the Category B list. (b) No documentary evidence of licence is required at bid stage for Category B; PROFORMA-SW Part B (declaration and undertaking) only. (c) Where the Service Provider proposes to use an equivalent package or a software package not in the Category B list, the Service Provider shall submit a written request for OIL's acceptance within five (05) days of issuance of the WDN. OIL shall convey its acceptance or otherwise within five (05) days of receipt of a complete request. Where OIL does not accept the proposed package, the Service Provider shall use another package acceptable to OIL, and sub-paragraph (d) of this Note II shall continue to apply. (d) Evidence of licence, being a licence certificate, subscription confirmation or software vendor confirmation identifying the licensee / subscriber, the package and the application(s) or module(s) covered, the version (where applicable) and the validity period, shall be furnished to OIL within fifteen (15) days of issuance of the WDN for the well concerned, and in any event prior to commencement of design of that well. (e) No extension of the timelines stipulated under Clause 15.0 (save to the extent that delay in licence arrangement is attributable to OIL, any such period of delay being excluded from the Service Provider's timelines), and no additional payment of whatsoever nature, shall be allowed on account			

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause
			of acquiring, renewing, upgrading, replacing or arranging access to any software licence. The said timelines shall continue to run from the date of the WDN irrespective of the status of licence arrangement, and Liquidated Damages under Clause 15.6 shall apply accordingly. (f) Where evidence is not furnished within the period stipulated at subparagraph (d) of this Note II, namely fifteen (15) days of issuance of the WDN, OIL shall be entitled, without prejudice to its other rights under the Contract, to withhold the first milestone payment in respect of the well concerned until such evidence is furnished. Repeated or continued failure shall constitute a default of the Contract.
7	SOW 14.3	14.3 Phase 1B — Basis of Design and Conceptual Well Design • Review of seismic mapping and of the time–depth model • Estimate of uncertainty on geophysical picks and on the geological prognosis • Description of key geological risks • Geomechanical model; where the available data does not permit a geomechanical model, a PPFG model, with the governing data gaps recorded in the data gap register • Review / construction of the temperature–depth relationship • Concept options evaluation and ranking, with the selection rationale • Conceptual trajectory, hole geometry, casing scheme and casing seat selection • Wellhead and X-mas tree selection; rig type selection and rig–well compatibility assessment • Basis of Design document • HAZID Assessment • Preliminary well schedule and cost model	14.3 Phase 1B — Basis of Design and Conceptual Well Design • Review of the interpreted data (seismic mapping, time–depth model, petrophysical data and rock typing) furnished by OIL, to the extent necessary to establish that the data is fit for purpose for well design; identification of any data gaps and subsurface uncertainty affecting the well design; and documentation of all assumptions adopted where such gaps or uncertainties are carried forward into the design. • Assessment of the effect of the uncertainty stated by OIL on the subsurface interpretation and geological prognosis upon the well design, casing seat selection and target delivery. • Identification of the key geological risks to be carried into the risk register. • Geomechanical Model Review Note recording the adequacy and applicability assessment of the geomechanical model furnished by OIL, together with the wellbore stability analysis along the planned trajectory and the resulting mud weight window and operational envelope. Where OIL does not furnish a geomechanical model, the Review Note shall be prepared against the PPFG model furnished by OIL. Any material limitation, uncertainty or governing data gap identified shall be recorded in the Data Gap Register, notified to OIL in writing prior to submission of the Basis of Design, and carried into the well design, the design factors adopted and the associated risk assessment. Where the model furnished by OIL is assessed as inadequate for the class of well released, the Service Provider shall state the deficiency and its design consequence in writing, and OIL may direct the Service Provider to design to a documented conservative envelope approved by OIL. • Review / construction of the temperature–depth relationship

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause																										
			• Concept options evaluation and ranking, with the selection rationale • Conceptual trajectory, hole geometry, casing scheme and casing seat selection • Wellhead and X-mas tree selection; rig type selection and rig-well compatibility assessment • Basis of Design document • HAZID Assessment • Preliminary well schedule and cost model																										
8	SOW 15.0	15.0 TIME FRAME 15.1 First Kick Off Meeting shall be held within 15 days from the issue of mobilization notice at Duliajan, Assam. The Lead Consultant or the core team shall be available for the Kick-off Meeting/ Data Gathering Session at Duliajan, Assam. 15.2 The timeframe for well engineering shall run separately for each Well Design Notice, and is given below: <table><tr><th>Activity</th><th>Time Frame — No. of Days</th></tr><tr><td colspan="2">PHASE-1A</td></tr><tr><td>Data gathering Session</td><td>Within 10 days from issue of Well Design Notice (WDN)</td></tr><tr><td>Data/Data Gap handover by OIL</td><td>Within 05 Days from the date of Data Gathering Session</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">PHASE-1B</td></tr><tr><td>Conceptual Well Design as per Clause 6.0</td><td>Within 30 days from the date of Handover of the available/acceptable Data Pack for the relevant Well Design Notice (WDN)</td></tr><tr><td>Review of Conceptual Well Design by OIL</td><td>Within 15 days from submission of Conceptual Well Design</td></tr></table>	Activity	Time Frame — No. of Days	PHASE-1A		Data gathering Session	Within 10 days from issue of Well Design Notice (WDN)	Data/Data Gap handover by OIL	Within 05 Days from the date of Data Gathering Session	PHASE-1B		Conceptual Well Design as per Clause 6.0	Within 30 days from the date of Handover of the available/acceptable Data Pack for the relevant Well Design Notice (WDN)	Review of Conceptual Well Design by OIL	Within 15 days from submission of Conceptual Well Design	15.0 TIME FRAME 15.1 First Kick Off Meeting shall be held within 15 days from the issue of mobilization notice at Duliajan, Assam. The Lead Consultant or the core team shall be available for the Kick-off Meeting/ Data Gathering Session at Duliajan, Assam. OIL shall issue the first Well Design Notice (WDN) under the Contract only on or after the date of the Kick-off Meeting, and may hand it over at the Kick-off Meeting itself. Where the Kick-off Meeting is not held within the said fifteen (15) days for reasons attributable to the Service Provider, OIL may issue the first WDN without awaiting the Kick-off Meeting. In that case, the timelines stipulated at Clause 15.2 shall run from the date of issue of that WDN, and no extension of time shall be allowed on account of the delayed Kick-off Meeting. 15.2 The timeframe for well engineering shall run separately for each Well Design Notice, and is given below: <table><tr><th>Phase</th><th>Activity</th><th>Time Frame – No. of Days</th></tr><tr><td>PHASE-1A</td><td>Data Gathering Session / Submission of Data Gap</td><td>Within 05 days from issue of Well Design Notice (WDN)</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Offset Well Review Report Subsurface Uncertainty Statement Submission of BOD</td><td>Within 15 days from date of receipt of the available / acceptable Data Pack</td></tr><tr><td>PHASE-1B</td><td>Initial Conceptual Well Design as per Clause 6.0</td><td>Within 30 days from OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design</td></tr></table>	Phase	Activity	Time Frame – No. of Days	PHASE-1A	Data Gathering Session / Submission of Data Gap	Within 05 days from issue of Well Design Notice (WDN)		Offset Well Review Report Subsurface Uncertainty Statement Submission of BOD	Within 15 days from date of receipt of the available / acceptable Data Pack	PHASE-1B	Initial Conceptual Well Design as per Clause 6.0	Within 30 days from OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design
Activity	Time Frame — No. of Days																												
PHASE-1A																													
Data gathering Session	Within 10 days from issue of Well Design Notice (WDN)																												
Data/Data Gap handover by OIL	Within 05 Days from the date of Data Gathering Session																												
PHASE-1B																													
Conceptual Well Design as per Clause 6.0	Within 30 days from the date of Handover of the available/acceptable Data Pack for the relevant Well Design Notice (WDN)																												
Review of Conceptual Well Design by OIL	Within 15 days from submission of Conceptual Well Design																												
Phase	Activity	Time Frame – No. of Days																											
PHASE-1A	Data Gathering Session / Submission of Data Gap	Within 05 days from issue of Well Design Notice (WDN)																											
	Offset Well Review Report Subsurface Uncertainty Statement Submission of BOD	Within 15 days from date of receipt of the available / acceptable Data Pack																											
PHASE-1B	Initial Conceptual Well Design as per Clause 6.0	Within 30 days from OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design																											

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause																										
		<table><tr><td colspan="2">PHASE-2</td></tr><tr><td>Detailed Well Design as per Clause 7.0</td><td>Within 40 days from written approval of the Conceptual Well Design by OIL</td></tr><tr><td>Review of Detailed Well Design by OIL</td><td>Within 10 days from submission of Detailed Well Design</td></tr><tr><td>Final well design and well programme incorporating OIL's recommendations</td><td>Within 10 days from OIL's Final review / recommendations</td></tr></table> 15.3 Time Frame from WDN issued to Final Well Design submission per well is 120 days (including OIL's timeline). 15.4 Where OIL releases wells in a batch under a single Well Design Notice, the above timelines shall apply to the batch as a whole unless otherwise agreed in writing at the time of issue of that Notice. 15.5 Time taken by OIL for review and approval shall not count against the Service Provider's timelines, and no penalty shall attach to delay attributable to OIL. 15.6 Any delay attributable to the Service Provider in meeting the total contractual timeline for a well, as certified by the OIL Representative, shall attract Liquidated Damages (LD) at the rate of 0.5% of the Contract Value applicable to the respective well per week or part thereof, subject to a maximum of 7.5% of the Contract Value applicable to that well. The parties agree that the sums specified above are not a penalty but a genuine pre-estimate of the loss/damage which OIL will suffer on account of such delay, and the said amount shall be payable without proof of actual loss or damage and without demur. Liquidated damages shall be recovered by deduction from any sums due or becoming due to the Service Provider under this Contract, including from the milestone payments.	PHASE-2		Detailed Well Design as per Clause 7.0	Within 40 days from written approval of the Conceptual Well Design by OIL	Review of Detailed Well Design by OIL	Within 10 days from submission of Detailed Well Design	Final well design and well programme incorporating OIL's recommendations	Within 10 days from OIL's Final review / recommendations	<table><tr><td></td><td>Subsequent CWD – 1: Revised CWD incorporating OIL's comments / recommendations</td><td>Within 10 days from receipt of OIL's review / recommendations</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Subsequent CWD – 2: Further revised CWD incorporating OIL's comments / recommendations</td><td>Within 07 days from receipt of OIL's review / recommendations</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Beyond 02 Subsequent CWDs: Further CWD submission, if required</td><td>Subject to OIL's agreement</td></tr><tr><td>PHASE-2</td><td>Detailed Well Design as per Clause 7.0</td><td>Within 40 days from written approval of the Conceptual Well Design by OIL</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Subsequent DWD – 1: Revised DWD incorporating OIL's comments / recommendations</td><td>Within 06 days from receipt of OIL's review / recommendations</td></tr><tr><td>Final Well Design</td><td>Final Well Design and Well Programme incorporating OIL's final review / recommendations</td><td>Within 07 days from receipt of OIL's final review / recommendations</td></tr></table> <i>Note: Non-binding OIL timeline will be BOD approval – 07 days, CWD review – 10 days, DWD review – 10 days and Final review – 10 days. Subsequent review timeline preferably less than indicated.</i> 15.3 The above timelines shall be exclusive of the time taken by OIL for review, comments/recommendations, and closure of any identified data gaps. In case of any well which the Service Provider, by written request submitted within five (05) days from the date of submission of BOD, demonstrates to be critical in nature and to require additional time, the applicable timeline may be extended by OIL, to such extent as OIL considers appropriate, at OIL's sole discretion. 15.4 Where OIL releases wells in a batch under a single Well Design Notice (WDN), the above timelines shall apply to the batch as a whole, unless otherwise agreed by OIL at the time of issuance of such WDN. A maximum of three (03) wells shall be included in a single batch under one WDN. A single batch may comprise wells of differing well types, well categories, well profiles and/or wells targeting different reservoirs, fields, prospects or geographical locations, whether onshore or offshore. A minimum gap of sixty (60) days shall be maintained between the issuance of two consecutive batch WDNs. Notwithstanding the above, where a WDN covers less than three (03) wells, OIL		Subsequent CWD – 1: Revised CWD incorporating OIL's comments / recommendations	Within 10 days from receipt of OIL's review / recommendations		Subsequent CWD – 2: Further revised CWD incorporating OIL's comments / recommendations	Within 07 days from receipt of OIL's review / recommendations		Beyond 02 Subsequent CWDs: Further CWD submission, if required	Subject to OIL's agreement	PHASE-2	Detailed Well Design as per Clause 7.0	Within 40 days from written approval of the Conceptual Well Design by OIL		Subsequent DWD – 1: Revised DWD incorporating OIL's comments / recommendations	Within 06 days from receipt of OIL's review / recommendations	Final Well Design	Final Well Design and Well Programme incorporating OIL's final review / recommendations	Within 07 days from receipt of OIL's final review / recommendations
PHASE-2																													
Detailed Well Design as per Clause 7.0	Within 40 days from written approval of the Conceptual Well Design by OIL																												
Review of Detailed Well Design by OIL	Within 10 days from submission of Detailed Well Design																												
Final well design and well programme incorporating OIL's recommendations	Within 10 days from OIL's Final review / recommendations																												
	Subsequent CWD – 1: Revised CWD incorporating OIL's comments / recommendations	Within 10 days from receipt of OIL's review / recommendations																											
	Subsequent CWD – 2: Further revised CWD incorporating OIL's comments / recommendations	Within 07 days from receipt of OIL's review / recommendations																											
	Beyond 02 Subsequent CWDs: Further CWD submission, if required	Subject to OIL's agreement																											
PHASE-2	Detailed Well Design as per Clause 7.0	Within 40 days from written approval of the Conceptual Well Design by OIL																											
	Subsequent DWD – 1: Revised DWD incorporating OIL's comments / recommendations	Within 06 days from receipt of OIL's review / recommendations																											
Final Well Design	Final Well Design and Well Programme incorporating OIL's final review / recommendations	Within 07 days from receipt of OIL's final review / recommendations																											

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause
			may issue such WDN at any time and the requirement of the aforesaid sixty (60) days' gap shall not apply. 15.5 Time taken by OIL for review and approval shall not count against the Service Provider's timelines, and no penalty shall attach to delay attributable to OIL. 15.6 Any delay attributable to the Service Provider in meeting the total timeline, which is the sum of the Service Provider periods in Clause 15.2, plus the subsequent-CWD/DWD periods actually used, plus any extension granted under Clause 15.3, with OIL's time excluded, shall attract Liquidated Damages (LD), and not by way of penalty, at the rate of 0.5% of the Contract Value applicable to the respective well per week or part thereof of delay, subject to a maximum of 7.5% of the Contract Value applicable to that well. 15.7 Subsequent CWD/DWD Submission: Where, upon review of the submitted CWD/DWD, OIL requires any changes, modifications or incorporation of recommendations, the Service Provider shall submit the revised CWD/DWD incorporating such comments/recommendations within the following timelines: • Subsequent CWD-1 submission: within ten (10) days from the date of OIL's review/comments. • Subsequent CWD-2 submission: within seven (07) days from the date of OIL's review/comments. • Subsequent DWD-1 submission: within six (06) days from the date of OIL's review/comments. A maximum of two (02) subsequent CWD submissions and one (01) subsequent DWD submission shall be permitted for incorporation of OIL's comments/recommendations. No Liquidated Damages (LD) shall be applicable for the said two (02) subsequent CWD and one (01) subsequent DWD submissions, provided each such submission is made within the stipulated timeline. Any requirement for further CWD/DWD revisions/submissions beyond the aforesaid limits shall be subject to OIL's approval/agreement. In case OIL agrees to such further submissions, the applicable timeline shall be decided by OIL. LD, if applicable, shall be levied only after exhaustion of the permitted subsequent submissions and expiry of the applicable submission period agreed by OIL.

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause
			The parties agree that the sums specified above are not a penalty but a genuine pre-estimate of the loss/damage which OIL will suffer on account of such delay, and the said amount shall be payable without proof of actual loss or damage and without demur. Liquidated damages shall be recovered by deduction from any sums due or becoming due to the Service Provider under this Contract, including from the milestone payments.
9	SOW 16.0 / 16.11	16.11 In addition to the Core Team, the service provider may have Extended Delivery Team at its own cost for effective execution of the contract. They are not bid qualification criteria, no curriculum vitae is required in respect of them at bid stage, and no quality marks are allocated to them.	16.11 Extended Delivery Team (a) In addition to the Core Team at Clause 16.3, the Service Provider may deploy an Extended Delivery Team, at its own cost, for effective and timely execution of the Contract. (b) Deployment of any person under the Extended Delivery Team shall be subject to the prior written approval of OIL, whether that person is an employee of the Service Provider or is engaged in terms of Clause 16.12. The curriculum vitae of each such person shall be furnished to OIL before deployment and in any event before commencement of the work for which that person is proposed. (c) Personnel proposed for the Extended Delivery Team shall possess a minimum of 10 (Ten) years of relevant professional experience in their respective domain of expertise. (d) The Extended Delivery Team shall not constitute a bid qualification criterion; accordingly, submission of curricula vitae in respect of such personnel shall not be required at the bid stage, and no quality marks shall be allocated in respect of the Extended Delivery Team. (e) The specialist domains which may be required, depending on the class of well released under a WDN, include but are not limited to the following: – Stimulation and Hydraulic Fracture Design Specialist – Deepwater / Marine Well Engineering Specialist – HPHT, Narrow-Margin, MPD and UBD Specialist – Extended Reach and Multilateral Well Engineering Specialist – Cementing and Zonal Isolation Specialist – Drilling Fluids Specialist – Geomechanics / Petrophysics / Geoscience

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause
10	SCC	Purchase Preference for MSE & MII: Not applicable for this tender.	Purchase Preference for MSE & MII: Applicable.
11	SCC	Subcontracting: Allowed / Not Allowed: Not allowed, save that the Service Provider may engage a specialist third party, associate consultant or freelance specialist with OIL's prior written approval in terms of Clause 16.12 of the Scope of Work. Any such person shall meet the competency requirements applicable to the role concerned and shall be bound by confidentiality obligations back-to-back with those of the Contract. Such engagement shall not relieve the Service Provider of any of its obligations or liabilities, and the Service Provider shall remain solely responsible to OIL for the whole of the services.	Subcontracting: Allowed / Not Allowed: Not allowed , save that the Service Provider may engage a specialist third party, associate consultant or freelance specialist with OIL's prior written approval in terms of Clauses 16.11 and 16.12 of the Scope of Work. Any such person shall meet the competency requirements applicable to the role concerned and shall be bound by confidentiality obligations back-to-back with those of the Contract. Engagement of a specialist third party in accordance with Clause 16.12 of the Scope of Work, with OIL's prior written approval, shall not constitute subcontracting for the purposes of these Special Conditions of Contract, nor an assignment or transfer of the Service Provider's rights or liabilities. Such engagement shall not relieve the Service Provider of any of its obligations or liabilities, and the Service Provider shall remain solely responsible to OIL for the whole of the services in terms of Clause 16.13 of the Scope of Work. Work executed through a specialist third party shall not be counted as the bidder's experience under BEC Clause 2.1.
12	SCC	Insurance: The Service Provider at his own cost shall arrange, secure and maintain all six insurances listed under GCC 14.16 throughout the contract period. However, if Service Provider feels that some of these insurances are not applicable for this contract as per the nature of service then Service Provider may request OIL for exemption of the same with proper reasoning along with proper valid documentation and obtain the approval from OIL for exemption of such insurances otherwise, it will be taken as non-compliance.	Insurance: The Service Provider shall, at its own cost, arrange, secure and maintain the following insurances, and shall furnish copies of the policies / certificates of insurance to OIL before commencement of the services: (a) Professional Indemnity / Errors and Omissions Insurance , covering liability arising from any negligent act, error or omission in the professional services performed under this Contract, as follows: (i) Limit of indemnity: not less than the Contract Price. (ii) Period of cover and run-off: the policy shall be maintained throughout the Contract Period, with a retroactive date not later than the commencement date of the Contract, and the Service Provider shall ensure coverage for claims arising from professional services performed during the Contract Period for a minimum period of 03 (three) years after expiry / termination of the Contract. (iii) Insured: Professional Indemnity insurance maintained by the Service Provider itself shall be the normal requirement. Professional Indemnity insurance maintained by its parent / supporting company may be accepted only where the Service Provider is expressly covered as an insured under the policy, and the policy covers the professional services

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause
			performed by the Service Provider under this Contract with the required limits and territorial / jurisdictional scope. (b) Cyber Liability / Data Breach Insurance, covering liability arising from unauthorised access, disclosure, loss or compromise of data, data breach response costs, investigation, notification, restoration / remediation costs and third-party claims arising from a cyber incident attributable to the Service Provider, with a limit of liability of not less than the Contract Price. In addition, and without prejudice to this insurance, the Service Provider shall execute a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) with OIL, in the format furnished by OIL, before commencement of the Contract, in terms of the SCC entry "Confidentiality of Information" and Clause 22.0 of the Scope of Work. The insurance shall not be construed as limiting the Service Provider's obligations under the NDA or the Contract. (c) Employees' Compensation / Employer's Liability Insurance (GCC Clause 14.12 (i)): Employees' Compensation (EC) Policy or Employer's Liability Policy, as required by the laws of the country of origin of the employee, covering all personnel of the Service Provider engaged on the Contract. For any person attending an offshore installation or an operational site in India in terms of Clause 21.0 of the Scope of Work, the cover shall extend to personal accident, medical (including emergency medical treatment) and evacuation. (d) Pradhan Mantri Suraksha Bima Yojana (PMSBY) and Pradhan Mantri Jeevan Jyoti Bima Yojana (PMJJBY) (GCC Clause 14.12 (vi)): the Service Provider shall ensure that all its personnel deployed under the Contract who are Indian citizens and within the age group prescribed under the schemes have obtained coverage under PMSBY and PMJJBY through the participating banks, and shall submit proof of such coverage to the satisfaction of OIL. (e) Overseas insurers: the insurances at (a), (b) and (c) above may be placed with an overseas insurer, provided that the insurer is duly authorised / licensed to undertake such insurance in the relevant jurisdiction and the policy is valid and enforceable in respect of the contractual services under this Contract. The policies at (a) and (b) shall provide the required territorial and jurisdictional coverage for India, including coverage for claims brought in India where applicable, and all policies shall otherwise comply with the insurance requirements of this Contract.

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause																															
			(f) The services being consultancy services, the insurances under GCC Clauses 14.1 (a), (b) and (c) and 14.12 (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) shall not be required under this Contract. In respect of GCC Clause 14.12 (v), the Service Provider shall furnish, during mobilisation, the undertaking regarding non-inclusion of hazardous substances within the ambit of the Contract, in the format prescribed. The remaining provisions of GCC Clause 14.0 (Clauses 14.2 to 14.11) shall apply to the insurances at (a) to (d) above, to the extent applicable. This is without prejudice to the Service Provider's obligation to maintain any other insurance mandatorily required under applicable law, and the Service Provider shall remain solely responsible for its personnel.																															
13	SOR 5.0	5.0 MILESTONE BASED TERMS OF PAYMENT 5.1 Since the price for the service is on lump sum basis, the following milestone-linked payments shall be applicable against the services rendered. The percentages indicated below shall be applied on the quoted unit rate of the concerned well and shall be released well-wise: <table><tr><th>Milestone</th><th>Payment Milestone / Event of Completion</th><th>Payment (% of quoted Unit Rate of the concerned well)</th></tr><tr><td>M-1</td><td>Kick-off Meeting/Data Gathering session, and completion of handover of available data & documents by OIL in respect of the concerned well(s).</td><td>10 %</td></tr><tr><td>M-2</td><td>Upon submission of the Conceptual Well Design for the concerned well, and acceptance thereof by OIL</td><td>40 %</td></tr><tr><td>M-3</td><td>Upon submission of the Detailed Well Design, Drilling and Testing Programmes / Procedures and AFE for the concerned well including OIL's recommendations</td><td>40 %</td></tr><tr><td>M-4</td><td>Upon final acceptance of the Final Well Design and Well Programme by OIL, together with submission of the Final Report (03 bound copies</td><td>10 %</td></tr></table>	Milestone	Payment Milestone / Event of Completion	Payment (% of quoted Unit Rate of the concerned well)	M-1	Kick-off Meeting/Data Gathering session, and completion of handover of available data & documents by OIL in respect of the concerned well(s).	10 %	M-2	Upon submission of the Conceptual Well Design for the concerned well, and acceptance thereof by OIL	40 %	M-3	Upon submission of the Detailed Well Design, Drilling and Testing Programmes / Procedures and AFE for the concerned well including OIL's recommendations	40 %	M-4	Upon final acceptance of the Final Well Design and Well Programme by OIL, together with submission of the Final Report (03 bound copies	10 %	5.0 MILESTONE BASED TERMS OF PAYMENT 5.1 Since the price for the service is on lump sum basis, the following milestone-linked payments shall be applicable against the services rendered. The percentages indicated below shall be applied on the quoted unit rate of the concerned well and shall be released well-wise: <table><tr><th>Milestone Payment</th><th>Gate reference (SOW 4.1)</th><th>Milestone / Event of Completion</th><th>Payment (% of quoted Unit Rate of the concerned well)</th></tr><tr><td>M-1</td><td>Gate 1</td><td>Upon closure of Gate 1 (Data Assurance and Basis of Design) for the concerned well, being the holding of the Kick-off Meeting / Data Gathering Session, completion of handover of the available data and documents by OIL, and acceptance by OIL of the Offset Well Review Report, the closed Data Gap Register, the Subsurface Uncertainty Statement and OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design.</td><td>10 %</td></tr><tr><td>M-2</td><td>Gate 2</td><td>Upon closure of Gate 2 (Concept Select) for the concerned well, being OIL's written approval of the Conceptual Well Design, with the concept options evaluated and ranked and the HAZID closed out.</td><td>40 %</td></tr><tr><td>M-3</td><td>Gate 3</td><td>Upon closure of Gate 3 (Design Freeze) for the concerned well, being OIL's written approval of the Detailed Well Design together with the drilling and testing programmes and procedures, the Risk Register, closure of the design HAZOP, and the AFE with the P10 / P50 / P90 estimate.</td><td>40 %</td></tr></table>	Milestone Payment	Gate reference (SOW 4.1)	Milestone / Event of Completion	Payment (% of quoted Unit Rate of the concerned well)	M-1	Gate 1	Upon closure of Gate 1 (Data Assurance and Basis of Design) for the concerned well, being the holding of the Kick-off Meeting / Data Gathering Session, completion of handover of the available data and documents by OIL, and acceptance by OIL of the Offset Well Review Report, the closed Data Gap Register, the Subsurface Uncertainty Statement and OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design.	10 %	M-2	Gate 2	Upon closure of Gate 2 (Concept Select) for the concerned well, being OIL's written approval of the Conceptual Well Design, with the concept options evaluated and ranked and the HAZID closed out.	40 %	M-3	Gate 3	Upon closure of Gate 3 (Design Freeze) for the concerned well, being OIL's written approval of the Detailed Well Design together with the drilling and testing programmes and procedures, the Risk Register, closure of the design HAZOP, and the AFE with the P10 / P50 / P90 estimate.	40 %
Milestone	Payment Milestone / Event of Completion	Payment (% of quoted Unit Rate of the concerned well)																																
M-1	Kick-off Meeting/Data Gathering session, and completion of handover of available data & documents by OIL in respect of the concerned well(s).	10 %																																
M-2	Upon submission of the Conceptual Well Design for the concerned well, and acceptance thereof by OIL	40 %																																
M-3	Upon submission of the Detailed Well Design, Drilling and Testing Programmes / Procedures and AFE for the concerned well including OIL's recommendations	40 %																																
M-4	Upon final acceptance of the Final Well Design and Well Programme by OIL, together with submission of the Final Report (03 bound copies	10 %																																
Milestone Payment	Gate reference (SOW 4.1)	Milestone / Event of Completion	Payment (% of quoted Unit Rate of the concerned well)																															
M-1	Gate 1	Upon closure of Gate 1 (Data Assurance and Basis of Design) for the concerned well, being the holding of the Kick-off Meeting / Data Gathering Session, completion of handover of the available data and documents by OIL, and acceptance by OIL of the Offset Well Review Report, the closed Data Gap Register, the Subsurface Uncertainty Statement and OIL's written approval of the Basis of Design.	10 %																															
M-2	Gate 2	Upon closure of Gate 2 (Concept Select) for the concerned well, being OIL's written approval of the Conceptual Well Design, with the concept options evaluated and ranked and the HAZID closed out.	40 %																															
M-3	Gate 3	Upon closure of Gate 3 (Design Freeze) for the concerned well, being OIL's written approval of the Detailed Well Design together with the drilling and testing programmes and procedures, the Risk Register, closure of the design HAZOP, and the AFE with the P10 / P50 / P90 estimate.	40 %																															

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause		Amended Clause				
			and 02 soft copies) and the final soft copy of well design files in Wellcat or equivalent software.		M-4	Gate 4	Upon closure of Gate 4 (Ready to Execute) for the concerned well, being OIL's final acceptance of the Final Well Design and Well Programme with all OIL final recommendations closed, issue of the firm Long-lead Specifications, and submission of the Final Report (03 bound copies and 02 soft copies) together with the final design files in native, editable software format and the input data files in terms of Clause 13.0 of Section-II (SOW).	10 %
		TOTAL				100 %		
		5.2 The following conditions shall govern the release of the above milestone payments: • Milestone M-1 in respect of the first well(s) shall become due on completion of the Kick-off Meeting at Drilling Services, Duliajan following the issue of the Mobilization Notice. For wells released subsequently during the tenure of the Contract, M-1 shall become due on completion of handover of the relevant well data and documents by OIL to the Contractor. • Where more than one well is taken up together under a single data handover, Milestone M-1 shall be payable proportionately for each such well. • No milestone payment shall be released unless the deliverable of the preceding milestone for that well has been submitted and accepted in writing by the Company's Engineer-in-Charge / CGM (WeCOM), Drilling Services, Duliajan. • The deliverables against each milestone shall be submitted within the timelines stipulated in the Time Frame table of Section-II (SOW). Any delay attributable to the Service Provider in meeting the total contractual timeline for a well, as certified by the OIL Representative, shall attract Liquidated Damages (LD) at the rate of 0.5% of the Contract Value applicable to the respective well per week or part thereof, subject to a maximum of 7.5% of the Contract Value applicable to that well. Liquidated Damages so accruing shall be recoverable from any milestone payment then or thereafter falling due. • Milestone payments already released shall not be construed as acceptance of the design or as a waiver of						
		5.2 The following conditions shall govern the release of the above milestone payments: (a) Where more than one well is taken up together under a single data handover, Milestone M-1 shall be payable proportionately for each such well. (b) No milestone payment shall be released unless the gate corresponding to that milestone has been formally closed by OIL in writing in terms of Clause 4.0 of Section-II (SOW), and the deliverable of the preceding milestone for that well has been accepted in writing by the Company's Engineer-in-Charge / CGM (WeCOM), Drilling Services, Duliajan. (c) The deliverables against each milestone shall be submitted within the timelines stipulated at Clause 15.0 of Section-II (SOW). Liquidated Damages for delay attributable to the Service Provider shall be levied in terms of Clauses 15.6 and 15.7 of Section-II (SOW), and Liquidated Damages so accruing shall be recoverable from any milestone payment then or thereafter falling due. (d) Milestone payments already released shall not be construed as acceptance of the design or as a waiver of the Company's right to require rectification of the deliverables at a later stage. (e) Where a Well Design Notice covers more than one well, gate closure and the corresponding milestone payment shall be determined well-wise, and a delay in respect of one well of a batch shall not of itself withhold the milestone payment due in respect of the remaining wells of that batch.						

Sl. No.	Tender Clause	Existing Clause	Amended Clause
		the Company's right to require rectification of the deliverables at a later stage.	

Note:

1. This Amendment Document shall form part of the tender document, and bidders shall take it into account in preparing their bids.
2. The revised Bid Evaluation Criteria (amended BEC) and its associated formats (Additional and/or Modified Proformas) are issued separately with this corrigendum.
3. PROFORMA-SW Part B (Undertaking for Category B software licences) referred to at Sl. No. 6 is issued with this corrigendum and shall be submitted with the technical bid.

BID EVALUATION CRITERIA (BEC) REVISED**GENERAL CONFORMITY:**

The bid shall conform generally to the specifications and terms and conditions given in this bid document. Bids shall be rejected in case the services offered do not conform to required parameters stipulated in the technical specifications. Notwithstanding the general conformity of the bids to the stipulated specifications, the following requirements shall have to be particularly met by the Bidders without which the same shall be considered as non-responsive and rejected. All the documents related to BEC must be submitted along with the techno-commercial Bid.

1.0 ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA:

The bidder must be incorporated in India and must maintain more than or equal to 20% local content (LC) for the offered services to be eligible to bid against this tender.

Regarding calculation of local content and submission of documents during bidding & execution of contracts, provision of Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order, 2017 of Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT), Govt. of India as revised vide Order No. P-45021/2/2017-PP (BE-II) dated 16th September 2020 (and as amended time to time) with modifications as notified vide MoPNG Order No. FP20013/2/2017-FP-PNG-Part (4) (E-41432) dated 26th April 2022 (including subsequent amendments thereof, if any) shall be applicable.

If such local content is not maintained during execution of contract, OIL reserves the right to invoke the Performance Securities submitted by the bidding and supporting companies, in addition to resorting to other options as may be deemed appropriate.

Whether or not the bidders want to avail PP-LC benefit against this tender, it is mandatory for them to meet the following at the bidding stage:

- (a) The bidder must provide the specific percentage (%) of local content in their bid, without which the bid is liable for rejection being non-compliant.
- (b) The Bidder shall submit an undertaking from the authorised signatory of bidder having the Power of Attorney along with the bid specifying the LC Percentage and such undertaking shall become a part of the contract, if awarded [Proforma-I].
- (c) The aforesaid undertaking of the bidder shall also be supported by a certificate from the statutory auditor or cost auditor of the company (in case of companies) or from a practicing cost accountant or practicing chartered accountant (in respect of other than companies) giving the percentage of local content.
- (d) Bidder to submit a copy of their Certificate of Incorporation/Registration in India.

2.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA:**2.1 EXPERIENCE CRITERIA:**

- i. The bidder must have experience in oil and gas business globally in Well Design, Engineering and completion for a period of not less than 20 (twenty) years as on the original bid closing date.
- ii. Additionally, the bidder must have experience of completing minimum **08 (eight) nos. of jobs/projects/wells** in well design and Engineering globally during the last 07 (Seven) years reckoned from the original bid closing date.

(I) Note to Clause No. 2.1 above:

- a)** In support of the experience criteria of Clause No. 2.1 (i) above, the bidder must furnish documentary evidence (self-attested) citing names and addresses of the clients along with project description for whom the project(s) have been completed. The provided list needs to be supported with CEO certification/paper published in various professional societies/information available in public domain/and any other document substantiating the bidder's experience along with period of work done.
- (b)** For proof of requisite Experience against 2.1 (ii) above, the following documents/ photocopy must be submitted along with the bid:
 - A. Contract document showing details of work,
AND
 - B. Job Completion Certificate or Service Entry Sheet (SES) or Certificate of Payment (COP) issued by the Client or any other document to substantiate successful execution of the job indicating the following:
 - (i) Work order no./Contract no.
 - (ii) Contract period and date of completion
 - (iii) Number/Description of jobs done/Nature of Service
- (c)** In case requisite experience is against OIL's Contract, bidder shall only require
to categorically specify OIL's Contract Number.
- (d)** Only Letter of Intent (LOI)/Letter of Award (LOA) or Work Order(s) are not acceptable as evidence of experience.
- (e)** 'Work' executed by a bidder or by the supporting company for its own organization/ Parent/ Subsidiary/ Sister Subsidiary/ Co-Subsidiary/ any other company within the same ultimate parent or holding company/ Joint Venture shall not be considered as experience for the purpose of meeting the BEC.

- (f) Bids submitted for part of the work will be rejected.
 - (g) Mere award of contract(s) shall not be counted towards experience. Successful completion of the awarded contract(s) to the extent of volume & value, as stipulated respectively under Clause No. 2.1 shall only be treated as acceptable experience.
 - (h) 'Work' executed through 'sub-contracting' shall not be considered for evaluation.
 - (i) Bid will be rejected if not accompanied with adequate documentary proof in support of Work experience as mentioned in clause 2.1.
 - (j) If the prospective bidder is executing a contract as per Clause No. 2.1 of BEC which is still running, and quantity of the jobs executed prior to original bid closing date is equal to or more than the minimum prescribed numbers of jobs mentioned in Clause no. 2.1 of BEC, such experience shall qualify for evaluation.
- (II) Bids of those bidders who themselves do not meet the experience criteria as stipulated in Clause No. 2.1 above, can also quote under the categories listed below provided the primary bidder complies to '**Clause No. 1.0**' w.r.t being incorporated in India and maintaining more than or equal to 20% local content for the offered services:
- (a) **ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA IN CASE BIDS ARE SUBMITTED ON THE BASIS OF TECHNICAL EXPERIENCE OF THE PARENT/SUBSIDIARY COMPANY:**

Offers of those bidders who themselves do not meet the experience criteria as stipulated in clause no. 2.1 above can also be considered provided the bidder is a subsidiary company of the parent company [**supporting company, which holds more than fifty percent of the paid-up equity share capital of the bidder**] who fulfils the experience criteria. Similarly, bid from parent company can also be considered on the strength of requisite experience of its subsidiary [supporting company, in which the bidder holds more than fifty percent of the paid-up equity share capital]. However, the parent/subsidiary company of the bidder should on its own meet the technical experience as aforesaid and should not rely on its sister subsidiary/co-subsidiary company or through any other arrangement like technical collaboration etc. In that case, as the subsidiary company is dependent upon the technical experience of the parent company or vice-versa, with a view to ensure commitment and involvement of the parent/subsidiary company for successful execution of the contract, the participating bidder must enclose an agreement, as per provided format, between the parent and the subsidiary company or vice-versa and Parent/Subsidiary Guarantee, as per the provided format, from the parent/subsidiary company to OIL for fulfilling the obligations under the agreement, along with the technical bid.

(b) ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA IN CASE BID IS SUBMITTED ON THE BASIS OF TECHNICAL EXPERIENCE OF SISTER-SUBSIDIARY/CO-SUBSIDIARY COMPANY:

Offers of those bidders who themselves do not meet the experience criteria as stipulated in Clause No. 2.1 (i) and 2.1 (ii) above can also be considered based on the experience of their Sister Subsidiary/Co-Subsidiary company within the ultimate parent/holding company subject to meeting the following conditions:

i) Provided that the sister-subsidiary/co-subsidiary company and the bidding company are both wholly owned subsidiaries of an ultimate parent/holding company either directly or through intermediate wholly owned subsidiaries of the ultimate parent/holding company or through any other wholly owned subsidiary company within the same ultimate/holding parent company. Documentary evidence to this effect, together with the complete shareholding chain, to be submitted by the ultimate parent/holding company along with the technical bid.

ii) Provided that the sister subsidiary/co-subsidiary company on its own meets the technical experience criteria laid down in Clause No. 2.1 (i) and 2.1 (ii) above and not through any other arrangement like technical collaboration etc.

iii) Provided that with a view to ensure commitment and involvement of the ultimate parent/holding company for successful execution of the contract, the participating bidder must submit an agreement, as per format furnished vide PROFORMA-Q, between them, their ultimate parent/holding company, along with the technical bid.

iv) Provided that the participating bidder also submits an agreement, as per format furnished vide PROFORMA-N, between the bidder and the supporting company, along with the technical bid.

(c) In case of Clause Nos. 2.1 (II) (a) and 2.1 (II) (b) above, bidders shall submit the following in addition to the documents mentioned above:

(a) Undertaking by the supporting company (Subsidiary/Parent/Sister Subsidiary company) [on whose strength/support the bidder is bidding as per Clause no. 2.1 II. (a) and 2.1 II. (b) above] to provide a Performance Security (as per format and instructions enclosed at PROFORMA-R), equivalent to 50% of the value of the Performance Security which is to be submitted by the bidding company in case the bidding company is the successful bidder.

(b) In cases where the supporting company does not have Permanent Establishment in India, the bidding company can furnish Performance Security equivalent to 150% of the value of the Performance Security which is to be submitted by the bidding company.

In such case bidding company shall furnish an undertaking that their subsidiary/parent/ sister subsidiary company/JV Partner (i.e. the supporting company) is not having any Permanent Establishment in India in terms of Income Tax Act of India.

(c) Undertaking from the supporting company to the effect that in addition to invoking the performance security submitted by the contractor, the performance security provided by supporting company shall be invoked by OIL due to non-performance of the contractor.

(d) A Certificate from the Statutory Auditor or Company Secretary or one of the Directors of the bidding company to establish the relationship and equity percentage holding between bidder and the supporting company. In case of a bid submitted under Clause No. 2.1 (II) (b), such Certificate shall be furnished by the Statutory Auditor or Company Secretary or one of the Directors of the bidding company as well as of the supporting company, and shall establish the name of the ultimate parent/holding company and the percentage of paid-up equity share capital held by it, directly or through intermediate wholly owned subsidiaries, in each of the bidding company and the supporting company.

The above certificate should not be more than 30 days old as on the original bid closing date.

(e) In case the contract is awarded based on the bid submitted as per Clause no. 2.1 (II) (a) or 2.1 (II) (b) above, in order to meet the commitment from the supporting firm based on whose technical experience the bidder has been qualified, the identified key personnel as mentioned in “**PROFORMA- IDENTIFIED KEY PERSONNEL**” shall be from the Supporting Company for the entire duration of the contract. A declaration as per **ANNEXURE-KP** in this respect to be submitted as part of the technical bid.

(f) Valid legal documents showing the legal relationship between the bidder and the parent/subsidiary/co-subsubsidiary/sister subsidiary (supporting company), as the case may be, along with shareholding pattern and linkage between the bidder and the parent/subsidiary (supporting company)/ultimate parent/holding company.

Note: In case of 2.1 (II) (a) or 2.1 (II) (b) above, the supporting company shall not be allowed to submit their separate/independent bid against this tender. In such case, all such bids shall be rejected. Also, bids having technical support from the same entity shall be rejected. No two or more bids relying upon the technical experience of the same supporting company shall be entertained and all such bids shall be rejected.

3.0 MOBILIZATION TIME:

The bidders must confirm their compliance in their ‘technical’ bid to

complete the mobilization within 15 (Fifteen) days from the date of issue of Mobilization notice. Offers without confirmation of stipulated mobilization time or with mobilization time more than 15 (Fifteen) days will be summarily rejected. The bidder shall confirm compliance of this in their technical bid.

4.0 FINANCIAL EVALUATION CRITERIA:

- 4.1 Annual Financial Turnover from Operation** of the bidder during any of the preceding 03 (Three) financial/Accounting years reckoned from the original bid closing date should be minimum INR **3.74 Crore**.

[Annual Financial Turnover of the bidder from operations shall mean: "Aggregate value of the realisation of amount made from the sale, supply or distribution of goods or on account of services rendered, or both, by the company (bidder) during a financial year" as per the Companies Act, 2013 Section 2 (91).]

- 4.2** The "**Net Worth**" of the bidder should be **positive** for the financial/ accounting year preceding the original Bid closing date.

[Net worth shall mean: "Share capital + Reserves created out of profits and securities Premium – Aggregate value of accumulated losses (excluding revaluation reserves) – deferred expenditure – Miscellaneous Expenditure to the extent not written off and carried forward Loss - Reserves created out of write back of depreciation and amalgamation".]

Note: The Net worth to be considered against Clause No. 4.2 above, should be read in conjunction with the definition of Net worth as mentioned in Section 2 (57) of the Companies Act, 2013.

- 4.3** In case the bidder is a subsidiary company (should be wholly owned subsidiary of the parent/ultimate parent/holding company), who does not meet financial criteria by itself and submits bid based on the financial strength of its parent/ultimate parent/holding company, then documents need to be submitted along with the technical bid in support of the following:

- (i) Annual Turnover of the parent/ultimate parent/holding company in any of preceding three (3) financial /accounting years reckoned from the Original Bid closing date as per the Audited Annual Reports shall be minimum **INR 3.74 Crore**
- (ii) Net Worth of the parent/ultimate parent / holding company (supporting company) should be **positive** for the accounting year preceding the Original Bid closing date.
- (iii) Corporate Guarantee (as per **Proforma-P**) on parent/ultimate

parent/holding company's letter head signed by an authorized official undertaking that they would financially support their subsidiary company for executing the project/job in case the same is awarded to them.

- (iv) A certificate from the Statutory Auditor of the bidding company as well as of the supporting company to establish the relationship and equity percentage holding between bidding company and the supporting company. The certificates should be duly concurred/endorsed by the Company Secretary or one of the Directors of the company concerned.

Note: The above certificate should not be more than 30 days old as on the original bid closing date.

4.4 Notes to Financial Evaluation Criteria:

- a. For proof of Annual Turnover from operation & Net worth, any one of the following documents/photocopies must be submitted along with the bid:
 - (i) Financial Statements (Balance Sheet & Profit & Loss account along with all the **schedules/notes forming part of the Balance sheet & Profit and Loss Account**) audited by the auditors appointed under the statute like Companies Act etc. wherever applicable. In cases where the bidding/ supporting company (as applicable) are not required to prepare Audited Financial Statements as per the Law of the Land of the country of the bidding/supporting company as applicable, the bidder shall provide documentary evidence for the same along with a certificate from a practicing Chartered Accountant or equivalent to this effect. The bidder must also submit the financial statement as per the accounting standards of the country of the bidding/supporting company as the case may be, duly certified by the practicing-chartered accountant or equivalent.

OR

- (ii) A certificate issued by a practicing Chartered/Cost Accountant (with Membership Number and Firm Registration Number), certifying the Annual Turnover & Net Worth as per format prescribed in Proforma-VIII.

Note:

- i. Mention of UDIN (Unique Document Identification Number) is mandatory for all Certificates issued w.e.f. February 1, 2019 by Chartered Accountant in Practice.
- ii. In case the Audited Balance sheet and Profit Loss Account submitted along with the bid are in currencies other than INR,

the bidder shall have to convert the figures in equivalent INR considering the prevailing conversion rate on the date on which the Audited Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Account is signed. A CA Certificate is to be submitted by the bidder regarding converted figures in equivalent INR. Else, the Audited Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Account shall be evaluated by considering the BC selling rate declared by State Bank of India (on the date on which the Audited Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Account is signed) for conversion to INR.

- (iii) Considering the time required for preparation of Financial Statements, if the last date of preceding financial/accounting year falls within the preceding six months reckoned from the original bid closing date/within the due date for furnishing of audit report as per Section 139(1) of IT Act, 1961 (read along with latest circulars/notifications issued by CBDT from time to time) and the Financial Statements of the preceding financial/accounting year are not available with the bidder, then the Net worth of the previous financial/accounting year excluding the preceding financial/accounting year will be considered. However, the bidder has to submit an undertaking in support of the same along with their technical bid as per format enclosed (Proforma-XIII).
- (iv) In case the bidder is a Central Govt. Organization/PSU/State Govt. Organization/Semi-State Govt. Organization or any other Central/State Govt. Undertaking, where the auditor is appointed only after the approval of Comptroller and Auditor General of India and the Central Government, their certificates may be accepted even though FRN is not available. However, bidder to provide documentary evidence for the same.
- (v) In case the bidder is a Government Department, they are exempted from submission of document mentioned under para a. and b. above.
- (vi) Bid will be rejected if not accompanied with adequate documentary proof in support of Annual turnover & Net worth as mentioned above in Para 4.1 & 4.2.

5.0 COMMERCIAL EVALUATION CRITERIA:

- 5.1** The bids are to be submitted in single stage under Two Bid System i.e. Un-priced Techno-Commercial Bid and Price Bid together. The Un-priced techno-commercial bid (or Technical bid) must comprise of all the technical documents substantiating the previous experience, financial & technical credentials of the bidder and any other document as asked for in the bid document. There should not be any indication of price in the Technical bid; otherwise, the bid shall be rejected straightway.

- 5.2** Bidders must fill the '**PRICE BIDDING FORMAT (PROFORMA-B)**' and compute all-inclusive (including GST) bid value. This all-inclusive (including GST) bid value is to be entered against the '**OFFER PRICE**' field in the GeM portal. The duly filled 'PRICE BID' in electronic form must be submitted by the bidders through GeM Portal only along with the Financial Bid. Any Financial Bid without the duly filled Price Bid may be liable for rejection.

Note: The breakup of the quoted / offered price, as per the prescribed Price Bid Format MUST NOT be uploaded with the technical bid; otherwise the bid shall be rejected straightway.

- 5.3** The quantities shown against each item in the BOQ/Price Bid Format shall be considered for the purpose of Bid Evaluation. It is, however, to be clearly understood that the assumptions made in respect of the quantities for various operations are only for the purpose of evaluation of the bid and the Contractor will be paid on the basis of the actual Quantity consumed, as the case may be.
- 5.4** The price quoted by the successful bidder must be firm during the performance of the contract and not subject to variation on any account except as mentioned in the bid document. Any bid submitted with adjustable price quotation other than the above will be treated as non-responsive and rejected.
- 5.5** **BID SECURITY:** Bid Security in Original shall be furnished as a part of the Technical Bid and shall reach the office of CGM-Contracts, OIL at Duliajan on or before 2:00 Hrs (IST) on the bid closing date. A scanned copy of the bid security shall however be uploaded in GeM along with the Technical Bid. The amount & validity of bid security should be as specified in the forwarding letter. **Any bid not accompanied by a proper bid security will be rejected.**
- 5.6** Bids received through the GeM Portal shall only be accepted. Bids received in any other form shall not be accepted.
- 5.7** Bids shall be typed or written in indelible ink.
- 5.8** Bids shall contain no interlineations, erasures or overwriting except as necessary to correct errors made by bidder, in which case such corrections shall be initiated by the persons(s) signing (digitally) the bid.
- 5.9** Any bid containing false statement will be rejected and action will be taken by Company as per Bid Document.
- 5.10** Bidder must accept and comply with the following provisions as given in the Tender Document in toto, failing which offer will be rejected:

- (i) Firm price

- (ii) Bid Security/EMD
- (iii) Period of validity of Bid
- (iv) Price Schedule
- (v) Performance Bank Guarantee/Security deposit
- (vi) Mobilization Schedule
- (vii) Scope of work
- (viii) Guarantee of material/work
- (ix) Liquidated Damages clause
- (x) Tax liabilities
- (xi) Arbitration/Resolution of Dispute Clause
- (xii) Force Majeure
- (xiii) Applicable Laws
- (xiv) Specifications
- (xv) Integrity Pact

5.11 There should not be any indication of price in the Un-priced Techno-commercial Bid. A bid will be straightway rejected if this is given in the Un-Priced Techno-Commercial Bid.

5.12 Bid received with validity of offer less than 120 (one hundred twenty) days from Bid Closing Date will be rejected.

5.13 Bidder shall submit the prescribed checklists for BEC & other relevant technical Criteria etc. as prescribed in the tender with their technical Bid. If any bidder fails/declines to submit the same, their bids may not be considered for further evaluation.

5.14 The Integrity Pact is applicable against this tender. OIL shall be entering into an Integrity Pact with the bidders as per format enclosed vide "Integrity Pact/Proforma-XIII" of the tender document. This Integrity Pact proforma has been duly signed by OIL's competent signatory. The proforma has to be returned by the bidder (along with the Un-priced Techno-Commercial Bid) duly signed (digitally) by the same signatory who signed the bid, i.e., who is duly authorized to sign the bid. Uploading the Integrity Pact with digital signature will be construed that all pages of the Integrity Pact has been signed by the bidder's authorized signatory who sign the Bid. If any bidder refuses to sign Integrity Pact or declines to submit the Integrity Pact, their bid will be rejected.

6.0 PRICE EVALUATION CRITERIA:

"Qualified Bids" (meeting the minimum Qualifying Marks of 75 in Bid Quality Criteria) and conforming to the technical specifications, terms and conditions stipulated in the bidding document and considered to be responsive after subjecting to Bid Evaluation Criteria shall be considered for Price Bid Opening & further evaluation as per criteria given below.

6.1 It is to be clearly understood that the assumptions made in respect of the quantities for various operations are only for the purpose of

- evaluation of the bid and the Contractor will be paid on the basis of the actual number of days/parameter, as the case may be.
- 6.2 The bidders are advised not to offer any discount/rebate separately and to offer their prices after considering discount/rebate, if any.
- 6.3 Conditional and unsolicited discount will not be considered in evaluation. However, if such bidder happens to be the lowest recommended bidder, unsolicited discount without any condition will be considered for computing the contract price.
- 6.4 OIL will prefer to deal with registered bidder under GST. Therefore, bidders are requested to get themselves registered under GST, if not registered yet.
- 6.5 Input Tax Credit on GST (Goods & Service Tax) for this service is NOT available to OIL & the bids will be evaluated based on total price including GST.
- 6.6 The rates/prices must be quoted in Indian Rupees (INR) only.
- 6.7 Priced Bids shall be evaluated taking into account the Total Price (including all liabilities and GST) quoted against the "OFFER PRICE" field of the GeM portal.
- 6.8 If a bidder quotes Total Charges/Consideration as NIL, the bid shall be treated as unresponsive and will not be considered.
- 6.9 The inter-se-ranking of the techno-commercially qualified bidders will be determined on overall lowest cost basis (L-1 offer) i.e. considering the Total quoted price inclusive of all liabilities and GST.
- 6.10 In case of identical overall lowest offered rate by more than 01 (one) bidder, the selection will be made by draw of lot between the parties offering the same overall lowest price.
- 6.11 **GENERAL:**
1. In case bidder takes exception to any clause of bidding document not covered under BEC/BRC, then the Company has the discretion to load or reject the offer on account of such exception if the bidder does not withdraw/modify the deviation when/as advised by company. The loading so done by the company will be final and binding on the bidders. No deviation will however be accepted in the clauses covered under BEC/BRC.
 2. To ascertain the substantial responsiveness of the bid the Company reserves the right to ask the bidder for clarification in respect of clauses covered under BEC/BRC also and such clarifications fulfilling the BEC/BRC clauses in toto must be received on or before the deadline given by the company, failing which the offer will be evaluated based on the submission. Clarifications if decided to be sought at the option of Company, the

same shall be limited to the original submissions only and no independent fresh submission shall be called for/permitted. However, mere submission of such clarification shall not make the offer responsive, unless company is satisfied with the substantial responsiveness of the offer.

3. If any of the clauses in the BEC/BRC contradict with other clauses of bidding document elsewhere, the clauses in the BEC/BRC shall prevail.

4. Bidder(s) must note that requisite information(s)/financial values etc. as required in the BEC/BRC & Tender are clearly understandable from the supporting documents submitted by the Bidder(s); otherwise Bids shall be rejected.

5. OIL will not be responsible for delay, loss or non-receipt of applications for participating in the bid sent by mail and will not entertain any correspondence in this regard.

6. The originals of such documents [furnished by bidder(s)] shall have to be produced by bidder(s) to OIL as and when asked for.

7. Oil India Limited (OIL) reserves the right to contact the Client(s) referred by the Bidder for authentication of the documents submitted by the Bidder. OIL may contact the clients/operators under intimation/copy to the respective Bidder. It will be the responsibility of the Bidder to take up the matter with his Client (s) and arrange for the confirmation as desired by OIL.

7.0 **CORE TEAM EXPERIENCE:**

7.1 As a part of the project execution, the bidder must deploy an integrated core team of a minimum of four nos. of Experts/ Consultants across the domains throughout the duration of the contract. The Experts/ Consultants must have work experience in at least five (05) nos. well designs/projects. Additionally, the minimum requisite total work experience of the Expert/ Consultant shall have to be 20 years' experience globally in his relevant domain of oil & gas sector/E&P companies as on the original bid closing date or as defined below:

7.2 The service provider shall designate a **Project Coordinator cum Team Leader** from amongst the Experts/Consultants for the entire duration of the Project with minimum experience of 25 years in oil & gas sector from Drilling domain. The minimum experience requirement of key personnel to be deployed by Contractor and other criteria of key personnel to carry out the study shall be as under:

- a) **Drilling consultant (Minimum 1 No.):** The Senior drilling Consultant should have minimum experience of at **least 15 years in** oil and gas sector/E&P companies globally &

have completed **minimum 05(Five) well** designs/project (out of which at least one well/project should be of onshore and one offshore) as on the original bid closing date.

- b) **Senior Drilling consultant /Senior Design Consultants (Minimum 1 No.):** The Senior Drilling consultant /Senior Design Consultant of at least **25 years** of experience in oil and gas sector/E&P companies globally and have completed **minimum 10 well designs/projects** (out of which at least one well/project should be of onshore and one offshore studies) as on the original bid closing date.
- c) **Senior Directional drilling consultant(Minimum 1 no):** The Senior directional drilling consultant must have experience of at least **20 years** in Drilling domain out of which at least **12 years** in directional drilling globally (out of which at least one project should be of onshore and one offshore) as on original bid closing date.
- d) **Senior Completion consultant (Minimum 1 No.):** The Senior completion consultant Engineer/Consultant must have experience of at least **20 years** in Oil & Gas sector/ E&P companies globally and have relevant expertise as completion consultant in minimum 12 (Twelve) wells/projects (out of which at least one project should be of onshore and one offshore) as on the original bid closing date.

8.0 QUALITY BASED SELECTION: The bids conforming to the technical specifications, terms and conditions stipulated in the bidding document and considered to be responsive after subjecting to Bid Rejection Criteria will be considered for further evaluation as given below:

- i. Bids shall be evaluated both in terms of “Quality” as well as “Lowest Quoted Price”. Bidders who score minimum 75 marks against quality will qualify price bid opening.
- ii. The marks allocated against various sub-sections under “Quality” of Bid shall be as hereunder:

Sl.	Quality Criteria	Marks
1	Bidder's experience: Experience of Undertaking Similar Assignment	20 +20
2	Software available	10
3	Expert Experience	20+10+10
4	Presentation	10
	Total =	100

1	Bidder experience: The bidder having experience in Well Design Engineering as on original bid closing date		20 (Max)
(a)	Experience in consultancy related to oil and gas business globally in Well Design Engineering and completions, for a period of 30 (Thirty) years or more as on the original bid closing date.	20	
(b)	Experience in consultancy related to oil and gas business globally in Well Design Engineering and completions, for a period of 25 (Twenty Five) years or more but less than 30(Thirty) years as on the original bid closing date.	15	
(c)	Experience in consultancy related to oil and gas business globally in Well Design Engineering and completions, for a period of 20 (Twenty) years or more but less than 25 (Twenty-Five) years as on the original bid closing date.	10	
1.1	Bidder experience: The bidder having experience in Well Design		20 (Max)
	Experience of having successfully completed well design of 05 wells of 3000 + m or more true horizontal drift	20	
	Experience of having successfully completed well design of 05 wells of > 2500 m but =< 3000 m true horizontal drift	15	
	Experience of having successfully completed well design of 05 wells of = < 2500 m true horizontal drift	10	
2	Software Available at Bidders end		10 (Max)
(a)	Licensed Landmark software / ERA software availability.	10	
(b)	Any other equivalent software	05	
3	Experience of Design Experts		40 (Max)
(a)	Project Manager/ Lead Manager Experience >25 Years	20	
	Project Manager /Lead Manager Experience > = 20 Years < 25 Years	12	
	Project Manager / Lead Manager Experience > = 10 Years < 20 Years	08	
(b)	Directional Drilling Consultant > 30 Years	10	
	Directional Drilling Consultant = < 30 Years > 25 Years	06	
	Directional Drilling Consultant = < 25 Years > 20 Years	04	
(e)	Completion Expert > 30 Years	10	
	Completion Consultant = < 30 Years > 25 Years	06	

	Completion Consultant = < 25 Years > 20 Years	04	
4	Technical Presentation by the Bidder (Copy of Presentation to be certified by the bidder's Head of India Operations)		10 (Max)
	Technical Presentation illustrating the robustness of overall Approach and Methodology to carry out the study as per the Scope of Work (SoW) ensuring Quality of work and deliverables within the stipulated timeframe and Budget. The presentation shall be assessed by the OIL Committee.		
* Note: Experience will only be taken for the period for which the consultant has experience in the respective domain and not for his full-service period			
		TOTAL=	100 (Max)

Notes:

- i) It shall be the bidder's responsibility to ensure submission of unambiguous/clear and sufficient documentary evidence in support of the quality evaluation criteria.
- ii) No clarification w.r.t Quality Criteria shall be sought from the bidders. Therefore, bidders must ensure that all documents (in toto) are submitted as part of original submission. In case of deficit submission of documents in the original bid to substantiate the Bid Evaluation Criteria viz: "BEC Clause 2.1: Experience Criteria", clarifications if required may be sought from the bidders limiting to the original submissions only and no independent fresh submission (which has no reference in the original submitted bid) shall be considered/permitted. In such cases, the related documents (submitted against post-bid clarifications) if considered for BEC qualification (minimum requirement as per BEC clause 2.1) will also be considered for Quality based selection, however marks for Bid Quality shall be allocated corresponding to the minimum requisite quantum only as per BEC clause Nos. 2.1
- iii) For example, if a bidder submits only Contract documents for 03 (three) contracts against the experience sought against the BEC Clause No. 2.1 in their original bid but has not submitted Job Completion Certificate/Service Entry Sheet/Certificate of Payment for any of the contract, OIL may seek the Job Completion Certificate/Service Entry Sheet/Certificate of Payment for the 03 (three) contracts as a clarification against BEC Clause No. 2.1. Against the clarification, if the bidder provides all the necessary documents and if all the 03 (three) contracts are found to be compliant to BEC Clause No. 2.1 for Quality based selection purpose experience of only 01 (one) contract will be considered.

- iv) Since bidder's qualification marks are linked with the qualification of personnel, bidders should ensure that the same persons, whose CV's (as prescribed in PROFORMA are part of the offer are deployed during the execution of the contract. An undertaking in this respect to be provided by the bidder. Bidders are free to quote for multiple persons against the Core Team Member having equal or more experience and qualification, however, for marking against quality criteria, persons with least qualification will be considered.
- v) A bid shall have to meet a minimum qualifying mark (75% of the total marks) in quality evaluation criteria as per quality criteria Clause above. Bids not meeting the minimum qualifying marks in quality criteria shall be **rejected**. The bids meeting the minimum qualifying marks shall be eligible for price bid evaluation as below.
- vi) "Qualified Bids" (meeting the minimum Qualifying Marks of 75% in Quality Criteria) and conforming to the technical specifications, terms and conditions stipulated in the bidding document and considered to be responsive after subjecting to Bid Evaluation Criteria shall be considered for further evaluation.
- vii) In case, a bidder offers more key personnel than required as per clause 7.0, those with least qualified experience will be considered for QCBS evaluation. For example, let's assume a bidder has proposed two (2) names against Team Lead. In this case, the person having least qualified experience will be considered for QCBS evaluation.
- viii) Technical presentations shall be conducted either physically or virtually. Among others, Project Coordinator cum team leader proposed must attend the technical presentation physically or virtually.
- ix) Where a bid is submitted under BEC Clause No. 2.1 (II)(a) or 2.1 (II)(b) on the strength of the technical experience of a supporting company, the experience of that supporting company shall be considered for the purpose of evaluation under Quality Criteria Sl. No. 1 and Sl. No. 1.1, subject to submission of documentary evidence in terms of BEC Clause 2.1 and the Notes thereto. The key personnel offered for evaluation under Quality Criteria Sl. No. 3 shall in such case be the identified key personnel of the supporting company in terms of BEC Clause 2.1 (II) (c) (e).

9.0 AWARDING METHODOLOGY:

- a) Contract shall be awarded to the bidder with the **lowest cost** among the qualified bidders against the qualifying marks.

- b) Contract shall be awarded for the entire scope of work under this tender subject to concurrent application of Public Procurement Policy for MSE Order 2012 and PP(MII) Order 2017 as per Order No. F.1/4/2021-PPD dated 18.05.2023 issued by Public Procurement Division, Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance, Govt. of India and any subsequent amendment thereto.

Note:

- a. Services under this tender is not splittable.
- b. The 'Total Contract Price' shall be matched with that of the L1 bidder by reducing the differential rates proportionately against the line items where their rates/prices are found to be higher than that of the L1 bidder.

10.0 CERTIFICATION OF DOCUMENTS BY INDEPENDENT THIRD-PARTY INSPECTION AGENCIES (TPIA):

- 10.1 Oil India Limited (OIL) has engaged the following 17 (Seventeen) Independent Inspection Agencies for a period of 04 (Four) years up to 06.06.2028 to verify the authenticity of documents required against BEC to be submitted by the bidders against the tender:

Sl. No.	Name of Independent Inspection Agency	Contact E-mail ID
i.	M/s Alfred H Knight India Private Limited	a. rkjain@ahkgroup.com ; b. Pradeep.mathur@ahkgroup.com ; c. info@ahkgroup.com
ii.	M/s. TUV India Private Limited	a. noida@tuv-nord.com b. mumbai@tuv-nord.com c. salim@tuv-nord.com
iii.	M/s Conformity India International Private Limited	a. mktg@ciindia.in
iv.	M/s Ravi Energetic Private Limited	a. baroda@ravienergetic.com b. tpia@ravienergetic.com
v.	M/s SGS India Private Limited	a. dhaval.vora@sgs.com b. sgs.india@sgs.com
vi.	M/s Assure Quality Management Certification Services Private Limited	a. aqmcs@aqmcs.com
vii.	M/s. IRCLASS Systems and Solutions Private Limited	a. industrial_services@irclass.org b. Bhavesh.satam@irclass.org
viii.	M/s. TÜV Rheinland (India) Pvt. Ltd.	a. Shailesh.deotale@ind.tuv.com b. Kaushal.gohil@ind.tuv.com c. info@ind.tuv.com

		d. ravi.kumar@ind.tuv.com
ix.	M/s. Gulf Lloyd (India) Ltd.	a. contact@gulflloyds.com b. inspection@gulflloyds.com
x.	M/s Baltic Testing India Pvt. Ltd.	a. office@balticcontrolindia.com
xi.	M/s Sanmarg Engineering Validation & Assessment	a. Amitra@sanmargeva.com
xii.	M/s Meenar Global Consultants LLP	a. sales@mgellp.in
xiii.	M/s Rites Limited	a. nrinspn@rites.com b. info@rites.com c. sbu.ninsp@rites.com
xiv.	M/s Bureau Veritas (India) Private Limited	a. bvindia.corporate@bureauveritas.com
xv.	M/s TUV SUD South Asia Private Limited	a. Hemant.chavan@tuvsud.com b. Jayashree.rane@tuvsud.com
xvi.	M/s Adornment Engineers India Private Limited	a. jks@adornmentengineers.com
xvii.	M/s TCRC Inspections Pvt. Ltd.	a. admin@tereinspections.com b. ashismallick@teregroup.com c. tenders@teregroup.com

10.2 Bidders are required to have their following documents verified and certified by any one of the empanelled Independent Third-Party Inspection Agencies listed above:

- (i) Technical Criteria:
 - a. All documents submitted against Clause 2.0 (I) (Notes to BEC Clause 2.1) to comply with clause no. 2.1 (i) & (ii) of BEC.
 - b. Documents submitted against Clause no. 2.0 (II) (a) and Clause no. 2.0 (II) (b), except self-undertakings.
 - c. Documents submitted against Clause No. 7.0
 - d. Documents submitted against quality criteria under Clause No. 8.0
- (ii) General Requirement: Bidder's Certificate of Incorporation/ registration in India (as applicable).

The Inspection Certificate, duly issued by the selected agency, must be submitted along with the Technical Bid. All costs related to verification and certification by the Third-Party Inspection Agencies shall be borne solely by the respective bidders. Payments in this regard shall be made directly by the bidders to the Inspection Agencies. OIL shall not be held responsible for any payment-related dispute between the bidders and the inspection agencies.

- 10.3 **The verified and certified documents (As mentioned in Para 10.2 above) must be submitted along with the Technical Bids. Any bid submitted with un-verified supporting documents shall not be considered.** However, in case a bidder submits its bid along with all relevant supporting documents as per BEC without getting all/some of them verified by the designated Independent Inspection agency, such bid can be provisionally considered, provided it is accompanied by an Undertaking by the Bidder on their official letterhead towards submission of the duly verified and certified copies and Inspection Certificate within Seven (07) days from the actual date of bid opening. **No reminders or clarifications will be issued by the Company in this regard, and failure to submit the verified/ certified documents within the stipulated time shall result in outright rejection of the bid, at the sole risk and responsibility of the bidder.**
- 10.4 The methodology of verification/certification of documents followed by the agencies is broadly as under, but not limited to:
- (a) It is the sole responsibility of the bidders intending to participate in the tender to thoroughly understand the requirements of the tender, particularly the documents required under BEC. The bidders must present all relevant documents to any of the empanelled third-party certifying agencies for verification/certification. The TPI Agencies shall verify the authenticity of the documents only. They will not verify whether the documents produced by the bidder are sufficient for meeting the requirements of the tender or not. Neither OIL nor the Inspection Agencies shall be responsible for the selection or appropriateness of the documents submitted for verification. It is entirely the bidder's responsibility to ensure that the appropriate documents are verified/certified in support of BEC compliance.
 - (b) The concerned Independent Third-Party Inspection Agencies shall depute their qualified/competent inspector to the Bidder's premises to check the original documents and certify the copies which the bidder shall submit along with their bids.
 - (c) On issuance of the Inspection Certificate to the bidder, TPI agency should share a copy of the same to concerned Purchase Officer through email directly (Email ID: Contract-Global@oilindia.in). OIL will reserve the right to ask the inspection agencies to verify the documents with source, if required, at no extra cost to OIL. Verification/ Certification of documents by OIL's empanelled third-party inspection agencies shall not automatically make the bidder techno-commercially-acceptable or eligible for award of contract.

Notes:

- (i) If any documents, LOI/LOA/Orders/Contracts etc., submitted towards BEC are issued by Oil India Limited, such documents need not be verified by TPI agency.
- (ii) Undertaking from TPI Agency as per format (PROFORMA-XVII) enclosed should be submitted along with the Bid.

- (iii) In case of clarifications sought by OIL against BEC, supporting documents (historical in nature) to those submitted in the original bid will also have to be verified from the same TPI agency which has originally verified their bid documents. OIL shall categorically mention the documents that are to be submitted with TPI verification in the clarification sought. In case the bidder fails to submit the TPI verified documents, as sought by OIL, as part of their clarification, their offer will be liable for rejection

11.0 PURCHASE PREFERENCE CLAUSE:**11.1 PURCHASE PREFERENCE TO MSE BIDDERS:**

Purchase Preference to Micro and Small Enterprises is applicable for this tender.

- 11.1.1 Documentation required to be submitted by MSEs:** Categorization and various criteria applicable to MSE bidders shall be guided by the Gazette Notification No. CG-DL-E-26062020-220191 dated 26.06.2020 and Amendment vide Gazette Notification no. CG-DL-E-16062021-227649 dated 16.06.2021 and No. CG-DL-E-19012022-232763 dated 19.01.2022 and CG-DL-E-06052022-235600 dated 06.05.2022 (and as amended time to time) issued by MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES.

The bidder claiming the MSE status (MSE-General, MSE-SC/ST, MSE-Woman) against this tender has to submit Udyam Registration Number with Udyam Registration Certificate along with the technical bid for availing the benefits applicable to MSEs.

Note: In case bidding MSE is owned by Schedule Caste or Schedule Tribe or Woman entrepreneur, valid documentary evidence issued by the agency who has registered the bidder as MSE owned by SC/ST/Woman entrepreneur should also be enclosed.

- 11.1.2** The purchase preference shall be given to MSEs in the following order of preference:

1. SC/ST Women-owned MSEs
2. SC/ST owned MSEs
3. Women-owned MSEs
4. Other MSEs

All other guidelines issued in respect of benefits to MSEs shall prevail. Bidder claiming above relaxation/ exemption need to submit necessary documentary proof of their eligibility along with their techno-commercial bid. The certificate must be valid as on the bid closing date.

- 11.1.3** Provisions such as seeking support (experience, financial strength etc.) from another company, wherever allowed in the tender document, shall be available to all interested bidders including

MSEs. In those scenarios, MSEs quoting on the strength of supporting company will be eligible for Purchase Preference reserved for MSEs, provided they fulfil the following:

- i. If the bidder is bidding on the Technical Strength of the supporting company, the supporting company shall be MSE
- ii. If the bidder is bidding on the Financial Strength of the supporting company, the supporting company shall be MSE
- iii. If the bidder is bidding on the Technical and Financial Strength of the supporting companies, all the supporting companies shall be MSE.

11.2 PURCHASE PREFERENCE POLICY (LINKED WITH LOCAL CONTENT) (PP-LC):

Purchase preference under Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order, 2017 of Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT), Govt. of India as revised vide Order No. **P-45021/2/2017-PP (BE-II) dated 16th September 2020** (and as amended time to time) with modifications as notified vide MoPNG Order No. **FP20013/2/2017-FP-PNG-Part (4) (E-41432) dated 26th April 2022**, shall be applicable in this tender. Bidders to check the provisions of the Order for their eligibility to bid and seek benefits for Purchase preference, accordingly.

12.0 CUSTOMS DUTY: In terms of Sl. No. 229 of Table I of the Customs Notification No.45/2025-Cus dated 24.10.2025 (including amendments thereof), imports of the items specified in List 16 of the Notification are subject to levy of concessional rate of customs duty subject to conditions specified therein.

Bidders shall take note of the prevailing customs notifications including the latest amendment vide gazette Notification No. 45/2025-Customs dated 24.10.2025 while quoting their prices. Bidder should consider concessional Customs Duty only for those items appearing in List-16 therein. Items of their import other than those appearing in List-16 of the said gazette notification shall be considered as duty payable on merit basis in their respective bid. OIL shall issue the requisite undertaking/certificate on request from Contractor for availing concessional rate of customs duty only against the items explicitly covered under List-16 of Customs Notification No. 45/2025-Customs dated 24.10.2025 or against any other item(s) subsequently declared by the competent authority during the tenure of the contract to be duty exempted/concessional. However, in the event of refusal/denial by Customs Authority to accord exemption/ concession of Customs Duty against import of items which are explicitly covered under List-16 of Notification No. 45/2025-Customs dated 24.10.2025, such applicable customs duty shall be reimbursed at actual by OIL to the Contractor on submission of documentary evidence.

Similarly, the items other than those appearing in List-16 of the said gazette notification, if to be imported by the Contractor for the purpose

of execution of contract against this tender, the same shall be considered as duty payable on merit basis and the applicable customs duty thereof must be included by the bidder in their respective bid value. OIL will not issue any Undertaking/Certificate towards customs duty concession/exemption for those items (not included in List-16 of Notification) and the duty payable on merit shall be borne by the Contractor. However, any other item if subsequently notified by the competent authority to be Duty free/concessional during the tenure of the contract, OIL will issue requisite Certificate/Undertaking for Contractor to avail the Customs Duty benefit and the duty benefit must be passed on to OIL. Additionally, for all those items against which the bidder considers the Customs Duty on merit, the list specifying the Customs Duty Rate (percentage) may be furnished, so that subsequent increase/decrease in Customs Duty, if any shall be reimbursed/recovered by OIL as the case may be on documentary evidence.

Bidders should submit the list of items which are to be imported for execution of the contract against this tender (PROFORMA-A) prudently along with their bid. Undertaking/Certificate for availing concessional rate of Customs Duty shall be issued by OIL only for the eligible items, provide the same are included in the Proforma-A submitted by the bidder.

Notes:

The above stipulations shall prevail over other clauses if stipulated otherwise elsewhere in the original tender document/previous amendments. However, the aforementioned notifications are subject to change as per Government guidelines and the provisions ruling at the time of Bid Closing will be applicable.

13.0 DOCUMENT AUTHENTICITY UNDERTAKING: Bidders should note that Company (OIL) may verify authenticity of all the documents /certificates/ information submitted by the bidder(s) against the tender. In case at any stage of tendering process or Contract execution etc., if it is established that bidder has submitted forged documents/ certificates information towards fulfilment of any of the tender/contract conditions, Company shall immediately reject the bid of such bidder(s) or cancel/terminate the contract besides taking action as per OIL's Banning Policy, available in the OIL's website. Accordingly, service provider/vendor to submit the Undertaking of authenticity of information/ documents submitted as provided format.

14.0 LAND BORDER SHARING: Bidders should submit an Undertaking that, their bid is compliant to Order No. F.No. 6/18/2019-PPD dated 23.07.2020 issued by Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, Public Procurement Division, Govt. of India (including subsequent amendments thereto, if any), regarding restrictions on procurement from a bidder of a country which shares land border with India. In this regard, bidders must submit duly sealed & signed

undertaking as per format provided vide EXHIBIT-I, EXHIBIT-II and EXHIBIT-III along with the technical bid.

- 15.0** Bidders should not be under liquidation/bankruptcy/undergoing any insolvency resolution process as on Bid Closing date. Further, neither the Bidders nor any of any of its allied concerns/ partners or associates or directors or proprietors involved in any capacity should be under Holiday List/Banning List/Suspension List of OIL as on Bid Closing date. Bidders shall submit undertaking towards compliance of above as per Appendix-1 along with the bid. If any bidder declines to submit the above undertakings, their bids shall be liable for rejection.
- 16.0** The bidder shall submit an undertaking/declaration as per Appendix-2, confirming that they have read and understood OIL's Banning Policy and that, neither they nor any of their allied concerns or partners or associates or directors, or proprietors involved in any capacity, are currently on OIL's Holiday/Banning List. Further, the bidder shall confirm that neither they nor any of their aforementioned entities have, during the last three years, been involved in any transgression with any company conforming to the Transparency International (TI) approach or with any Public Sector Enterprise of India that could justify exclusion from the tender process. The bidder shall also disclose details of all such allied entities, if any, as required under the Banning Policy.
- 17.0 COMPLIANCE OF THE COMPETITION ACT, 2002:** The bidder shall strictly comply with the provisions of the Competition Act, 2002, more particularly, Section-3 of the Act. Any violation the provisions of the Act shall attract penal action under the Act.

FORMAT OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN BIDDER, THEIR SISTER SUBSIDIARY/CO-SUBSIDIARY COMPANY AND THE ULTIMATE PARENT/HOLDING COMPANY OF BOTH THE BIDDER AND THE SISTER SUBSIDIARY/CO-SUBSIDIARY (Strike out whichever is not applicable between Ultimate Parent and Holding Company. Similarly strike out whichever is not applicable between Sister Subsidiary and Co-subsidiary Company)

(IN CASE OF INDIAN BIDDER TO BE EXECUTED ON STAMP PAPER OF REQUISITE VALUE AND NOTORISED)

This agreement made this ____ day of ____ month ____ year by and between M/s. _____ (Fill in Bidder's full name, constitution and registered office address) _____ hereinafter referred to as "Bidder" of the first part and M/s. _____ (Fill in full name, constitution and registered office address of Sister Subsidiary/Co-subsidiary Company of the Bidder) herein after referred to as "Sister Subsidiary/ Co-subsidiary" of the second part and M/s. _____ (Fill in the full name, constitution and registered office address of the Ultimate Parent/Holding Company's of both the subsidiaries) hereinafter referred to as "Ultimate Parent/ Holding Company" of the third part.

WHEREAS

M/s. Oil India Limited (hereinafter referred to as OIL) has invited offers vide their tender No. _____ for _____ and M/s. _____ (Bidder) intends to bid against the said tender and desires to have a technical support of M/s. _____ (Sister Subsidiary/Co-subsidiary Company) and Sister Subsidiary/Co-subsidiary Company represents that they have gone through and understood the requirements of subject tender and are capable and committed to provide the services as required by the bidder for successful execution of the contract, if awarded to the bidder.

Now, it is hereby agreed to by and between all the three parties as follows:

1. M/s. _____ (Bidder) will submit an offer to OIL for the full scope of work as envisaged in the tender document.
2. M/s. _____ (Sister Subsidiary/Co-subsidiary Company) undertakes to provide technical support and expertise and expert manpower, material, if any, to support the bidder to discharge its obligations as per the Scope of work of the tender / Contract for which offer has been made by the bidder.
3. This agreement will remain valid till validity of bidder's offer to OIL including extension if any and also till satisfactory performance of the contract in the event the bid is accepted and contract is awarded by OIL to the bidder.
4. Sister Subsidiary/ Co-subsidiary Company unconditionally agrees that in case of award of contract to the Bidder, if the Bidder is unable to execute the contract, they shall, immediately on receipt of notice by OIL, take up the job without any demur or objection, in continuation without loss of time and without any extra cost to OIL and duly perform the obligations of the Bidder/Contractor to the satisfaction of OIL.
5. The Ultimate Parent/Holding Company also confirms and undertakes that the commitment made by the Sister Subsidiary/ Co-subsidiary company in providing the technical support and technical expertise and expert manpower to support the bidder for execution of the contract are honoured.

6. The Ultimate Parent/Holding Company also takes full responsibility in getting the contract executed through the Sister subsidiary/ Co-subsidiary company in case the Bidder/Contractor is unable to execute the contract.
7. In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agreement on the date mentioned above.

For and on behalf of
(Bidder)

M/s.
Witness
1)
2)

For and on behalf of
(Sister Subsidiary/ Co-
subsidiary)

M/s.
Witness
1)
2)

For and on behalf of
(Ultimate Parent /
Holding Company)

M/s.
Witness
1)
2)

Note: In case of contracts involving - (a) manufacture/supply (b) installation and commissioning (c) servicing and maintenance of any equipment, as the bidding company can draw on the experience of their multiple subsidiary sister/Co-subsidiary company (ies) specializing in each sphere of activity, i.e. (a) manufacture/supply (b) installation and commissioning (c) servicing and maintenance, therefore, in that case, the above format shall be signed by all the sister/Co-subsidiary company(ies) and necessary modifications may be made in the above format to include all sister subsidiaries.

**FORM OF PERFORMANCE BANK GUARANTEE BY SUPPORTING
COMPANY**

To
M/s OIL INDIA LIMITED (OIL)
CONTRACTS DEPARTMENT
DULIAJAN, ASSAM, INDIA, PIN-786602

WHEREAS _____ (Name and address of Contractor) (hereinafter called "Contractor", which expression shall, unless repugnant to the context or meaning thereof include all its successors, administrators, executors and assignees) had undertaken, in pursuance of Contract No. _____ to execute ----- (Brief Description of the Work) (hereinafter called "the Contract").

Further, M/s _____ (Name of the ultimate parent) having its registered/head office at _____ is the **"Ultimate Parent"** of **"Supporting Company"** M/s..... (Name of the supporting company with address)/M/s..... (Name of the Contractor with address, in case experience is taken from the ultimate parent) (hereinafter referred to as the 'SUPPORTING COMPANY'/ **"ULTIMATE PARENT"**, which expression shall, unless repugnant to the context or meaning thereof include all its successors, administrators, executors and assignees). Based on the experience/technical strength of the **"Supporting Company"/ "Ultimate Parent"** (whichever is applicable), the CONTRACTOR has qualified for award of contract and has agreed to provide complete technical and other support to the CONTRACTOR for successful completion of the contract as mentioned above, entered between OIL and the CONTRACTOR and OIL having agreed that the **"ULTIMATE PARENT/SUPPORTING COMPANY"**, shall furnish to OIL a performance guarantee for Indian Rupees/US\$ towards providing complete technical and other support to the CONTRACTOR for successful completion of the contract as mentioned above,

AND WHEREAS we have agreed to give the **"ULTIMATE PARENT/SUPPORTING COMPANY"**, such a Bank Guarantee; NOW THEREFORE we hereby affirm that we are Guarantors on behalf of the **"ULTIMATE PARENT/SUPPORTING COMPANY"**, up to a total of (Amount of Guarantee in figures) _____ (in words _____), such amount being payable in the types and proportions of currencies in which the Contract price is payable, and we undertake to pay you, upon your first written demand and without cavil or argument, any sum or sums within the limits of guarantee sum as aforesaid without your needing to prove or to show grounds or reasons for your demand for the sum specified therein. We hereby waive the necessity of your demanding the said debt from the Contractor / **ULTIMATE PARENT/SUPPORTING COMPANY** before presenting us with the demand.

We further agree that no change or addition to or other modification of the terms of the Contract or the work to be performed there under or of any of the Contract documents which may be made between you and the Contractor shall in any way

cease us from any liability under this guarantee, and we hereby waive notice of such change, addition or modification.

This guarantee is valid until the _____ day of _____.

The details of the Issuing Bank and Controlling Bank are as under:

A. Issuing Bank:

BANK FAX NO:

BANK EMAIL ID:

BANK TELEPHONE NO:

IFSC CODE OF THE BANK:

B. Controlling Office:

Address of the Controlling Office of the BG issuing Bank:

Name of the Contact Person at the Controlling Office with Mobile No. and e-mail address:

SIGNATURE AND SEAL OF THE GUARANTORS _____

Designation _____

Name of Bank _____

Address _____

Witness _____

Address _____

Date: _____

Place: _____

Note:

The Bank Guarantee issuing bank branch shall ensure the following:

a. The Bank Guarantee issued by the Bank must be routed through SFMS platform as per following details:

(i) MT 760 / MT 760 COV for issuance of Bank Guarantee

(ii) MT 760 / MT 767 COV for amendment of Bank Guarantee

The above message / intimation shall be sent through SFMS (indicating the Contract Number) by the BG issuing bank branch to ICICI BANK LTD.,

Duliajan Branch; IFS Code – ICIC0000213; SWIFT Code – ICICINBBXXX;
Branch Address: Kunja Bhavan, Daily Bazaar, Duliajan, Dibrugarh, Assam –
786602.

- b. Bank Guarantee issued by a Scheduled Bank in India at the request of some other Non-Scheduled Bank of India shall not be acceptable.

FORMAT OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN BIDDER AND THEIR PARENT COMPANY/SUBSIDIARY COMPANY/SISTER-SUBSIDIARY/CO-SUBSIDIARY/ MEMBER OF SAME NETWORK OR MEMBER OF SAME GLOBAL FIRM (As the case may be)

(TO BE EXECUTED ON STAMP PAPER OF REQUISITE VALUE AND NOTORISED)

This agreement made this ____ day of ____ month ____ year by and between M/s _____ (Fill in the Bidder's full name, constitution and registered office address) hereinafter referred to as bidder on the first part and M/s. _____ (Fill in full name, constitution and registered office address of Parent Company/Subsidiary Company) hereinafter referred to as "Parent Company/Subsidiary Company/Sister-Subsidiary/Co-Subsidiary/Member of same network or Member of same global firm (Delete whichever not applicable)" of the other part:

WHEREAS

M/s. Oil India Limited (hereinafter referred to as OIL) has invited offers vide their tender No. _____ for _____ and M/s _____ (Bidder) intends to bid against the said tender and desires to have technical support of M/s _____ [Parent Company/Subsidiary Company/Sister-Subsidiary/Co-Subsidiary -(Delete whichever not applicable)] and whereas Parent Company/Subsidiary Company/ Sister-Subsidiary/Co-Subsidiary (Delete whichever not applicable) represents that they have gone through and understood the requirements of subject tender and are capable and committed to provide the services as required by the bidder for successful execution of the contract, if awarded to the bidder. Now, it is hereby agreed to by and between the parties as follows:

1. M/s. _____ (Bidder) will submit an offer to OIL for the full scope of work as envisaged in the tender document as a main bidder and liaise with OIL directly for any clarifications etc. in this context.
2. M/s. _____ (Parent Company/Subsidiary Company/Sister-Subsidiary/Co-Subsidiary (Delete whichever not applicable)) undertakes to provide technical support and expertise, expert manpower and procurement assistance and project management to support the bidder to discharge its obligations as per the Scope of work of the tender/ Contract for which offer has been made by the Parent Company/Subsidiary Company/ Sister-Subsidiary/Co-Subsidiary (Delete whichever not applicable) and accepted by the bidder.
3. This agreement will remain valid till validity of bidder's offer to OIL including extension if any and till satisfactory performance of the contract in the event the contract is awarded by OIL to the bidder.

4. It is further agreed that for the performance of work during contract period bidder and Parent Company/Subsidiary Company/ Sister-Subsidiary/Co-Subsidiary (Delete whichever not applicable) shall be jointly and severely responsible to OIL for satisfactory execution of the contract.
5. However, the bidder shall have the overall responsibility of satisfactory execution of the contract awarded by OIL.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agreement on the date mentioned above.

For and on behalf of
(Bidder)

For and on behalf of
(Parent Company/Subsidiary
Company/ Sister-Subsidiary/Co-
Subsidiary (Delete whichever not
applicable)

M/s.
Witness:
1)
2)

M/s.
Witness:
1)
2)

TECHNICAL EVALUATION SHEET FOR BEC

Bidder's Name: _____

	Clause No. of BEC/BRC	Description	Compliance		Bidder to indicate Relevant Page No. of their Bid to support the remarks/ compliance
1.	1.0				

2.	2.0				
3.	2.1 (i)				
4.	2.1(ii)				
5.	2.1 (I)				
6.	2.1 (II) (a)				
7.	2.1 (II) (b)				
8.	2.1 (II) (c)				
9.	3.0				
10.	4.0				
11.	4.1				

12.	4.2				
13.	4.3				
14.	4.4 (a)				
15.	4.4 (a) (i)				
16.	4.4 (a) (ii)				
17.	4.4 (a) (ii) Note (i)				
18.	4.4 (a) (ii) Note (ii)				
19.	4.4 (a) (ii) Note (iii)				
20.	4.4 (a) (iv)				
21.	4.4 (a) (v)				
22.	4.4 (a) (vi)				
23.	5.0				

24.	5.1				
25.	5.2				
26.	5.3				
27.	5.4				
28.	5.5				
29.	5.6				
30.	5.7				
31.	5.8				
32.	5.9				
33.	5.10				
34.	5.11				
35.	5.12				
36.	5.13				

37.	5.14				
38.	6.0				
39.	6.1				
40.	6.2				
41.	6.3				
42.	6.4				
43.	6.5				
44.	6.6				
45.	6.7				
46.	6.8				
47.	6.9				
48.	6.10				
49.	6.11				

50.	7.1				
51.	7.2				
52.	7.2 (a)				
53.	7.2 (b)				
54.	7.2 (c)				
55.	7.2 (d)				
56.	8.0				
57.	9.0 (a)				
58.	9.0 (b)				
59.	10.0				
60.	10.1				
61.	10.2				

62.	10.3				
63.	10.4				
64.	11.0				
65.	12.0				
66.	13.0				
67.	14.0				
68.	15.0				
69.	16.0				
70.	17.0				

DECLARATION FORMAT

[as per BEC Clause No. 2.1 (II) (c) (e)]

[On company's Letter Head]

To,

M/s OIL INDIA LIMITED,
CONTRACTS DEPARTMENT,
DULIAJAN, ASSAM, INDIA, PIN-786602

Dear Sir,

This has reference to your Tender No. Dated..... 20__on the subject
.....

We..... (Name of the Company) confirm that in order to meet the
commitment from the supporting firm based on whose technical experience we have
been qualified, we will engage/deploy the services of identified key personnel as
mentioned in "ANNEXURE- IDENTIFIED KEY PERSONNEL" from the supporting
company for the entire duration of the contract.

Signature

(Name & Designation of Authorized person)

PROFORMA-IDENTIFIED KEY PERSONNEL
(Revised)

Reference to BEC Clause no. 2.1 (II) (c) (e), following is the list of identified key personnel which are to be deployed by the bidder from their supporting company for the entire duration of the contract:

Sl. No.	Personnel and Designation	Requirement (in numbers)
1	Senior Drilling Consultant / Senior Design Consultant <i>(to be designated as Project Coordinator cum Team Leader for the entire duration of the Project)</i>	01 (One)

Bidder must confirm in their technical bid to deploy the above personnel from their supporting company for the entire duration of the contract, in case of award.

PROFORMA-SW PART B
UNDERTAKING FOR CATEGORY B SOFTWARE LICENCES

(To be submitted on the Bidder's letterhead along with the Technical Bid)

To,
The Chief General Manager (Contracts),
Oil India Limited,
Duliajan, Assam – 786602, India

Sub.: Undertaking for compliance with Clause 13.1, Table 13.1-B and Note II of Section-II (Scope of Work)

Dear Sir / Madam,

We, **[Name of Bidder]**, having our registered office at **[Address]**, hereby undertake as follows:

1. We have read and understood Clause 13.1 of Section-II (Scope of Work), including Table 13.1-B and Note II thereunder, and shall comply with the same in full.
2. In the event of award of the Contract, for each well released under a Well Design Notice (WDN) which warrants any capability listed in Table 13.1-B, we shall obtain, at our own cost, a **valid and fully paid-up commercial licence** for the software package to be used for that capability. We shall furnish evidence of such licence to OIL within the time period stipulated at Note II, and shall keep the licence valid until completion of all design deliverables for that well.
3. The use of any equivalent package, or of any package not listed in Table 13.1-B, shall be subject to OIL's written acceptance in terms of Note II.
4. We understand and accept that no additional payment of whatsoever nature, and no extension of time save as provided in Note II, shall be allowed on account of obtaining, renewing, upgrading or replacing any software licence. We further accept the consequences stipulated in Note II, including Liquidated Damages and withholding of milestone payment, for failure to furnish evidence of licence within the stipulated time period.

Signature of Authorised Signatory: _____

Name: _____

Designation: _____

Name of Bidder: _____

Seal of the Bidder: _____

Place: _____

Date: _____