| | Query – Allied Engineers | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| S. No. | Item Name/ No. | Item Page No. Bidder Query Name/ Item | | | | |
| 1 | Bidder Evaluati on Criteria | 46 of 163 | The asset under question is almost 1800 Kms – however, OIL India is looking for an experience of a mere 156 Km -> which is not even 10% of the total pipeline assets under purview of this scope. Please confirm if OIL India is looking for bidders with a much higher experience for performing similar works? Bidder suggests to maintain this at a minimum of 350 Kms. Please confirm? | As per the tender document | | |
| 2 | Bidder Evaluati on Criteria | 46 of 163 | The scope requests the services of performing Quantitative Risk Assessment. However, the scope does not firm the specification of the Risk Assessment engine to be deployed for the purpose of achieving the actual representative deliverables of this job? Both API 1160 and ASME B31.8S state that there are four (4) approaches to performing Risk Assessment for pipelines: i. Using SME (Subject Matter Experts) ii. Using relative risk assessment iii. Using scenario-based model iv. Using a probabilistic risk assessment Pipeline & Hazardous Material Safety Administration (PHMSA) – Pipeline Regulators, USA has developed Pipeline Risk Modelling methods and tools for improved implementation of Risk Assessment systems as per https://www.phmsa.dot.gov/sites/phmsa.dot.gov/files/2020-03/Pipeline-Risk-Modeling-Technical-Information-Document-02-01-2020-Final_0.pdf. This Govt. of USA document suggests that all upcoming Risk Assessment should go in the trajectory of a "Probabilistic Approach" (item-iv) in order to achieve the highest accuracy. Since the OIL India assets are 60+ years -> it is thus suggested to maintain that bidder risk engine must be Muhlbauer-4 or Equivalent Model only. Please confirm? | As per the tender document | | |
| 3 | Bidder Evaluati on Criteria | 46 of 163 | It is requested for OIL India to mandate bidder to provide Risk Engine software details as part of the BEC which conforms to Muhlbauer-4 or Equivalent for performing Probabilistic Quantitative Risk Assessment of OIL India's aged pipeline assets. Please confirm? | As per the tender document | | |

| 4 | Bidder Evaluati on Criteria | 46 of 163 | It is requested for OIL India to request f Quantitative Risk Assessment as part m item-3. Please confirm? | • | • | | • | As per the tender document | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------|---|---|--|--|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 5 | | 109 of 163 | of 109 of 163 d | It is requested for OIL India to add the for Scope of Work: i. Quantitative Risk Assessing risks | ment (QRA) s | hould be per ine for the fo | formed usir | ng Probabilistic Risk | As per the tender document |
| | | | Failure Cause | | Failure Mode | | | | |
| | | | Tulidio Guass | Small Leak | Large Leak | Rupture | | | |
| | | | Equipment Impact | 25% | 50% | 25% | | | |
| | | | External and Internal Corrosion | 85% | 10% | 5% | | | |
| | | | Stress Corrosion Cracking | 0% | 50% | 50% | | | |
| | | | Manufacturing and Construction Defects | 70% | 20% | 10% | | | |
| | | | Incorrect Operation and Maintenance | 70% | 20% | 10% | | | |
| | | | Equipment Failure | 85% | 10% | 5% | | | |
| | | | Geotechnical Hazards | 20% | 40% | 40% | | | |
| | | | Seismic Hazards | 0% | 20% | 80% | | | |
| | | | Other Causes | 70% | 20% | 10% | | | |
| | | | In case of unavailability of model with built-in data j as part of the bid. QRA ri. Program (JIP) will be cons pertaining to few of the p | from actual p sk engine ha sidered an ac | oipelines. Pro ving been a p Ided benefit. | oof of same i part of a glo In case of u | needs to be provided bal Joint Industry navailable data | | |

| | | | data from the database from which failure modes can be ascertained. This will allow OIL to perform assessment for threats for which OIL does not have data for. Ex: Stress Corrosion Cracking, Manufacturing and Construction Defects (leading to fatigue crack damage mechanisms) etc. ii. The QRA risk engine should allow perform advanced Monte Carlo simulations on a per defect basis for the ILI data supplied by OIL India. The model should be able to take into consideration the uncertainty associated in model inputs including uncertainties in ILI measurement of anomaly dimensions, pipeline/material properties and failure prediction model. This will be inclusive of the ability to calculate the probability of failure considering interacting sets of defects. iii. The probabilistic QRA results shall be provided for the below mentioned deliverables with the quantitative measurement units as provided below. This shall be calculated for each chainage and for each threat individually as well as "combined" from the applicable ten (10) pipeline threat categories as listed above. The results obtained can be simulated for Year-1 upto Year-5 allowing OIL India to view results of resultant risk value for an extended time-period. | |
|---|------------------|---------------|---|---|
| | | | Reliability ⇒ Failure Rate (INR/km-yr, /yr) Financial risk ⇒ Expected Cost (INR/km-yr, INR/yr) Environmental risk ⇒ Expected Release Volume (m³/km-yr, m³/yr) Safety risk (societal) ⇒ Expected Fatalities (N/km-yr, N/yr), F-N Curve Safety risk (individual) ⇒ Individual Risk (chance/yr) Combined risk ⇒ Combined Impact (INR/km-yr, INR\$/yr) | |
| 6 | Scope of Work | 109 of 163 | Please confirm OIL India will provide digital editable data (excel/.csv format) for items as listed under 4.2 (Page-110 of 163), population density data, all river crossing data, SCADA operating parameters, etc.? | Digital editable data shall be provided wherever available |

| Query- Bureau Veritas India Limited | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--|----------|--|--|
| 1 | 1 BEC-BRC Tech Bidders must have Experience of having successfully completed at least one job of Carrying out Residual As per the | | | | | |
| | | Criteria-A | Life Assessment (RLA) or Fit For Purpose (or) Fit For Service (FFP/FFS) of any cross-country hydrocarbon | document | | |
| | | 1.1 | pipeline for a minimum length of 156 km in a single contract in previous 7(seven) years to be reckoned | | | |
| | | | from the original bid closing date | | | |